Heart rate variability as a biomarker for epilepsy seizure prediction
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ABSTRACT
OBJECTIVE: Epilepsy is a neurological disorder that causes seizures of many different types. Recent research has shown that epileptic seizures can be predicted by using the electrocardiogram instead of the electroencephalogram. In this study, we used the heart rate variability that is generated by the fluctuating balance of sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems to predict epileptic seizures.

METHODS: We studied 11 epilepsy patients to predict the seizure interval. With regard to the fact that HRV signals are nonstationary, our analysis focused on linear features in the time and frequency domain of HRV signal such as RR Interval (RRI), mean heart rate (HR), high-frequency (HF) (0.15–0.40 Hz) and low-frequency (LF) (0.04–0.15 Hz), as well as LF/HF. Also, quantitative analyses of Poincaré plot features (SD1, SD2, and SD1/SD2 ratio) were performed. HRV signal was divided into intervals of 5 minutes. In each segment linear and nonlinear features were extracted and then the amount of each segment compared to the previous segment using a threshold. Finally, we evaluated the performance of our method using specificity and sensitivity.

RESULTS: During seizures, mean HR, LF/HF, and SD2/SD1 ratio significantly increased while RRI significantly decreased. Significant differences between two groups were identified for several HRV features. Therefore, these parameters can be used as a useful feature to discriminate a seizure from a non–seizure The seizure prediction algorithm proposed based on HRV achieved 88.3% sensitivity and 86.2 % specificity.

CONCLUSION: These results indicate that the HRV signal contains valuable information and can be a predictor for epilepsy seizure. Although our results in comparison with EEG are a little bit weaker, the recording of ECG is much easier and faster than EEG. Also, our finding showed the results of this study are considerably better than recent research based on ECG (Tab. 1, Fig. 10, Ref. 17). Text in PDF www.elis.sk.
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nervous system and consequently activities of both sympathetic and parasympathetic nerves. Thus, the heart is one major organ affected by epileptic seizures. In this paper, ECG signals were used to predict epileptic seizures, which can be done more easily and more quickly. It is expected that the results of ECG recordings do not differ from the results of EEG signals. Hopefully, ECG signals can be used as a complementary approach to prediction of epileptic seizures. Finally, a combination of two EEG and ECG signals can help to improve the results and efficiency of the algorithm.

Many studies were performed to predict epileptic seizures. However, EEG signals were used in most of these studies. Martinier et al covered 19 seizures in 11 patients in 1998. Density correlation method was utilized in the recent survey in which sensitivity was reported as 89 % (1).

Le Van Quyen et al used EEG signals to predict 11 epileptic seizures in 9 patients in 2000. In the above study, similarity index algorithm was used with 94 % sensitivity (2). Navaro et al used similarity index method to predict 41 epileptic seizures in 11 patients in 2002. They obtained sensitivity of 83 % (3). NetoFFT et al used power spectral density method to predict 45 seizures in 9 patients in 2009. They obtained sensitivity of 77.8 % (4).

Yun Park et al used power spectral density method for prediction of 80 seizures in 18 patients in 2011. They obtained sensitivity of 92.5 % (5). The results of the recent study showed that epileptic patients are more prone to abnormal heart rhythms not only during the seizure but also before the seizure (6).

In general, epileptic seizures are associated with an apparent increase in heart rate in most cases. However, arrhythmia occurs, and heart rate decreases in some cases such as partial epilepsy and generalized epileptic seizures (7–8). The article is organized as follows.

In the second section, used database and method to predict seizures with ECG signal processing metrics and evaluation methods are discussed. In the third section, the results of these methods are discussed. Discussion and conclusion are presented in the fourth section. In this section, a summary and comparison of the proposed approach with previous studied are shown. Work limitations are also discussed. Some recommendations are also given for future work.

Materials and methods

Heart rate fluctuates under the influence of sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems. Short-term and long-term changes in heart rate reflect the autonomic nervous system function (9).

A typical ECG tracing of the cardiac cycle (heartbeat) consists of a P wave (atrial depolarization), a QRS complex (ventricular depolarization), and a T wave (ventricular repolarization and a U wave. Each wave and the distances between them are related to different parts of the heart and can be used to assess cardiac health, but R wave is more significant than other waves, which indicates ventricular contraction or a heartbeat. R–R interval is also called beat-to-beat or normal-to-normal (NN) interval, which represents time interval between heart beats (Fig. 1).

A change in cardiac signal during two consecutive beats is called heart rate variability (10). HRV signal shows different modes of cardiovascular diseases. Therefore, analysis of heart rate variability can be used as a tool to monitor changes in the function of the autonomic nervous system. However, less variable heart beats show relatively low health. Naturally, heart rate variability is directly related to individual health and healing. Increased heart rate variability increases individual health (11).

Studies have shown that correct information on autonomic nervous system function can be obtained using noninvasive HRV signal analysis in all brain disorders resulting from sympathetic and parasympathetic imbalance. HRV signal is a multivariate variable of cardiovascular systems, which represents dynamic characteristics, short-term and long-term correlations and complexities of the cardiac signal and autonomous nervous system. Nowadays, there are different methods for HRV signal processing, which can be divided into linear and nonlinear methods.

Used database

The data on partial epileptic patients available in Physionet Database is used in this study (12). Seven patients were examined in this study. In total, 11 seizures were observed during hospitalization of the patients. ECG signals with 200-kHz frequency sampling, 12-bits per sample and 5-mV resolution were digitalized and recorded in epileptic patients. Seizure interval was specified in all patients and labeled in the database.

Extracting linear and nonlinear features of HRV signal

Changes are calculated with linear statistical methods, which are divided into time-domain and frequency-domain methods. A simple calculation is one main advantage of these features. However, statistical properties depend on the quality of recorded data. This quality may be affected by environmental noises. In time-domain method, R–R intervals show, analyze and examine high frequency changes or short-term changes in which various features can be extracted as follows: R–R mean interval, standard deviation of NN intervals (SDNN), Root Mean Square of the Successive Differences (RMSSD), the number of differences in consecutive R–R intervals greater than 50ms (NN50), the ratio obtained by dividing total number of NN50 intervals to R–R (pNN50). Analysis of heart rate variability in adults showed that range of HRV signal consists of three frequencies as follows: low frequency (LF) (0.04–0.1 Hz), high frequency (HF) (0.15–0.4 Hz) and very low frequency (VLF) (0.0001–0.04 Hz) (13). Fluctuations in these two components represent sympathetic and parasympathetic activity. In the
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...frequency-domain method, the ratio of these two components is used as a measure of the balance of sympathetic and parasympathetic function. In the frequency-domain method, VLF, LF, HF, LF / HF parameters can be extracted from HRV signal. In fact, calculated energy is different in various frequency bands (14).

It should be noted that properties of complex systems are ignored or deleted in linear methods, which cause an additional error. Physiological systems are fundamentally and inherently nonlinear. Since standard parameters in HRV only describe linear and periodic behaviors analysis, more complex and nonlinear relationship cannot be detected. Recent advances in the theory of nonlinear dynamics have facilitated signal analysis in nonlinear living organisms.

Nowadays, nonlinear techniques can describe processes in living biological organisms.

Poincaré return map is a relatively new technique for analyzing nonlinear dynamics such as HRV signal. Each point in the graph is specified as \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, k \) \((RR_n, RR_{n+1})\) where \( k \) represents signal strength (15). Statistically, this mapping graphically displays the correlation between consecutive R–R intervals. The mapping gives useful information on short-term and long-term fluctuations. The mapping is shown in Figure 2. \( SD_1 \) and \( SD_2 \) parameters are shown in this diagram. \( SD_1 \) shows beat-to-beat rapid changes, which is more related to respiratory sinus arrhythmia. However, \( SD_2 \) describes long-term beat-to-beat changes. \( SD_2 \) is calculated to describe the relationship between these components (16). \( SD_1 \) and \( SD_2 \) values in Poincare mapping directly depend on statistical values of standard deviation of heart rate signal and two consecutive intervals of R peaks, which are calculated using equation (1) where \( RR_n \) refers to the \( n \)th beat-to-beat interval, \( RR_{n+1} \) represents to \( n+1 \)th beat-to-beat interval and SD denotes standard deviation.

\[
SD_1 = 0.7 \times SD(RR_{n+1} - RR_n)
\]

\[
SD_2 = \sqrt{2 \times SD(RR_n^2) - 0.5 \times SD(RR_{n+1} - RR_n)^2}
\]

**Prediction algorithm**

After recording ECG signals in epileptic patients, R peaks were detected in these signals using the method proposed by Pan and Tompkins (17). Then, R–R intervals were calculated, and HRV signals were organized by identifying the location of the peaks.

Figure 3 shows ECG signal in an epileptic patient recorded in about 83 minutes and 20 seconds. The patient experienced epileptic seizures from the 14th minute and 36th second to the 16th minute and 12th second. In other words, seizures lasted from the 972nd second to 876th second. Figures 4 and 5, respectively, show ECG signal in an epileptic patient in a seizure interval and HRV signal in periods before, during and after the seizure.

Since location and duration of the seizure were specified in available data, HRV signal was divided into time intervals using...
window function. Then, linear and nonlinear properties were extracted in each interval in the signal. Paired t-test was used to detect those features that were significantly different in this interval compared to the previous interval. The detected intervals were used to predict epileptic seizures. Figure 1 shows a diagram of the proposed algorithm.

**Selecting an optimal threshold**

Threshold values with the best optimum sensitivity and the least prediction error were chosen. In cases where specificity (predicting non–seizure interval) was acceptable for different thresholds, the threshold with the lowest prediction error would be selected. An alarm is given when the desired feature reaches the threshold. Given that the threshold is different for each patient, a single threshold is selected for each patient. For example, mean and variance values of nth window is half the average values of (n–1)th window. First, specificity and initial sensitivity values were calculated. Then, sensitivity values and the rate of incorrect predictions have been computed by changing the threshold. Finally, the best threshold with the highest specificity and maximum sensitivity and the least prediction error were selected.

**Introducing prediction criteria**

In this paper, several features were used to predict epileptic seizures in patients. The goal of all these features lied in achieving optimum results to obtain complete information on the future status of patients for nurses and practitioners. Therefore, it is essential to define some criteria in this area.

An important criterion is the false positive rate or false-alarm rate, which shows how much the right time of the epileptic seizure was predicted in the proposed system or algorithm. In other words, lower criteria represent the higher efficiency of the algorithm in the prediction. The second criteria are prediction of the horizon, which shows the time of alarm to nurses and practitioners and specifies how long before the seizure the alarm is given. The greater the prediction horizon, the greater the efficiency of the system, so
that nurses and practitioners would have enough time to provide more facilities and care measures for the patients (Figs 6–10).

**Statistical test**

In general, statistical tests aim to determine criteria for the feature or features extracted from the signals recorded from the patients. This paper aimed to examine the features extracted from HRV signal at different time intervals. Thereby, paired sample t-test was used to determine changes and distinction in extracted features at various time intervals. Paired t-test also called before and after test aimed to compare means in the two groups. The assumption of normality of variables in the two groups should be observed before the test. There are two observations (observations close to seizure and intervals far away from the seizure) for each in this test.

In the output of the test, mean and standard deviation of the variables are shown to describe the data. Then, the results of correlation between values close to seizure and far away from the seizure (this part does not affect the interpretation of mean comparison results) are given. Then, the results of mean equality in the two groups by the mean difference in the two intervals with zero value are presented. If significance values were less than $\alpha$, the assumption of equality of means in the two groups would be rejected at $\alpha$ error level. In this study, the significance level was considered less 0.5.

**Evaluation of the performance of the proposed algorithm**

To evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm, we introduced two criteria, namely, sensitivity and specificity. The sensitivity ($S_n$) of seizure detection is the probability that the detection is positive when the HRV segments are with the seizure. The specificity ($S_p$) is defined as the probability that the seizure detection result says a non–seizure segment, when in fact, they are seizure free. The sensitivity and specificity measures are given in equation (2).

True positive (TP): Sick people correctly identified as sick, False positive (FP): Healthy people incorrectly identified as sick, True negative (TN): Healthy people correctly identified as healthy and False negative (FN): Sick people incorrectly identified as healthy.

\[
S_n = \frac{TP}{TP+FN} \times 100
\]

\[
S_p = \frac{TN}{TN+FP} \times 100
\]

**Results**

In order to observe changes in cardiac signal of epileptic patients, linear and nonlinear values mentioned in the previous section at following intervals were calculated: ten to five minutes before the seizure, from fifteen to ten minutes prior to seizure, from twenty to fifteen minutes before the seizure and a distant interval from the seizure range (two hours before the seizure).

Table 1 shows a qualitative (statistical) comparison of linear and nonlinear features using paired t-test and calculated p-value at two-time intervals ranging from ten to five minutes before the seizure and two hours before the seizure. It can be observed that RRI dropped in moments close to the seizure, but Mean HR, SD2/SD1 and significantly increased in most intervals compared to the two hours before the seizure. Since p-values for RRI, Mean HR, LF/HF and SD2/SD1 features were less than 0.01, it can be concluded that these features have acceptable prediction values and can be regarded as appropriate criteria for predicting the future condition of the patients.

Also, examining behavioral features extracted at different time intervals reflects changes in the interval of about 30 minutes before the seizure but most changes occurred in the interval of 15 minutes before the seizure. Therefore, the intervals of 10–15 minutes and 5–10 minutes before the seizure were more emphasized than other intervals and HRV signal features were more studied in the previous intervals than the last intervals (Tab. 1).

Two ratios of SD2/SD1 and LF/HF showed identical behaviors, which confirmed a significant correlation between these two features since these two indicate parasympathetic and sympathetic activities. Any increase or decrease in these two features is due to different
Tab. 1. Comparison of linear and non-linear features between 10–5 min and 2 hours before seizure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature type</th>
<th>2 hours before seizure</th>
<th>10–5 min before seizure</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RRI (ms)*</td>
<td>885.46±57.64</td>
<td>614.21±43.81</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR*</td>
<td>73.43±8.58</td>
<td>106.32±13.23</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>163.14±19.6</td>
<td>190.09±26.21</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>256.28±44.57</td>
<td>177.63±31.72</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/HF*</td>
<td>0.64±0.23</td>
<td>1.07±0.56</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD1</td>
<td>35.56±4.82</td>
<td>39.12±3.08</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD2</td>
<td>50.44±7.67</td>
<td>65.12±4.19</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD2/SD1*</td>
<td>1.33±0.13</td>
<td>1.80±0.22</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The possibility of realizing a HRV-based seizure prediction system was shown through these analyses.
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