Mesenchymal stem cells in prostate cancer have higher expressions of SDF-1, CXCR4 and VEGF
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Abstract. Our previous study found that the activity of PCa-MSCs, which could stimulate the cell proliferation of RM-1, was significantly different compared to BMMSCs. Our results indicated that it could be mediated in part by growth factors/chemokines, which were involved in the different activity between two kinds of MSCs (PCa-MSCs and BMMSCs). Normal MSCs (BMMSCs) were isolated from the femur, tibia of the normal mice; prostate tumor MSCs (PCa-MSCs) were obtained from the mice implanted with prostate tumor. Analysis of the expression of SDF-1, CXCR4, VEGF, bFGF and vWF of two kinds of MSCs were examined by ELISA, Realtime-PCR and Western blotting. The expressions of SDF-1 and CXCR4 in PCa-MSCs were higher compared to BMMSCs. Expressions of bFGF and vWF were higher in PCa-MSCs yet the difference did not reach statistical significance. The expression of VEGF was significantly higher in PCa-MSCs. Our data showed that activity of PCa-MSCs was significantly improved compared with BMMSCs, which seemed to have an intrinsic, cell-specific capacity localized to PCa. It could be induced by some factors or chemokines such as SDF-1, CXCR4, and VEGF. The possible role of PCa-MSCs in the process of PCa development needed further clarification.
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Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is a common malignancy and is the second leading cause of cancer death in males (Jemal et al. 2004). Although early diagnosis of PCa improves clinical outcome, metastatic PCa remains a late-stage event with a poor prognosis. PCa has a striking tendency to metastasize to bone. Recent work has aimed at identifying key molecules involved in metastasis as therapeutic targets. Therefore, the potential targets for PCa therapy include tumor cell antigens that bind to molecules found at these principal sites of metastasis (Condon 2005).

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) give rise to the different hematopoietic microenvironmental cells including vascular smooth muscle-like stromal cells, adipocytes, osteoblasts, and, more controversially, endothelial cells. MSCs also generate cells that do not belong to the hematopoietic microenvironment. These cells can be mesodermal in origin (Dezawa et al. 2005), but may also be neuroectodermal (Kikuchi et al. 2011) or endodermal cells (Chagraoui et al. 2010).
MSCs can also serve as "tumor stromal cells" targeting invasive and metastatic malignant tumor cells (Nakamura et al. 2004). Djouad et al also find that MSCs can display side effects related to systemic immunosupression favoring tumor growth in vivo (Garfias et al. 2012). Because the microenvironment of solid tumor (PCA) is similar to the environment of injured/stressed tissue (Ben-Baruch 2003; Khayat 2012), it is logical to hypothesize that PCa may provide a permissive environment for the engraftment of exogenously given MSCs (Zou et al. 2012).

In our previous study, we identified the homing of MSCs to the subcutaneously implanted prostate tumors on mice and we succeeded to isolate the MSCs (PCa-MSCs) from the implanted prostate tumors. We also found that the viability of PCa-MSCs was obviously higher than normal MSCs (BMMSCs). Besides, the activity of PCa-MSCs which could stimulate the cell proliferation by RM-1 was significantly different compared to BMMSCs. Our results indicate that it may be mediated at least in part by growth factors/chemokines (Ding et al. 2012). This study aims at finding out the growth factors or chemokines (SDF-1, CXCR4, VEGF, bFGF and vWF), which can be involved in the different activities between two kinds of MSCs (PCa-MSCs vs. BMMSCs).

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and animal modes

RM-1 cells were purchased from the Institute of Cell Biology, Shanghai, China and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 g/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator. Twelve BALB/c nude mice were inoculated subcutaneously with RM-1 cells were used as the mode of prostate cancer while the control group consists of eight BALB/c nude mice with RM-1 were used as the mode of prostate cancer. We also found that the viability of PCa-MSCs was obviously higher than normal MSCs (BMMSCs). Besides, the activity of PCa-MSCs which could stimulate the cell proliferation by RM-1 was significantly different compared to BMMSCs. Our results indicate that it may be mediated at least in part by growth factors/chemokines (Ding et al. 2012). This study aims at finding out the growth factors or chemokines (SDF-1, CXCR4, VEGF, bFGF and vWF), which can be involved in the different activities between two kinds of MSCs (PCa-MSCs vs. BMMSCs).

MSC stem cell isolation and culture

We used the methods described by Peister et al. (2004). Briefly, MSCs of the normal mice (BMMSCs) form the femur, tibia; humerus taken on axenic conditions was gained by washing of PBS (phosphate buffered solution) and filtration through the 200-mesh sieve net and centrifugation. CD105 positive cells selected by the magnetic bead were cultured in DMEM-LG medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum.

Comparison of two kinds of MSCs

We compared the grow ability of two kinds of MSCs (BMMSCs vs. PCa-MSCs) via the growth curve. The RM-1 cell concentration was adjusted to 1 × 10⁷/ml by RPMI-1640. The RM-1 cells were grown in 96-well culture plates (Nunc Inc.) with 1 × 10⁶/well density and each well received 100 ul (about 0.5 ~ 1 μCi) tritium labeled thymidine (3H-TdR). Then they were added with different concentrations (1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:4 and 1:5) of BMMSCs or PCa-MSCs, respectively. The 96-well culture plates aforementioned were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium (37°C, 5% CO2) for 12 ~ 16 h. After the end of the culture, the cells were collected on glass fiber filter paper with natural drying. Every minute scintillation counting (cpm) values were determined by beta liquid scintillation counter. The PBS was set as control group in the study.

ELISA

For determination of SDF-1 levels in conditioned medium, BMMSCs and PCa-MSCs cells were plated to an initial density of 2.0 × 10⁵ cells/cm² in Ham’s F-12/DMEM (1:1, v/v) medium containing 10% FBS, antibiotics, 10 mM β-glycerol phosphate, and 10 μg/ml L-ascorbate in 24-well plates (Life Technologies, Inc., Grand Island, NY). Medium was changed on day 3, 5, and 7. After the cells reached confluence, cells were washed twice in PBS, medium was replaced, and conditioned medium was collected and stored at –80°C. Medium was analyzed by sandwich ELISA kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) with a detection range of SDF-1, CXCR4, VEGF, bFGF and vWF, respectively.

Realtime-PCR and Western blotting

The SDF-1 and CXCR4 were first determined by realtime-PCR in BMMSCs and PCa-MSCs. The RT-PCR process was performed as described previously (Taichman and Emerson 1994, 1998; Ponomaryov et al. 2000). Sense and antisense primers were prepared to cross intron/exon boundaries: SDF-1, 5'-GGGTAGGCTAGCCGCATTTGGGCGCT and 3'-GGTTCTAGCCGAAATTTCCT (380 bp); CXCR4, 5'-GGGACGAGGAGCTAGAAAGTGA and 3'-TGATGACAAAAGGAGGAGTG (341 bp); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, 5'-GACAAACAGCTCAGATCATC AGC and 3'-AAGTCAAGGGAGGACACCTTGTCG; and β-actin, 5'-TGGTTGGCGATCATGAAAACTACATGTCATAGGCAG (347 bp). The samples underwent thermal cycling at 94°C for 1 min and 60°C for 1 min and 72°C
for 1 min for 35 cycles for SDF-1, followed by a 10-min extension at 72°C (Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, CA). PCR for CXCR4 was performed at 94°C, 55°C, and 72°C. False positives and DNA contamination were controlled by omitting reverse transcriptase in control reactions. After concentration was determined with Thermo Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer, RNAs were converted to mRNA with PrimeScript™ RT Reagent Kit (TaKaRa) under the condition of 37°C, 15 min; 85°C, 5 s. Forward and reverse primers of SDF-1 and CXCR4, and internal control GAPDH were synthesized and were applied in real-time PCR procedure with SYBR Green Premix Ex Taq™ (TaKaRa) in 20 μl system on ABI 7500n (Applied Biosystem, Forster City, CA). Samples were run at 95°C, 30 s and were amplified for 40 cycles (95°C, 5 s; 60°C, 34 s). For each sample, the average value of threshold cycle was normalized to GAPDH level with the formula, $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$. Results were thus presented by expressional fold over control. Cell pellets were harvested in homogenized buffer containing 20 mmol/l HEPES buffer (5 mmol/l EDTA, 1 mmol/l phenylmethysulfonyl fluoride, 1 mmol/l dithiothreitol, 0.1 mmol/l leupeptin, 75 μmol/l pepstatin A, 150 mmol/l NaCl, and 0.1% Triton X-100). Cell lysates of same amount were then resolved on 12% SDS-PAGE. After transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes by means of electro-blot, membranes were incubated with primary antibody, mouse monoclonal antibody of SDF-1 and CXCR4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) at 1:1000 dilution, respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analyzed by the SigmaStat statistical software (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael CA) and SigmaPlot (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL). $p < 0.05$ was used to define statistically significant differences. All experiments were repeated three times with triplicate samples, and similar results were obtained.

**Results**

**Activity and ability of BM-MSCs and PCa-MSCs**

In our research, we found that the growth ability of PCa-MSCs was obviously higher than BM-MSCs. The growth curve of these two kinds of cells was shown in Fig. 1. From the RM1 cell proliferation experiments we found that the activity of PCa-MSCs was also higher than BM-MSCs (Fig. 2).

**Expressions of SDF-1 and CXCR4**

Expressions of SDF-1 and CXCR4 were investigated with real-time RT-PCR. Expression of SDF-1 and CXCR4 were significantly higher in PCa-MSCs ($p < 0.05$, $n = 3$, respectively). Western blotting confirmed the different translation of both factors (Fig. 3).

**Expression of SDF-1, CXCR4, VEGF, bFGF and vWF**

Recent studies by Taichman et al. (Taichman et al. 2002) have shown that PCa cell lines express functional CXCR4 protein. CXCR4 was additionally shown to be expressed in prostate tissues in vivo. In this study, we first verified the expression of CXCR4 in PCa-MSCs and BM-MSCs lines using a commercial mAb against CXCR4, clone 44716. This mAb was selected because it recognizes multiple CXCR4 conformations, which can be found on many cell lines and types (Baribaud et al. 2001). The results showed that the
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**Figure 1.** The growth curve of PCa-MSCs and BM-MSCs showing a faster growth of PCa-MSCs.
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**Figure 2.** The RM1 cell proliferation experiments evaluated by 3H-TdR showing the highest proliferation in PCa-MSCs over BM-MSCs and control. cpm, count per minute.
expressions of SDF-1 and CXCR4 in PCa-MSCs were higher than BM-MSCs in the medium. (Fig. 4; \( p < 0.05 \)). Besides, compared with BM-MSCs, levels of VEGF, bFGF and vWF were all higher, but only the expression of VEGF was significantly different in PCa-MSCs (Fig. 5; \( p < 0.05 \)).

**Discussion**

Regardless of their location, all solid tumors will need stroma if they have grown to more than 1 ~ 2 mm size. Stroma has blood supply to meet the nutrition of the tumor, gas exchange, waste excretion, and the stroma may limit the influx of inflammatory cells, providing a barrier to avoid immune rejection of tumor cells (Dvorak 1986). MSCs in the developmental process contribute to the formation of mesenchymal or connective tissue (including bone, fat, muscle, cartilage and tendons) and usually migrate to the damaged parts of the body to promote wound healing. Similar to wound, tumors can release several growth factors or chemokines such as VEGF to gather the MSCs used to form the stroma (Brower 2005).

MSCs can also secrete VEGF, bFGF, BMP-2, IL-6, IL-8, IL-11 etc., which can promote proliferation of PCa cells. So MSCs may play an important regulatory role in the growth of prostate cancer. Zhang (2010) reports that the surface of MSCs has a variety of cytokine receptors that might have some influence on cell growth, and subsequent experiments have confirmed that some cytokines secreted by PCa cells (PC-3) such as IL-6, M-CSF, bFGF, VEGF and EGF have critical roles in proliferation of MSCs _in vitro_ (Wang et al. 2006).

SDF-1 is a subfamily member of the CXC chemokine, and its cell chemotaxis is regulated by CXCR4, which is the only ligand of CXCR4 known so far. Some studies have shown that the SDF-1/CXCR4 has close relationship with proliferation of tumor cells (Kang et al. 2005). Vaday et al. (2004) and Xing et al. (2008) have found the high expression of CXCR4 mRNA in clinical PCa specimens and a variety of PCa cell lines. Recent studies have confirmed that the SDF-1/CXCR4 system plays an important role in tumor invasion. Xing et al. find that CXCR4 has positive expression in several human prostate cancer cell lines with bone metastasis (Xing et al. 2008). At the same time, they have observed that prostate cancer cells can invade through the single layer of endothelial cells in bone marrow through SDF-1/CXCR4, which can be inhibited by CXCR4 antibody. The _in vitro_ adhesion analysis shows that pre-treatment of SDF-1 in prostate cancer cells shows a dose-dependent manner to increase their adhesion ability with endothelial cell. Therefore bone metastasis of PCa may occur through the SDF-1 pathway. Recent studies have confirmed this approach and have found that SDF-1/ CXCR4 can induce cancer cells to secrete MMP-9, (metalloproteases-9) which is created in the growth conditions for bone metastasis of PCa cells (Chinni et al. 2006). MSCs also express SDF-1/CXCR4, a process that may be related with survival, proliferation and migration of MSCs within the tumor microenvironment through autocrine manner (Askari et al. 2003; Kollet et al. 2003). Our study also finds

**Figure 3.** Realtime RT-PCR showing higher expression of SDF-1 and CXCR4 in PCa-MSCs. Expressions were also higher detected by Western blotting (\( n = 3, * p < 0.05 \)).

**Figure 4.** The expression of CXCR4 in PCa-MSCs and BM-MSCs lines verified by a commercial mAb against CXCR4, clone 44716. The expressions of SDF-1 and CXCR4 in PCa-MSCs were higher than BM-MSCs (\( * p < 0.05 \)).
the similar results that the SDF-1 and CXCR4 are expressed higher in PCa-MSCs.

It has been reported that MSCs in vivo can differentiate into vascular endothelial cells. Many cytokines play an important role in angiogenesis in vivo. Once the appropriate receptors of MSCs bind with cytokines, it could promote the differentiation of MSCs to mature endothelial cells. VEGF is a specific promoting endothelial cytokinin, which also could stimulate the proliferation of vascular endothelial and angiogenesis (Ferrara 2009). bFGF has a wide range of functional such as cell migration, differentiation and tissue development. It can promote the proliferation, growth and migration of endothelial cells in vitro, which has a strong pro-angiogenic effect in vivo. In addition, bFGF also has the strong role on the proliferation of vascular wall cell, which could promote mitosis of endothelial cell, and enhance their differentiation (Zhang et al. 2007; Li et al. 2009). At the same time it is a powerful capillary proliferation-stimulating agent, to provide adequate blood supply and nutrition for MSCs growth (Yu et al. 2010). Interestingly, we found that the expressions of VEGF in PCa-MSCs were higher than BMMSCs.

Taking these findings together, the PCa-MSCs located in prostate tumor grown in mice have potential higher viability and compared with BMMSCs, which may result from the growth factors or chemokines (SDF-1, CXCR4 and VEGF). Detailed characterization of the properties of MSCs after tumor engraftment should be addressed in future studies.
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