Influence of cooling rate and cerium addition on rapidly solidified Al-TM alloys
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Abstract

Rapidly solidified alloys, based on an aluminium-transition metal (TM) system, are promising structural materials. They show good mechanical properties and excellent thermal stability, which is caused by the very fine nanostructure of these materials and by the presence of nonequilibrium intermetallic phases. Influence of the cooling rate and Ce addition on properties of Al-5.5Ce-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy that was prepared by the melt spinning method was studied in this work. It was shown that formation of the quasicrystalline phase depends on the cooling rate during melt spinning. The addition of a few weight percent of Ce modifies phase composition of the alloy and stabilizes quasicrystals at elevated temperatures. However, the influence of Ce addition on hardness was not observed.
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1. Introduction

Aluminium alloys are widely used in automotive and aerospace industry due to their superior strength to weight ratio. Unfortunately, common aluminium alloys can be utilized only up to 250°C. One of possible methods for preparation of Al-based alloys with improved thermal stability is an addition of elements with low diffusivity in Al matrix, especially transition metals (TM) in amounts of several weight percents. Unfortunately, these elements have very limited solubility in solid aluminium. Manufacturing of Al-TM alloys can successfully solve this problem by rapid solidification. The rapid solidification causes an increase of the solubility of alloying elements, a refinement of the microstructure, and improves material homogeneity.

At the present time, the most common methods of rapid solidification are: melt atomisation by inert gas, melt spinning and thin surface layer melting. None of these methods produce bulky materials. So the production of TM-rich Al-based alloys generally includes two steps: 1. powder preparation; 2. powder compaction mostly by hot extrusion. Actually powder is prepared only by atomisation. Melt spinning produces thin ribbons, which should be cryogenic crushed before hot extrusion.

Rapidly solidified Al-based alloys with transition metals (TM) are promising materials for structural applications. Special attention has been devoted to Al-based alloys with high Al contents (above 80 at.%) due to their high strength, good thermal stability and low density. Generally, these alloys are divided into the following three groups, according to their mechanical properties [1]: a) high-strength alloys based on Al-RE (RE – rare earth metals), b) high ductility Al-TM alloys and c) thermally stable Al-TM alloys. The most often used alloying elements, in the third group
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of alloys, are transition metals with low diffusivity in Al matrix, i.e. Cr, Fe and Ti. A typical alloy from this group is Al-2.5Cr-3Fe-2.5Ti [2, 3]. It was shown that the ability of TMs to thermally stabilize the alloys depends on their solid solubilities in Al matrix [4]. Maximum solubility of Fe is very low (0.06 wt.% [5]), but solubilities of Cr and Ti are significantly higher (0.67 wt.% and 1.24 wt.% [5], respectively). Considering only these values, such high contents of Cr and Ti would not be suitable for thermal stabilization. Nevertheless, the exact roles of various TMs on complex properties of rapidly solidified Al-based alloys have not yet been explained [6].

Our previous research [7] demonstrated that the Al-5.7Cr-2.5Fe-1.3Ti alloy prepared by gas atomisation and subsequent hot extrusion exhibited excellent mechanical properties even after long time annealing at 400°C. This is the reason why we selected an alloy with a composition of Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti in this study. This alloy contains a slightly higher Fe content than the previous one. Zhang et al. have shown that Al-Fe interaction is very strong and that it leads to the formation of Al-Fe quasicrystals [4]. The presence of quasicrystalline phases retards Al grain growth at elevated temperatures [8]. Because of the importance of quasicrystals in Al-TM alloys, the influence of the cooling rate on phase composition of Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy (prepared by melt spinning) was investigated in this work. The purpose is to determine a minimum quenching rate, which still leads to the formation of quasicrystals.

It was reported that additions of small amounts of Ce (few at.%) to Al-TM alloys increase the quenching effect and support the formation of a finely mixed structure of quasicrystals and fcc-Al [9, 10]. This is in agreement with the empirical rules for the prediction of the glass forming ability (GFA) of systems. GFA tends to increase as more components with different atomic radii are added to an alloy. The addition of Ce can support the GFA because of its large atomic radius in comparison with Al. Furthermore, there is a strong negative mixing enthalpy between Al and Ce. It has also been suggested that another role of Ce is to enhance the icosahedral order [4].

Although the TMs show a considerable variability in their behaviour in rapidly solidified Al-alloys, roles of the various REs are similar. In order to simplify experimental material, Ce alone was added to a rapidly solidified Al-TM alloy in this study. For potential future applications it would be useful to replace Ce by mischmetal, which is an alloy of Ce, La, Nd, and Pr. It would lead to an increase in GFA because of a high number of elements in mischmetal [11], and to a decrease in the cost of the final material because mischmetal is a raw material for Ce production.

2. Experimental

Alloys with a composition of Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-xCe (x = 0, 1.5, 3; all concentrations are in wt.% unless otherwise stated) were studied. Ingots of the alloys were prepared by melting of the appropriate amounts of master alloys and pure metals (Al-11Cr, Al-4Ti, Al, Fe, and Ce) in a vacuum induction furnace. Consequently, rapidly solidified ribbons were prepared by melt spinning. Cooling wheel velocities were 1000 rpm, 1800 rpm and 2500 rpm, which corresponded to circumferential speeds of 17 m s⁻¹, 28 m s⁻¹ and 40 m s⁻¹, respectively. Thickness of rapidly solidified ribbons is strongly dependent on cooling wheel velocity. In this case the thickness was between 0.05 mm and 0.2 mm. It is assumed that the higher the speed, the higher the cooling rate of a ribbon. Melt spinning procedures were performed both in air and under argon, which prevents oxidation, and which may produce higher cooling rates.

The microstructure of cross-sectioned ribbons was examined using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) Hitachi S 4700 (30 kV). Phase composition of materials was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (PAN analytical X’Pert PRO + High Score Plus, Cu anode). DSC analysis (131 Setaram, heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹) was performed to detect solid state phase transformations. Thin foils for the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were prepared by electropolishing in a mixture of C₂H₅OH:HNO₃ equal to 3:1 at 10 V and −20°C. Intermetallic phases were extracted from rapidly solidified ribbons by selective dissolving of Al in a solution of 250 g methanol, 25 g tartaric acid and 10 g iodine [12]. Thin foils and intermetallic phases were examined by using a transmission electron microscope Jeol 3010 (accelerating voltage 300 kV, LaB₆). The structural investigation was completed by EDS (Oxford Instruments) measurements. Vickers hardness HV 0.005 was measured on the cross sectioned ribbons using Neophot 2 light microscope equipped by Hannemann microhardness tester.

Long-term annealing of alloys was carried out in an electric resistance furnace. Selected samples were annealed for 100 h at 400°C to determine the thermal stability of materials.

Image analysis of cross-sectioned rapidly solidified ribbons was carried out using the Matlab analytical system. SEM micrographs of the ribbons were divided into three separated areas: 1. an ultra rapidly quenched area, with no visible structure, which was formed in the vicinity of the cooling wheel; 2. a slowly quenched area located on the opposite side of the ribbon; and 3. a transition area. Artificial neural networks based on space-domain features were used for the accurate dividing.
3. Results and discussion

As-cast alloys are characterized by a heterogeneous structure, as illustrated in Figs. 1a and 1b. According to elemental mapping, point chemical analyses given in Tables 1a and 1b and XRD, materials consist of following phases: fcc-Al (matrix), Al₁₃Fe₄ (fine needle-like phases in matrix), Al₁₃Cr₂ (dark phases), AlCrFe₂ (surrounding Al₁₃Cr₂ phases), and Al₂₀CeCr₂ (light phases) in alloys containing Ce. Composition of the Al₁₃Fe₄ phase in Tables 1a and 1b does not correspond exactly to the chemical formula, because the analysis of small particles is affected by surrounding Al matrix. For the same reason, the AlCrFe₂ phase is not mentioned in Tables 1a and 1b. Thin layers of AlCrFe₂ phase cannot be distinguished from Al₁₃Cr₂ by EDS analysis, but XRD and elemental mapping proved them. The map of Fe distribution showed the presence of Fe in fine needle-like phases and in thin rings surrounding the Al₁₃Cr₂ phases. In the matrix
Phase composition of the rapidly solidified Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy varies with the cooling rate and surrounding atmosphere, as is shown in Fig. 2. All materials are composed of fcc-Al and minor phases. In the sample cooled at a wheel rate of 17 m s\(^{-1}\) in air there is only the equilibrium Al\(_{13}\)Cr\(_2\) phase, while a metastable decagonal phase Al\(_{50}\)Cr\(_{20}\) is observed in the sample cooled at 40 m s\(^{-1}\) under argon. In the sample cooled at a wheel rate of 28 m s\(^{-1}\) in air and 17 m s\(^{-1}\) under argon, a mixture of these two phases is formed. Icosahedral coordination is the closest possible coordination. This is the reason why in some melted alloys, which tend to form quasicrystals (such as Al-Fe [4]), there are some quasicrystalline clusters. Sufficiently high cooling rates do not allow the rearrangement of clusters from the melt to some common crystalline structures, resulting in the presence of quasicrystals in rapidly solidified alloys. The presence of quasicrystals was proved by TEM observation of metal foils and extracted intermetallic phases. In a TEM micrograph of the thin foil, shown in Fig. 3, there are uniformly distributed spherical quasicrystals (dark) in the Al matrix (light). Beside quasicrystals, areas of cumulated defects are observed in Fig. 3. The material structure is too complicated for observing single quasicrystals, so that the Al matrix was removed by selective dissolution. Quasicrystal extracted from the Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy prepared at 17 m s\(^{-1}\) under argon is shown in Fig. 4. Quasicrystals exhibit a spherical shape [1, 13] and their selected area electron diffraction patterns (SAED) have decagonal symmetry. The composition of the particle is 84.11 at.% Al, 0.76 at.% Ti, 7.61 at.% Cr and 7.53 at.% Fe. This shows that Fe atoms having a similar atomic radius substitute a part of the Cr atoms in the Al\(_{50}\)Cr\(_{20}\) phase. Atomic radii of the elements present in the studied alloy are following [14]: 125 pm for Al, 140 pm for Cr, Fe and Ti and 185 pm for Ce.

As shown in Fig. 5, phase composition of the Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy changes during annealing at 400°C/100 h. The most probable explanation is that
Fig. 6. DCS curve of Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy prepared at 40 m s⁻¹, heating rate of 5 K s⁻¹.

Table 2. Assessed Arrhenius parameters for impurity diffusion coefficients in fcc Al*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diffuser</th>
<th>$D_0$ (m² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>$Q$ (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>$6.75 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>261.9</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>$3.62 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>214.0</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>$1.12 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>260.0</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>$4.00 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Arrhenius equation for diffusion coefficient is $D = D_0 \exp[-Q/(RT)]$, where $D_0$ is denoted as pre-exponential factor and $Q$ as activation energy. $R$ is the gas constant and $T$ the absolute temperature.

quasicrystals transform during the thermal treatment to stable phases, in this case Al₁₃Cr₂. A DSC curve of the sample prepared at 40 m s⁻¹ is given in Fig. 6. Although the XRD patterns of initial and annealed material differ, there is neither an exothermic nor endothermic reaction on the DSC curve. It is believed that it is caused by a low rate of quasicrystals transformation, suggesting a high structural stability of the alloy. Perhaps, a significantly slower heating rate should be applied to detect this reaction, because all of the alloying elements have very low diffusion coefficients in aluminium matrix, as it is shown in Table 2.

In Figs. 7a–c, there are SEM micrographs of alloys with different content of Ce. All ribbons have structure gradients resulting from variations in cooling rates. With increasing the Ce content the structure becomes finer and the homogeneous ultra rapidly quenched area extends from about 7 to 15 μm, see Table 3 for details. This is in agreement with theoretical expectations about increasing the GFA with Ce addition. Ce increases the number of elements in alloys, Ce and

Fig. 7. SEM micrographs: a) rapidly solidified ribbon cross section of Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy prepared at 28 m s⁻¹, the wheel side is marked by arrow; b) rapidly solidified ribbon cross-section of Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-1.5Ce alloy prepared at 28 m s⁻¹, the wheel side is marked by arrow; c) rapidly solidified ribbon cross-section of Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-3Ce alloy prepared at 28 m s⁻¹, the wheel side is marked by arrow.
Table 3. Thickness of homogeneous ultra rapidly quenched areas in the alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alloy</th>
<th>Average width (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti</td>
<td>7.33 ± 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-1.5Ce</td>
<td>12.50 ± 1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-3Ce</td>
<td>17.46 ± 5.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. XRD patterns of intermetallic phases extracted from ribbons prepared at 28 m s⁻¹: a) Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti, b) Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-1.5Ce and c) Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-3Ce. The patterns a) and b) are artificially shifted to higher intensities. The pattern c) exhibits amorphous area marked by an arrow.

Al have negative mixing enthalpy (−38 kJ mol⁻¹ [17]) and Ce atomic radius significantly exceeds radii of all other elements in the alloy [14].

XRD patterns of intermetallic phases extracted from ribbons with different Ce content prepared at 28 m s⁻¹ are shown in Fig. 8. An XRD pattern of intermetallic phases extracted from the rapidly solidified alloy with the highest Ce content seems to exhibit amorphous phase. This amorphous phase probably results from a partial dissolution of Al from intermetallic particles, which means that in this case the dissolving solution is not selective and reacts not only with fcc-Al but also with intermetallics. In agreement with this idea, no amorphous phase is observed in the XRD pattern of rapidly solidified ribbon, see Fig. 9. The Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-3Ce rapidly solidified ribbon contains similar equilibrium phases (fcc-Al and Al₁₃Cr₂) and the same decagonal quasicrystalline phase Al₈₀Cr₂₀ as the Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy. In addition to them, there are also equilibrium phases Al₃Ti and CeAl₂ and a small amount of Ce, which probably remains after the preparation of the alloy. Interestingly, CeAl₂ phase was found among the intermetallic phases, although Al₄Ce phase is generally reported in similar materials [4, 18, 19].

In contrast to the Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy, the Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti-3Ce alloy does not completely decompose during annealing at 400 °C/100 h, as shown in Fig. 9. These results suggest that the Ce addition stabilizes the quasicrystals. It was shown [16] that the addition of Ce into the Al matrix causes non-equilibrium segregation on grain boundaries for Ce atoms in Al. More research will be needed; particularly TEM observation of rapidly solidified and annealed ribbons containing Ce, to explain the mechanism of thermal stabilization of quasicrystals by Ce addition.

The thermal stability of alloys was studied by measuring the room temperature hardness after thermal treatment at 400 °C. As shown in Fig. 10, all alloys are sufficiently stable because their hardness does not reduce. The reason for the stabilization can be found in the very fine particles of the intermetallic phases.

4. Conclusion

Rapidly solidified Al-5.5Cr-3Fe-1.5Ti alloy, prepared by the melt spinning method, was composed of fcc-Al, Al₁₃Cr₂ phase and quasicrystalline Al₈₀(Cr, Fe)₂₀. The amount of the quasicrystalline phase was dependent on the quenching rate used for the preparation of rapidly solidified ribbons. During annealing at 400 °C the quasicrystalline phase decomposed form-
Fig. 10. Dependence of room temperature hardness on annealing time during annealing at 400°C.

ing the Al$_{13}$Cr$_2$ phase. The addition of Ce slightly changed the phase composition and stabilized the quasicrystalline phase for elevated temperatures. All studied alloys exhibited good thermal stability – their hardness did not change even after annealing for 100 h at 400°C.
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