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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: The present study was designed to investigate the possible protection of pravastatin against hepatic oxidative stress and dysfunctions induced by doxorubicin in rats.

BACKGROUND: Statins have beneficial effects on oxidative stress and inflammation.

METHODS: Male Sprague–Dawley rats were divided into four groups. Control group (received saline orally), Group 2 received pravastatin (20 mg/kg, i.p. for 15 days), Group 3 received single dose doxorubicin (15 mg/kg, i.p.), Group 4 was treated with pravastatin (20 mg/kg, i.p.) daily from 5 days before to 10 days after injection of doxorubicin (15 mg/kg, i.p.). Hepatic toxicity was estimated by biochemical parameters and oxidative stress and histopathological studies.

RESULTS: Administration of doxorubicin indicated an increase in ALT, AST, ALP, TG, cholesterol, LDL and total bilirubin levels (p < 0.01). Doxorubicin caused a reduction in HDL and albumin levels (p < 0.01) as well as superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase and catalase activities (p < 0.05) with a concomitant increase in liver malondialdehyde (p < 0.05) and liver damage (p < 0.001). Pravastatin reduced the scale liver injury (p < 0.001) and protected liver functions and other biochemical parameters (p < 0.01). Increase in malondialdehyde level associated with a reduction in antioxidant activities in the doxorubicin group was attenuated by pravastatin treatment (p < 0.05).

CONCLUSION: Results indicated that pravastatin has a protective effect on the liver against doxorubicin-induced hepatotoxicity in rats (Tab. 3, Fig. 2, Ref. 34). Text in PDF www.elis.sk.
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Introduction

The key public health concern around the world comes to cancer. There were about 8.2 million deaths in 2012 (1). The most common treatment applied for cancer are radiation and chemotherapy. Despite antitumoral effects of these two therapeutic modalities in controlling the primary tumor and metastasis, toxicity can be produced in normal tissues by both of them and their associated adverse effects frequently outweigh clinical advantages and worsen patients’ life quality (2). During the past several decades, doxorubicin (DOX), which is also known as hydroxy daunorubicin, has been extensively applied for treating different cancer types such as hepatocellular carcinoma owing to its ability to kill transformed liver cells (3). Liver injury is a moderately common adverse effect associated with DOX, which is observed in treating other cancer types by using this drug (4, 5). Doxorubicin-induced hepatotoxicity has been reported in several experimental studies (6, 7). The DOX toxicity mechanism comprised the oxidative stress status characterized by producing excessive amount of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and/or decrease in antioxidant defenses leading to a disbalance in the oxygen normal metabolism. First, a semiquinone form is generated as a result of adding an electron to the quinone moiety of DOX and then, the quinone form is quickly regenerated through reduction of molecular oxygen to ROS (8). ROS produces hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and hydroxyl radicals (OH) and these products can attack DNA, oxidize it and finally induce apoptosis in both normal and tumoral tissue cells (9). The other possible mechanism for DOX toxicity is via interference with non-enzymatic metabolic reactions that iron is involved in, and so the iron metabolism can be changed through this pathway. Consequently, DOX-iron complex can be formed through the reaction of free iron with DOX which leads to the formation of ROS (10). Statins are extensively applied clinically to drop hypercholesterolemia due to their inhibitory impact on 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, which is a catalyst for the rate-limiting step in synthesizing the cholesterol in the liver and other tissues. Furthermore, the use of statins causes advantageous alterations in other lipid fractions as well as sig-
significant impacts on several measures of inflammation, oxidative stress, immunity, vascular, thrombosis and renal function (11, 12). In addition, small randomized controlled clinical trials have indicated advantageous impacts in non-cardiovascular inflammatory diseases, including multiple sclerosis and rheumatoid arthritis (13). No specific and effective therapeutic agent has been known for DOX-associated hepatotoxicity. Therefore, an urgent study is required to discover compounds with the ability to develop the chemotherapy therapeutic index and to decrease the side effects of DOX on healthy tissues with no effect on their anti-neoplastic impacts (2, 14, 15). Hence, In the present study, we evaluated the content of tissue injury in DOX-induced hepatotoxicity and we evaluated the protective effect of pravastatin, especially, on the level of oxidative stress.

Materials and methods

Animals

Male Sprague–Dawley rats (190-200 g; n = 6 per group) were obtained from Animal House Center of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Iran. The animals were housed in plastic cages and had free access to diet and tap water. The protocol of this study was approved by Ethics Committee of Ahvaz University of Medical Sciences. Rats were exposed to a 12-h light/dark cycle, at a room temperature of 22–25 °C.

Experimental design

The rats were divided into four groups:

Group 1: Control rats given saline orally.
Group 2: Rats administered with pravastatin orally with dose of 20 mg/kg for 15 days (16).
Group 3: Rats given a single intraperitoneal injection of doxorubicin (15 mg /kg) (17).
Group 4: Pravastatin (20 mg/kg, orally) given daily from 5 days prior to 10 days after intraperitoneal injection of doxorubicin.

At the end of the experiment, the rats were weighed and then anaesthetized by ether. Blood samples were collected from the left ventricle and the sera were prepared following centrifuging at 2500 rpm for 20 minutes and kept frozen at −30 °C for various biochemical analyses. Also, the liver of each animal was rapidly removed after dissection. One part was fixed in 10 % formalin for 72 hrs, and then transferred into 70 % alcohol for histopathological examination. An amount of 0.5 g was homogenized in 5 % (w/v) potassium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4) using a homogenizer (Heidolph Silentcrosher M, Germany). The liver homogenates were centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 20 min. The supernatants were used to estimation of biomarkers of oxidative stress.

Biochemical evaluation

Serum biomarkers of the liver function, including alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate transaminase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), TG (triglyceride), Chol (cholesterol), LDL (Low-density lipoprotein), HDL (high-density lipoprotein), albumin, and total bilirubin (TB) were measured using commercially available kits (Pars Azmoon, Iran). All biochemical assays were performed spectrophotometrically using an autoanalyzer (Vita lab Selectra E, Netherland).

Histopathological examinations

The liver samples were embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 5 μm. After that, sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin. Then, sections were examined under a light microscope. At least five microscopic fields were evaluated to score the samples. The criteria for liver damage were dilatation of sinusoids, hepatocytes vacuolization and pyknotic hepatocyte nuclei. Each sample was scored by a scale ranging from 0 to 3 (0: none, 1: mild, 2: moderate, and 3: severe) for each criterion. The total score was 9. His-

Tab. 1. Effect of pravastatin on biochemical parameters in doxorubicin-induced hepatotoxicity in rat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/l)</td>
<td>170.16±5.67</td>
<td>173.50±4.32</td>
<td>256.33±13.66*</td>
<td>189.5±7.28**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/l)</td>
<td>76.33±5.08</td>
<td>74.5±4.56</td>
<td>137.5±6.77*</td>
<td>89.83±7.75*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP (U/l)</td>
<td>104.83±5.11</td>
<td>102.66±10.98</td>
<td>188.83±7.46*</td>
<td>124.33±9.97**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.47±0.9</td>
<td>0.49±0.9</td>
<td>1.36±0.25*</td>
<td>0.65±0.13**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chol (g/dl)</td>
<td>66.16±3.97</td>
<td>63.33±6.37</td>
<td>160.66±13.20*</td>
<td>77.33±10.68**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (g/dl)</td>
<td>56.5±10.09</td>
<td>53.50±9.26</td>
<td>89.83±6.99*</td>
<td>65.00±4.85**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (g/dl)</td>
<td>16.66±3.14</td>
<td>15.33±2.58</td>
<td>8.09±3.30*</td>
<td>4.22±1.72**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (g/dl)</td>
<td>49.00±3.46</td>
<td>49.5±2.88</td>
<td>35.33±2.06*</td>
<td>46.66±3.55**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB (g/dl)</td>
<td>4.16±0.5</td>
<td>3.92±0.49</td>
<td>2.85±0.4*</td>
<td>3.92±0.4**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.01 compared to groups 1and 2, **p < 0.01 compared to group3
topathological examination and scoring were carried out by an observer unaware of the experimental groups (18).

Estimation of tissue lipid peroxidation

Tissue level of lipid peroxidase were determined by thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) calculated as malondialdehyde (MDA) as described previously (19). The absorbance was determined at 535 nm against a blank using spectrophotometer and the results were expressed as (nmol/g tissue).

Estimation of the antioxidant enzymes activities

Activity of endogenous antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) were assayed using the methods described in our previous study (20). The results were expressed as U/g tissue.

Statistical analysis

All of the data are expressed as mean ± SD. Statistical significance between groups was tested using one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey’s test. The differences were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05.

Results

Effect of pravastatin on ratio of liver weight to body weight

The ratio of liver weight to body weight in the group receiving DOX (group 3) significantly increased compared to groups 1 and 2 (p < 0.001). The ratio of liver weight to body weight in group 4 was significantly decreased compared with group 3 (p < 0.001). We did not observe any significant differences between groups 1 and 2 (p > 0.05) (Fig. 1).

Effect of pravastatin on biochemical parameters

DOX caused a significant increase in serum ALT, AST, ALP, TG, Chol, LDL and TB levels as well as caused significantly decrease in HDL and serum albumin levels when compared to groups 1 and 2 (p < 0.01). The treatment with pravastatin in group 4 markedly reversed DOX-induced increases in serum ALT, AST, TG, Chol, LDL and TB levels and the reduction in HDL and albumin levels (p < 0.01). These parameters in groups 1 and 2 were not significantly different from each other (p > 0.05) (Tab. 1).

The effect of pravastatin on histological changes and microscopic score in liver

Light microscopic evaluation of liver tissues showed that there were no pathological changes in the liver tissue in groups 1 and 2 of animals (Fig. 2 A and B). In contrast, animals receiving DOX revealed mild to moderate pathological changes such as degeneration of hepatocyte cords, dilatation of sinusoids, vacuolization of hepatocytes and condensation of nuclei (Fig. 2 C). Pravastatin treatment partially improved the hepatocyte cords degeneration, sinusoids, hepatocyte vacuolization which were morphologically near to control (Fig. 2 D). As a result, the microscopic score of liver tissues of the DOX-injected group were significantly higher than those of the groups 1 and 2 (p < 0.001). Pravastatin treatment diminished the microscopic score effectively (p < 0.001). There was no significant difference between groups 1 and 2 (p > 0.05) (Tab. 2).

Effect of pravastatin on lipid peroxidation

MDA level was increased significantly in the DOX-treated rats (Group 3) compared to groups 1 and 2 (p < 0.001). MDA level was decreased in the pravastatin + DOX-treated group (Group 4) compared to DOX-treated group (p < 0.001). However, MDA level in group 2 showed no difference compared to control group (p > 0.05) (Tab. 3).

Effect of pravastatin on antioxidant enzymes activities

Rats treated with DOX, showed a significant reduction in activity of SOD, CAT and GPx compared to groups 1 and 2 (p < 0.05). The treatment with pravastatin (Group 4) showed a significant increase in SOD (p < 0.05), CAT (p < 0.001), GPx (p <

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuolization</td>
<td>0.16±0.06</td>
<td>0.16±0.06</td>
<td>2.66±0.21*</td>
<td>0.66±0.21**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusoidal dilatation</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>2.83±0.4*</td>
<td>0.83±0.3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pycnotic nuclei</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
<td>2.33±0.21*</td>
<td>0.83±0.3**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.001 compared to groups 1 and 2, **p < 0.001 compared to group 3.
0.05) activities as compared to Group 3. No difference was noticeable in antioxidant enzymes activity between groups 1 and 2 (p > 0.05) (Tab 3).

Discussion

The results of the current study indicate that daily pravastatin treatment of rats dramatically ameliorates DOX-induced hepatotoxicity as affirmed by biochemical assays and microscopic evaluation. In present study, an increase in ratio of liver weight to body weight was observed in group 3. It might be because of body weight loss caused by the loss of skeletal muscles and adipose tissue that could have occurred due to appetite loss and alterations in the metabolic rates (21, 22). However, a decrease in this ratio was observed for rats treated with pravastatin at the end of the period. These results are in accordance with earlier reports (23, 24). The current results indicate that a significant enhance in activities of ALT, AST, ALP and total bilirubin levels and a significant reduction in serum albumin resulted from administration of DOX. The drastic conditions may have increased activities of ALT, AST and ALP and these conditions resulted from doxorubicin accumulations with toxic activity in the liver and in turn this might have provoked cellular destruction or rise in the hepatic cells permeability. The enhance in the level of total bilirubin may be ascribed to mechanisms of defense versus free radical-induced oxidative injury including decreasing free radicals through enhancing electron donors such as bilirubin (4). The alterations, which are provided by DOX, are reversed by pravastatin. Statins are the most effective agents for dropping the cholesterol in plasma. They have also pleitropic non-lipid dependent properties, including antioxidant and anti-inflammatory (30). Moreover, the imbalance of oxidant-antioxidant is reversed by statins, which has a worthy activity of hydroxyl radical scavenging (31). These results are in accordance with results of other studies (32-34). In conclusion, pravastatin is helpful in doxorubicin-induced liver injury in rats and the mechanism of this effect may include preventing the peroxidation of lipid and preserving the antioxidant enzymes.
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