Study of membrane attachment and \textit{in vivo} co-localization of TerB protein from uropathogenic \textit{Escherichia coli} KL53
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\textbf{Abstract.} The tellurite resistance operon has been found in a wide range of bacteria. We have previously identified the \textit{ter} operon (\textit{terXYW} and \textit{terZABCDEF}) of the uropathogenic strain \textit{Escherichia coli} KL53. In this study, we use an innovative approach to identify putative protein-protein interaction partners for one of the essential tellurite resistance proteins – TerB. We observe that N-terminus of TerB attaches to the periplasmic membrane, while the C-terminus is partly localized in the cytoplasm. Subsequently, by methods of \textit{in vivo} cross-linking and mass-spectroscopic analysis, we have determined the proteins from both the membrane and cytoplasmic fractions, which can potentially interact with TerB.
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\textbf{Introduction}

The soluble tellurium oxyanions are rare in nature. In general, tellurites (TeO\textsuperscript{2–}) and tellurates (TeO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–}) are both toxic to prokaryotes and eukaryotes at very low concentrations (Tayl 1999). Several Gram-negative bacteria are particularly sensitive to tellurium salts, whereas some Gram-positive species exhibit natural resistance to these compounds (Taylor 1999; Chasteen et al. 2009). The experimental evidence accumulated during the last few years suggests, that tellurite exerts its toxicity, at least in part, through the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Borsetti et al. 2005; Calderon et al. 2006; Tremaroli et al. 2006; Perez et al. 2007; Zannoni et al. 2008). Notwithstanding this progress, the function of particular proteins in the \textit{ter} gene cluster and the unifying mechanism of tellurite resistance (Te\textsuperscript{R}) response cannot be proposed.

The genetic bases of Te\textsuperscript{R} determinants have been investigated in numerous microorganisms (Jobling and Ritchie 1988; Turner et al. 1992, 1994; Whelan et al. 1997; Vavrova et al. 2006). The \textit{in vitro} clone of pTE53 named pLK18 [GenBank Acc. N. AJ238043.1] contains the minimal part of the operon (\textit{terBCDEF}) (Burian et al. 1998). Transposition mutagenesis approach by Tn1737Km-mediated gene disruption revealed that the genes \textit{terB}, \textit{terC}, \textit{terD}, \textit{terE} are essential for tellurite resistance phenotype (Kormutakova et al. 2000).

The aim of present investigation was to determine the localization of TerB in the cell. By using \textit{in vivo} protein cross-linking method with a chemical reagent DSP we have identified the partner proteins co-localized with TerB.
**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial strains**

*E. coli* KL53 strain was obtained from the collection of the Department of Molecular Biology, Comenius University in Bratislava. Plasmid construction and all manipulations were carried out on the standard laboratory strain *E. coli* DH5\(\alpha\) [\(F^{+}\) endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 deoR nupG \(\Phi 80\)lacZAM15 \(\Delta(lacZYA-argF)U169, hsdR17(rk- mK^+), \lambda^-\)]. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) [\(F^{+}\)ompT gal dcm lon hsdS\(B^+\) recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR \(\lambda\)]) was used for expression and overproduction of recombinant histidine-tagged TerB protein.

**Construction of expression plasmids**

The coding region of *terB* gene was amplified by PCR from the total DNA of clinical isolate *E. coli* KL53. Oligonucleotide primers were based on the *terB* sequence (pETB-forward \(5’-\text{CGGGATCCATGAGCTTTTTCGACAAAGT}-\text{TAAGGTTGC}-3’\) and pETBreverse \(5’-\text{CGGAATTCT}-\text{CAGAGGCCAAATTCAGCCG}-3’\)). The 453 bp PCR product was cloned into pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega, Germany) by digestion with *BamH*I and *EcoRI* restriction enzymes.

In order to determine which components (amino acids) of TerB are essential for membrane binding, we produced two truncated protein fragments (TerB 1–87 aa and TerB 88–151 aa). Asp88 was chosen as the cut point based on the reported 3D KP-TerB solution structure (Chiang et al. 2008) and the sequence alignment. These two protein fragments were obtained by cutting exactly between two domains, to avoid conformation changes.

**Protein expression**

The liquid culture of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) containing plasmid pET-terB were grown aerobically with vigorous shaking at 37°C in LB medium supplemented with 30 \(\mu\)g·ml\(^{-1}\) kanamycin. The overnight culture was diluted (1 : 20) by fresh LB medium and grown at 37°C until the \(OD_{600}\) (the optical density at 600 nm) reached 0.4–0.5. Expression from the T7 RNA polymerase promoter was induced by addition of 1 mM IPTG for 20 min, this level of protein expression was chosen to adjust the amount of protein to its endogenous level to avoid artefacts.

**Purification of His-TerB by affinity chromatography**

Cells were harvested by centrifugation (4000 \(\times\) g, 10 min, 4°C), washed with PBS, re-pelleted and resuspended in 2 ml of PBS and then lysed by sonication. Debris and intact cells were removed by centrifugation (15 000 \(\times\) g for 20 min). The supernatant (clarified cell lysate) was loaded onto M2 column (HIS-Select \(\lambda\)-Nickel Affinity gel column – SIGMA, Germany) prepared as recommended by the manufacturer. The column was gradually washed with Wash buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 0.3 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole). Bound His-tag protein was eluted with Elution buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, 0.3 M NaCl, 250 mM imidazole).

**Preparation of *E. coli* cellular fractions**

To find out the exact localization of TerB protein, we used an adequate *in vivo* method, i.e. separation of the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions of cells by ultracentrifugation (Huber et al. 2003) with additional sodium carbonate treatment. This step was crucial to prevent the micelle formation and to minimize the unwanted cytoplasmic contaminants which can be estimated as the membrane fraction proteins (Lopez-Villar et al. 2006). Cell lysate was prepared as described in previous paragraph. In addition, Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (SIGMA) was added prior sonication, and subsequently the sample was centrifuged (20 min, 15 000 \(\times\) g, 4°C) to remove inclusion bodies, cell debris and intact cells. After centrifugation (115 000 \(\times\) g, 1 h, 4°C), the pellet was resuspended in 100 mM Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (pH 11) and stirred slowly on ice for 1 h. The cytoplasmic fraction was obtained by ultracentrifugation (115 000 \(\times\) g, 1 h, 4°C), the pellet was resuspended and washed in 50 mM PBS. Then, the membrane fraction was collected (115 000 \(\times\) g, 20 min, 4°C). Aliquots of total (T) cell extract and equivalent amounts of cytoplasmic (C) and membrane (M) fractions were used for Western blot analysis.

**Co-localization study (in vivo cross-linking and mass-spectroscopic analysis)**

*E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells containing expression plasmid pETTerB were subjected to *in vivo* cross-linking experiment with the membrane permeable cross-linking reagent – DSP.
UV spectroscopy was carried out on a Jasco V-570 spectrometer, with two quartz chambers cuvettes (Hellma, Germany), which were optically in tandem. Usage of the tandem cuvette allows accurate assessment of spectral differences between proteins in bound and free states by monitoring the difference between proteins that are free in separate chambers of the cuvette but optically mixed in tandem, and those that are physically mixed and bound, thereby maintaining identical optical activity, protein concentrations, and solvent conditions before and after binding (Kentsis et. al. 2002). Negative charged liposomes were used as artificial membrane (Sigma, Germany). TerB protein and liposomes were diluted in PBS, placed into separate chambers of the tandem cuvette. UV difference spectra (200–330 nm) were recorded at different incubation time points (0, 5, 10 and 30 min) at room temperature (Creighton 1997). To qualify the obtained data of spectra TerB and liposomes in two chambers of the cuvette were mixed by inversion and allowed to equilibrate for 30 min.

Results

In silico analysis of TerB

Previously, in silico analyses predicted the transmembrane localization of TerC protein. However, there is no available information regarding to the cellular localization of the other ter operon proteins. We were interested in TerB protein, because the NMR study had determined the TerB solution structure of Klebsiella pneumoniae (Chiang et al. 2008). We employed a sequence alignment of TerB homologues from enterobacteria. The data presented in Fig. 1A show high degree of conservation of these homologues.

A further analysis using surface electrostatic calculations (Fig. 2) revealed, that the substantial part of N-terminal of TerB has electropositive surface potential. These electropositive clusters can potentially interact with the cell membrane. According to our bioinformatic analysis, TerB protein is composed of 35% of positively charged residues, 60% of negatively charged ones, and only 5% are hydrophobic.

Analysis of TerB localization by separation of the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions

By separation of cytoplasmic and membrane fractions it was clearly found that the full-length TerB was attached to the membrane and the same amount was detected in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1B). The N-terminal subdomain (1–87 aa residues) was found to be localized completely in the membrane fraction in contrast to C-terminal subdomain (88–151 aa residues) which appeared in both cytoplasmic and membrane fractions (Fig. 1C).

To prove that TerB is localized onto the membrane, we employed the UV difference spectroscopy method which revealed the conformational changes during interaction between the protein and an artificial membrane. According to our simulation data of electrostatic charge distribution on the protein surface, we decided to use negatively charged liposomes. Fig. 3 show that spectra have an increase in absorption at 235 nm at different incubation time.

Co-localization of TerB with proteins

The main purpose of co-localization study was to reveal potential protein-protein interaction candidates which cooperate with TerB in the cytoplasm and on the membrane. Within this frame, we chose in vivo protein cross-linking procedure with chemical reagent DSP. Proteins cross-linked to TerB were purified from the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions as shown in Fig. 4. In the fractions the 8 candidates with high score above the threshold were detected. The most
**Discussion**

The tellurite resistance operon is composed of *terXYW* and *terZABCDEF* genes, but only four of the genes (*terBCDE*) have been documented to be essential for tellurite resistance maintenance (Burian et al. 1998; Kormutakova et al. 2000; Vavrova et al. 2006). We suppose that an investigation of *ter* operon at the protein level and also protein-protein interaction could be helpful for our understanding of the mechanism of tellurite resistance. Our results demonstrate that TerB is associated directly with the inner surface of membrane as well as being partly localized in the cytosol. The association of TerB protein with membrane might be regulated by its interaction with other proteins or by its covalent modification. Amphitropic proteins, that adhere directly to the biological membrane, attach to the bilayer by means of amphiphilic helices, hydrophobic loops, ions, or covalently attached lipids (Johnson and Cornell 1999; Cornell and Taneva 2006). The UV spectra of TerB in

---

**Figure 1.** A. Sequence alignment of TerB homologues obtained from enterobacteria. The image was taken from JalView using the ClustalX scheme. B. Analysis of full length TerB localization by using preparation of cellular fractions with sodium carbonate treatment and Western blot detection. C. Mapping the region of TerB essential for membrane attachment. T, total lysate; C, cytoplasm fraction; M, membrane fraction. β-galactosidase used as a cytoplasmic marker.
complex with an artificial membrane (Fig. 3) confirm our suggestions that TerB can be classified as a peripheral, amphitropic membrane protein.

In previous works, the structure of KP-TerB in Klebsiella pneumoniae was obtained using NMR (Chiang et al. 2008). According to our results of sequence alignment analysis we can conclude that TerB homologues are strongly conserved in different species (Fig. 1A). Another evidence of this strong conservation is the similarity of TerB structure at the secondary and tertiary structural levels.

The intermolecular forces that play a role in adhering of proteins to the lipid bilayer appear to be a combination of hydrophobic and electrostatic forces, or in some cases they are mainly electrostatic (Dym et al. 2000). Computer simulations using the GRASP program show an extensive area of positive electrostatic charge surrounding the N-terminal part of TerB protein (Fig. 2). Mapping the region proposed to be responsible for TerB attaching to the membrane help us to suggest that the N-part can facilitate the interaction of TerB with the negatively charged phosphate groups of the phospholipid membrane (Fig. 1C).

Cross-linking reagent provides the protein-protein complexes to be assembled by covalently bonding them together. Because the function of some revealed interacting partners is connected mainly to ATP synthesis, we propose that TerB protein may be involved in TeO$_3^{2-}$ reduction in the cell. Several oxidoreductases, including nitrate reductase and terminal oxidases of the bacterial respiratory chain (Avazeri et al. 1997; Trutko et al. 1998) can contribute to tellurite reduction. The nitrate reductase activities present in membrane fractions of the model eubacterium E. coli can mediate the reduction of tellurite. Subunit G of the NADH-quinone oxidoreductase, a proton-translocating enzyme complex of the respiratory chain, is one of the proteins co-localized with TerB. Subunit G is a component of the soluble NADH dehydrogenase part, which also harbors the flavin mononucleotide and four EPR-detectable FeS clusters. It has been suggested that a flavine-dependent reductase located at the

**Figure 2.** Stereoview of TerB protein in a GRASP representation showing the molecular surface coloured according to electrostatic potential (red, $-5kT/e$; blue, $+5kT/e$).

**Figure 3.** Ultraviolet (UV) difference spectrum of TerB with negative charged liposomes at different time points.
**Table 1.** Proteins co-localized with TerB after cross-linking treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
<th>Mass (Da)</th>
<th>MASCOT score</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Localization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bifunctional polymyxin resistance protein</td>
<td>C4ZU97</td>
<td>74 242</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Antibiotic resistance</td>
<td>cytosolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arnA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucosamine-fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase</td>
<td>Q8XEG2</td>
<td>66 867</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>Glutamine metabolic process</td>
<td>cytosolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP synthase subunit alpha</td>
<td>A7ZTU6</td>
<td>55 188</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>ATP synthesis</td>
<td>cytosolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catabolite gene activator</td>
<td>P0ACK0</td>
<td>23 625</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Transcription regulation</td>
<td>cytosolic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein translocase subunit secA</td>
<td>A7ZHI9</td>
<td>10 1959</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>Protein transport</td>
<td>membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADH-quinone oxidoreductase subunit G</td>
<td>Q8XCX2</td>
<td>100 240</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>ATP synthesis coupled electron transport</td>
<td>membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaperon protein dnaK</td>
<td>P0A6Z0</td>
<td>69 072</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>Protein folding</td>
<td>membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation factor Tu1</td>
<td>A7ZSL4</td>
<td>43 256</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>Protein biosynthesis</td>
<td>membrane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accession numbers and functional information of proteins were taken from UniProt.

**Figure 4.** Analysis of polypeptides in vivo cross-linked to TerB by DSP agent. SDS-PAGE (lanes 1, 2, 5, 6) and Western blot analysis (lanes 3, 4, 7, 8) of the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions prepared from DSP-treated cells (E. coli BL21(DE3) with pETterB expression plasmid). Aliquots of each sample were analyzed by 12% SDS PAGE in the presence (+) or absence (−) of β-mercaptoethanol (BME). * products of cross-linking; M, PageRuler™ Prestained Protein Ladder (Fermentas).

plasma membrane could play an essential role in TeO$_3^{2−}$ reduction (Moore and Kaplan 1992). In this study, co-localized ATP synthase subunit alpha was identified. This cross-linked partner of TerB is involved in the membrane ATP synthesis-coupled proton transport. Protein translocase subunit secA was also found which plays a central role in coupling of ATP hydrolysis to the transfer of proteins into and across the cell. Two remarked proteins, DnaK and elongation factor Tu1 can be understood as the background proteins (Shevchenko et al. 2002; Kocks et al. 2003).

Taken together, the obtained results support the hypothesis that essential tellurite resistance protein TerB is involved in the process of tellurite reduction. The protein-protein interaction candidates revealed within in vivo cross-link assay can contribute to the further experiments able to disclose the possible mechanisms of the tellurite resistance of bacterial cell.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by APVV 20-054005 project and a grant from VEGA 1/0346/10.

**References**


Chen Y. T., Chang H. Y., Lai Y. C., Pan C. C., Tsai S. F., Peng H. L. (2004): Sequence and analysis of the large virulence