Nature of phases in boronized H11 hot work tool steel
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Abstract

The H11 hot work tool steel was short-time boronized, austenitized, quenched and tempered to a standard core hardness of 47–48 HRC. Microstructure, phase constitution and microhardness of boronized layers were investigated. It was found that the boronizing begins with the formation of α-solid solution, enriched with boron, and continues with the development of firstly the Fe₂B-boride compound and finally with the FeB-phase. During the boronizing, carbon atoms are transported from the surface towards the parent material. They are accumulated in a narrow intermediate region beneath the borides, where they develop new carbides. These carbides were identified as M₂₃C₆. Their portion probably increases with prolonged boronizing time, which is reflected in higher hardness of intermediate region. Also the hardness of boron compounds was found to be increased slightly with longer processing time.
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1. Introduction

Hot work tool steels are nowadays widely used in variety of industrial operations like lightweight alloys die casting, pressing, hot extrusion of metals, etc. In view of that, they have to withstand various degradation processes. Therefore, the materials must have an acceptable quality and the tools made from them have to be subjected to the heat treatment before use. A proper heat treatment gives them an appropriate hardness, toughness, wear resistance and other important properties.

Hot work tool steels contain typically of about 0.4 % C and 5 % Cr. Besides these main elements, they are alloyed also with small amounts of vanadium and molybdenum. In the soft-annealed state, the steels are composed by the ferrite and spheroidized carbides of M₂₃C₆ and/or M₇C₃-type. The quality of the hot work steels is prescribed in the NADCA 207-97 standard [1]. Accordingly, the carbides should be distributed uniformly throughout the matrix. Neither carbide clusters nor remaining as-cast networks are allowed. The standard heat treatment of tools made from hot work steels includes a step-like austenitizing, nitrogen gas quenching and triple tempering. After this procedure, the material should contain tempered martensite only, or with a negligible amount of undissolved carbides. Again, neither clusters nor carbide networks are acceptable for proper functionality of the tools. In addition, also the quenching has to be carried out in a right way, to avoid the precipitation of secondary carbides at the grain boundaries.

Boronizing belongs to the group of thermochemical processes used for the surface modification of metallic materials. As a product of the treatment, thin, very hard wear resistant and corrosion resistant compound layers are formed. Below the compound layers, transition areas are formed as a result of certain, but very limited solid solubility of boron in the α-(or γ)-phase. These areas, however, have significantly lower hardness than the boron compounds. Depending on the nature of the substrate material and processing conditions, single phase (Fe₂B) or double phase (FeB + Fe₂B) layers can be formed. If the material con-
tains a sufficiently high amount of chromium, also
the chromium based or complex (Fe, Cr)-borides are
formed [2, 3]. Carbon is almost completely insoluble
in the borides. Therefore, it is moved into the sub-
strate and forms a “carbide excess” in the transition
areas, in high carbon steels in particular. The “carbide
excess” is known from some investigations published
previously [4], however, no relevant information on the
nature of carbides was published yet. It should be no-
ticed that the identification of the carbides is relatively
difficult. They are highlighted only after subsequent
heat treatment, when a part of original carbides is
dissolved in the solid solution and insoluble part of
carbides becomes easily visible. In addition, a very
precise experimental technique is needed to obtain rel-
- evant experimental data.

Thickness of boronized compound layers is typic-
ally up to 0.4 mm. The thickest layers are formed on
low carbon steels. Increasing carbon content leads to
decrease of the layer thickness [4]. Also, according to
Campos [5], chromium inhibits the layer growth. In the
case of boronizing of high chromium steels, in addi-
tion, the FeB-layer tends to form more easily and,
as indicated for instance by Dybkov et al. [3], Li et al.
[6], and Oliveira et al. [7], it can make up to 50% of
the total compound layer thickness.

The adhesion of boron compound onto the steel
substrate is commonly good because it is enhanced
by the morphology of the interface between the sub-
strate and the compound layer. The morphology of the
interface depends on many factors. In low and
medium carbon steels, the interface morphology is
typically described as “sawtooth” [8]. Higher carbon
content promotes alterations of the interface mor-
phology. Kulka and Pertek [4], for instance, reported that
in pre-carburized low carbon steels, the borides lost
the “sawtooth” morphology and the interface became weak. Also for other high carbon cold work tool steels,
similar phenomena were observed [9, 10]. For alloyed
steels, Carbucichio and Palumbarini [11] established that
the substitutional atoms like Mo, Cr and V con-
centrated at the tips of boride columns and reduced the
boron flux in this region. As a result, the interface
obtained an irregular form [7].

The Fe₂B single phase layer has normally a hard-
ness between 1400 and 1700 HV and fracture tough-
ness around 5–6 MPa m¹/² [6, 9, 12, 13]. For the
double-phase layer, the hardness is commonly higher
because the hardness of FeB exceeds 2000 HV for
carbon steels and often also 2200 HV for higher al-
loyed materials, for instance ledeburitic tool steels
[2, 13]. The fracture toughness of the FeB-phase is
two – four times lower than that of the Fe₂B-phase
[12].

In the present paper we attempted to clarify the
nature of phases formed in short-time powder boron-
izing of widely used H11 hot work tool steel.

2. Experimental

2.1. Material

The hot work steel of H11-type (THYROTHERM
2343 EFS) with 0.37 % C, 1 % Si, 5.3 % Cr, 1.3 %
Mo, 0.3 % V, Fe bal. chemical composition (in wt. %)
has been used for experimental investigations. Round
shaped plate specimens of 20 mm in diameter and
5 mm in thickness were fine ground to a surface rough-
ness of $R_a = 0.3 \, \mu$m.

2.2. Processing

The specimens were cleaned, degreased and boron-
ized using the Durborid® powder mixture in hermet-
ically sealed containers at a temperature of 1030°C
for 30, 45, 75 and 150 min. After the boronizing, the
containers with specimens were furnace cooled down
slowly to an ambient temperature, and then the speci-
mens were removed. Subsequently, boronized samples
were subjected to standard vacuum heat treatment.
This procedure consisted of step-like heating up to the
austenitizing temperature of 1020°C, holding time for
30 min, nitrogen gas quenching (pressure of 6 bar) and
triple tempering. Each tempering cycle took 2 h. The
first tempering temperature was 570°C, the second
one 610°C and the third one 550°C. After each tem-
pering cycle, the samples were cooled down slowly to
a room temperature. Resulting core hardness of the
steel was 47 HRC.

2.3. Characterization

Boronized specimens were metallographically pre-
pared, e.g. ground and diamond suspension polished.
For the light microscopy observations, the samples
were standardly Nital etched for 10 s. For the exami-
nation using SEM, a deep Nital etching has been used.
The light microscope Neophot 32 and field emission
scanning electron microscope JEOL 7600 have been
used. For the microstructural evaluation, an accel-
eration voltage of 15 kV has been used. But, it was
lowered to 1 kV for the EDS mapping and point chem-
ical analysis. For the EDS-analysis of boronized layers
and carbides below, twenty measurements were made
and the mean values and standard deviations were
calculated.

Microhardness of boronized layers, transient re-
- gions and core material was measured with a Hane-
mann indenter placed in a Zeiss Neophot 32 light mi-
croscope, at a load of 100 g (HV 0.1). Ten measure-
ments of microhardness were made in any of typical
zones, e.g. FeB (if occurred), Fe₂B and intermediate
region. The mean value, standard deviation and stat-
istical analysis at a probability level of 95 % have been
calculated from obtained sets of results [15].
X-ray patterns of as-delivered material and the boride layers were recorded using a Phillips PW 1710 device with Fe filtered Co,α₁,₂ characteristic radiation. Detector arm was equipped with monochromator. Data were recorded in the range from 20–144° of the two-theta angle with step 0.05° and counting time per step 5 s. Firstly, boronized layers have been examined as prepared. Subsequently, 20 µm of surface layer was removed by classical metallographical fine grinding and the measurement has been repeated. After that, again 20 µm of surface volume was removed. This approach has been repeated until the whole boron compound was removed. Note that the data recorded from each X-ray pattern do not represent only the surface phase constitution but, with respect to the penetration depth of X-rays, also the phase constitution of a certain material volume. Therefore, the data recorded at any depth below the surface represent the phase constitution of the material in thin layer below the grinding depth indicated in the diagrams.

The identification of peaks was made by comparison of calculated interplanar spacings with the d_hkl list of relevant phases taken into consideration from the material composition so expected phases according the Fe-B and Fe-Cr-B phase diagrams. All the crystallographic data were taken from the Pearson’s handbook [14].

3. Results, discussion

Microstructure of the as-received material consisted of ferritic matrix and uniformly distributed spheroidized carbides, Fig. 1. Neither carbide clusters nor remaining as-solidified carbide networks were found. It indicates that the material used for the investigations was of an acceptable quality.

Microstructure of the bulk material after standard heat treatment, Fig. 2, consisted of fine tempered martensite. The material contained only martensitic needles, uniformly distributed throughout the specimen. Neither presence of undissolved carbides nor proeutectoidal phases at the grain boundaries has been established. These facts confirm that the heat treatment after the boronizing was performed in an appropriate way.

X-ray diffraction, Fig. 3, fixed the α-phase as the major constituent of the as-received steel. The minor phases are the carbides, namely chromium rich M₇C₃-carbide and also combined Fe/Mo carbide M₆C.

Boronized layers formed at 1030°C for 30 and 45 min consisted only of the Fe₂B-phase, Fig. 4a,b. The compound layer developed at the same temperature but for the processing time of 75 min exhibited symptoms of presence of the FeB-phase in the close vicinity of the surface, Fig. 4c. The presence of the FeB-phase is evident, due to the occurrence of parallel cracks with the surface. The cracking of two-phased boronized layers is immanent for them since the phases FeB...
and Fe<sub>3</sub>B contain high, and opposite oriented stresses, which together with their brittleness makes it easily to crack. Also the region formed for 150 min exhibits two phases, Fig. 4d. But, the FeB-region was much thicker than that formed for 75 min.

Below the boronized compound layer there were the intermediate regions. These regions are typical by elevated portion of undissolved carbides. The density of carbides was maximal at the compound layer interface and it decreased towards the substrate. The cause of formation of these carbides can be explained simply by the fact that carbon is not soluble in the borides. During the boronizing, the flux of carbon atoms is oriented towards the core material. Carbon atoms are accumulated in narrow intermediate region, close below the boron compound and due to their high affinity to the atoms of iron and alloying elements, carbides are formed. Subsequent austenitizing was carried out to a temperature leading to complete dissolution of the original carbides. This was confirmed also in our present work, Fig. 2. But, the newly developed carbides (“carbide excess”) in the transient areas correspond to a “new equilibrium”, and they underwent dissolution in the austenite only in a limited extent.

Detail SEM micrograph from the intermediate region from the sample boronized at 1030°C for 75 min is in Fig. 5a. On the right side of the micrograph, there is the compound layer. Remaining part of the micrograph shows the intermediate region. As shown, the matrix of the material is formed by tempered martensite. The carbides have different size and shape. Larger particles with sharp edges have a length of around 5–7 \( \mu \)m and a width of few microns. Finer, spherical carbides have a diameter generally below 1 \( \mu \)m. EDS-maps made from the region in Fig. 5a indicate that the carbides contain enhanced portion of chromium, Fig. 5b, but reduced amount of silicon, Fig. 5c and slightly also iron, Fig. 5d.

These results confirm the abovementioned consideration on limited solubility of the “new” carbides in the austenite. From the size and shape of the carbides one can assume that while the larger particles remained stable during austenitizing the smaller spherical carbides underwent the dissolution.

It is not surprising that the carbides contain more
chromium than the matrix after the heat processing. The original phase of the material is, besides the alpha-phase, the M\textsubscript{7}C\textsubscript{3}-carbide. The M\textsubscript{7}C\textsubscript{3}-carbide comprises the chromium, but it can contain also iron (up to a ratio Cr : Fe of around 1 : 1) and small portion of other elements if the alloy contains them in a sufficiently high amount. Due to complete dissolution of the M\textsubscript{7}C\textsubscript{3}-carbides during the boronizing, the matrix contained a high portion of Cr. This is why chromium formed the carbides in the intermediate region. Besides Cr, the carbides contained also iron, but in slightly lower amount than the matrix, Fig. 5d. On the other hand, silicon did not enter into the carbides and it is dissolved in the matrix, Fig. 5c.

X-ray diffraction made from the surface confirmed that the compound layer on the specimen processed at 1030°C for 30 min is formed only of the Fe\textsubscript{2}B-boride, Fig. 6a. The measurements of (020) interplanar spacings indicated slight disagreement with the interplanar spacing of the pure Fe\textsubscript{2}B. The (020) – diffraction peak was shifted to lower value of the two-theta angle that is typical for the cases when the elements with bigger atomic radii enter into the compound. In our case it is especially the chromium that can be expected to be dissolved in the Fe\textsubscript{2}B. The atomic radius of Cr is 0.1423 nm and that of Fe is 0.1411 nm [16]. Therefore, the shifting of the (020) – diffraction line to lower diffraction angle can be considered as logical. It should be noted that similar shifting of the (020) – diffraction line of Fe\textsubscript{2}B-phase has been recorded for the layers formed at other combinations of processing parameters, also.

The total thickness of compound layer was estimated to be of about 80 μm. This is why also in a depth of 80 μm below the surface, tracks of Fe\textsubscript{2}B-phase were found. However, also the diffraction peaks from the α-phase were identified due to the penetration of X-rays into a certain depth below surface after a removal of 80 μm of the material, Fig. 6b. After a removal of 160 μm, no borides were identified, Fig. 6c. On the other hand, besides the major constituent α-phase, peaks from the M\textsubscript{23}C\textsubscript{6}-carbide were fixed.

Obtained results show that the carbides in the intermediate region are of the M\textsubscript{23}C\textsubscript{6}-type. In the carbon-chromium equilibrium diagram, there is the pure Cr\textsubscript{23}C\textsubscript{6}-phase at a carbon content of 5.5–5.8 wt.%
and the pure Cr$_7$C$_3$-phase at a carbon content of 9 wt.%, respectively. Other possible carbide, which could have been expected to be formed, is the cementite. However, due to dissolution of original M$_7$C$_3$-carbides in the austenite, the matrix contains a high amount of chromium. Therefore, the formation of the cementite is not probable. From two abovementioned chromium-based phases, the M$_{23}$C$_6$-phase contains less carbon than the M$_7$C$_3$. It is thus logical that the M$_{23}$C$_6$-carbides have been firstly formed below the compound borides during the boronizing. The M$_7$C$_3$-carbides were not found in boronized and subsequently heat processed material. However, this phase is the equilibrium one for a given system, Fig. 3. Therefore, one would expect also its formation due to the carbon atom transport out from the boronized material, required for the M$_7$C$_3$-carbide development.

The boronized layer formed for the processing time of 45 min behaved in a very similar manner than that made for 30 min. Neither the differences in phase constitution of the compound borides nor of the intermediate region were found from the qualitative point of view.

Boron compound layers formed on the samples processed for 75 min differ from those developed for shorter processing times in the occurrence of FeB-peaks, Fig. 7a. This corresponds well with the metallographical observations, Fig. 4c, where clear symptoms of the presence of thin FeB-phase sub-layer were found. Greater thickness of the boronized layers was reflected only in the thickness of each sub-layer, with no presence of other phases or structural constituents, Figs. 7a–c.

Figure 8 shows the X-ray patterns from the boronized material processed at 1030°C for 150 min. The surface-face region is formed primarily by the FeB-phase, Fig. 8a. Lower part of the compound boron layer contained mainly the Fe$_2$B-phase, Fig. 8b. These observations correspond very well with the metallography, Fig. 4d, where it is evident that the FeB takes off approximately 30% of the total boron layer thickness. Moreover, it is also evident that the Fe$_2$B-sub-layer had not uniform thickness since the interface between compound layer and parent material clearly exhibited “sawtooth” morphology. This is
also a principal explanation why the X-ray diffraction from the depth of 80 μm fixed also the α-phase besides the Fe₂B. X-ray diffraction made in the depth of 160 μm below the surface fixed also M₂₃C₆-carbide besides the α-phase. It indicates that there is not difference in the nature of carbides formed in intermediate region due to “carbon excess” in the samples processed for various processing times. The statement above on the carbon atoms flux during the boronizing, needed for the formation of stable M₂₃C₆-phase, is valid also for the processing time of 150 min.

Hardness measurements of boronized layers are summarized in Table 1. If the development of boron rich phases is finished with the Fe₂B-compound formation, then its hardness ranged close below 1500 HV 0.1, while the hardness of the layers formed for different (but short) processing time does not differ. Intermediate regions enriched with carbides had the hardness between 406 and 416 HV 0.1 and they also did not differ from the statistical point of view, with a probability of 95%.

Boronized layers formed for 75 and 150 min, respectively, are two-phased. The FeB had a hardness exceeding 2200 HV 0.1, Table 1. It is in good agreement with the early observations by Hudáková et al. [2] and Campos et al. [13] made on other high alloyed steels. The Fe₂B-sub-layers exhibited also higher hardness than those developed for shorter processing times. The mean values were 1792 and 1686 HV 0.1, respectively, with relatively large dispersion of results. In this case, higher hardness can be attributed to formation of not only iron containing borides, but also borides of alloying elements, mainly chromium. Finally, also the intermediate regions had increased hardness compared to those formed for the processing times 30 and 45 min, respectively. The nature of this phenomenon is not entirely clear yet. The image analysis of carbide portion, which might confirm this fact, was not a goal of this study. Nevertheless, one can assume that increased hardness is due to enhanced portion of carbides in these regions. From the Fig. 4 it seems that the assumption looks to be natural. Also other consideration supports that – as the boronized layer becomes thicker and thicker, more carbon atoms are transported into the core material and more carbides can be developed. Since the M₂₃C₆-phase has a hardness ranging between 1000 and 1200 HV [17], which is two-throughes higher than the matrix, increased hardness with an increased portion of M₂₃C₆ carbides is logical to be expected.

4. Conclusions

Obtained experimental results show that:
1. As-received steel contains the alpha-phase and

Table 1. Hardness of typical regions of boronized layers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boronizing</th>
<th>Microhardness HV 0.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeB</td>
<td>Fe₂B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030°C/30 min</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030°C/45 min</td>
<td>Not detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030°C/75 min</td>
<td>2221 ± 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030°C/150 min</td>
<td>2345 ± 251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
M₆C and M₇C₃-carbides. After the heat treatment the microstructure of the steel is fully martensitic, without any symptoms of presence of undissolved carbides.

2. The boronizing of H11 hot work tool steel begins with the formation of alpha solid solution, containing boron. After the boron solubility in alpha phase is exceeded, Fe₂B and afterwards FeB-phases are formed.

3. The Fe₂B-phase was enriched with alloying elements, with the chromium in particular. This was detected by the shifting of two-theta diffraction lines to lower value compared to those of pure Fe₂B.

4. During the boronizing, carbon diffuses towards the substrate and is accumulated in narrow intermediate region. New carbides are formed in this region, due to new equilibrium determined by enhanced carbon content here.

5. These carbides are the M₂₃C₆. It seems that, with prolonged boronizing time, their portion increases due to more intensive carbon transport out from the boronized substrate, which is reflected in elevated hardness of intermediate regions formed for longer processing times.

6. Also, the hardness of compound layers slightly increases with longer processing time, which can be attributed to increasing saturation of the surface region with boron.
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