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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Eisenmenger syndrome represents severe, irreversible, and end-stage pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) associated with congenital heart defects. For long-term outcome optimal right ventricular (RV) adaptation is crucial with precise assessment of its hypertrophy, dilatation and function.

Objectives: Associations of electrocardiographic (ECG) and echocardiographic (ECHO) RV characteristics were analyzed.

METHODS: Included were 52 patients (39F/13M), median age 45 years (24–78). Following ECG parameters were analyzed: Butler–Leggett formula (B-L), Sokolow–Lyon criterion (S-L), QRS duration (QRS), maximum spatial QRS vector magnitude (QRS max); and ECHO parameters: RV diameter (RVd), RV wall thickness (RVAW), RV/LV function.

RESULTS: Following significant ECG-ECHO associations were demonstrated: S-L criterion and B-L formula with RVAW (p < 0.0001); QRS with RVd (p = 0.0012) and QRS max inversely with RVd (p = 0.04); QRS > 120 ms only with severely dilated RV (RVd > 45 mm), while QRS max < 14 mm already with mild RV dilatation (RVd > 33 mm); A new combined scoring system was introduced.

CONCLUSIONS: In Eisenmenger syndrome RV hypertrophy is compensatory; diagnosis of prognostically unfavorable RV dilatation is therefore important. Combined ECG-ECHO analysis enables more accurate risk stratification. QRS duration > 120 ms seems to be a late marker; QRS max together with ECHO parameters may help to distinguish patients at higher risk for clinical deterioration (Tab. 3, Fig. 8, Ref. 53).
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Introduction

Eisenmenger syndrome represents severe and end-stage pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) associated with congenital heart defects (CHD) (1, 2, 3).

The inborn presence of a communication between systemic and pulmonary circulations leads to long-term volume and pressure overload, pulmonary vascular remodeling and PAH development (4). When changes progress to an irreversible point, with shunt reversal, Eisenmenger syndrome is described (5, 6, 7, 8). This pathophysiologic evolution results finally in clinical symptoms due to systemic desaturation, cyanosis and secondary multisystemic disorders (9, 10, 11, 12).

Despite several clinical complaints, crucial factor for patient’s prognosis and long-term survival is the right ventricle (RV) (12, 13). Though RV exposure to pressure overload is usually not well tolerated (14, 15, 16), in CHD pulmonary vascular resistance increases gradually with enough time and compensation possibilities for RV adaptation. The sufficient RV hypertrophy together with the presence of a “pop-off” valve through the defect enables to maintain good RV function for a long period of time (17, 18, 19, 20, 21). So, despite an adverse hemodynamic situation, patients with Eisenmenger syndrome often show better outcome compared to other PAH subtypes (22, 23, 24, 25, 26).

On the other hand, severe and progressive RV dilatation can lead to RV dysfunction and failure (27, 28). Once clinical deterioration has started, it is usually leading to death in a relatively short time. To distinguish patients with worse RV hemodynamics and to identify the turning point where RV adaptation mechanisms are exhausted may play an extremely important role (29, 30).

On the contrary to very well recognized diagnostic tools of defining left ventricular failure, morphological and functional evaluation of the RV is often not easy. Echocardiographic (ECHO) assessment of proper size, hypertrophy and particularly defining RV systolic function might be quite complex (31, 32, 33). This is especially the case when attempting to predict possible short-term adverse progression to dysfunction and failure. Due to irregular and triangular shape of the RV it is not possible to achieve a complete image from a single view and often more projections and parameters are necessary to be applied. It is therefore very diffi-
cult to demonstrate clear correlation between ECHO determined RV size and its function.

Electrocardiographic (ECG) evaluation of the RV is nowadays mostly neglected in clinical practice and it has a rather marginal importance. Even though ECG represents a basic, first-line and most easily accessible diagnostic tool, only few parameters describe RV hypertrophy and dysfunction (34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40).

**Aim of the present study was:**

- To compare RV ECG and ECHO characteristics (Fig. 1) in patients with Eisenmenger syndrome;
- To categorize parameters and cut-off points that might help to quantify the RV;
- To identify possible prognostic risk scores for RV dysfunction or patient’s mortality.

**Materials and methods**

Fifty-two patients (39 female / 13 male) with Eisenmenger syndrome were retrospectively analyzed. Median age was 45 years (24–78 years); younger than 40 years were 21 (40.4 %) and older than 60 years were 15 patients (28.9 %). Nineteen patients (36.5 %) died during a 10-year follow-up.

Twenty eight patients (53.9 %) had post-tricuspid congenital heart defects (ventricular septal defects, atrio-ventricular septal defect, persistent arterial duct, truncus arteriosus, pulmonary atresia) and 24 patients (46.1 %) had pre-tricuspid defects (atrial septal defects and/or partial anomalous pulmonary venous return). In 8 patients (15.4 %) an associated Down syndrome was present.

This was a cross-sectional study, analyzing all consecutive patients with Eisenmenger syndrome followed at our institution. Measured parameters were as follows:

1. **ECG parameters** from a 12-lead ECG:
   - Butler–Leggett formula (B-L, mm; calculated as (R or R’ in V1 or in V2) + (S in I or V6) – (S in V1));
   - Sokolow–Lyon criterion for RV hypertrophy (S-L, mm; calculated as (R in V1) + (S in V5 or in V6));
   - QRS duration (QRS, ms) and maximum spatial QRS vector magnitude (QRS max, mm; calculated as the square root of ((R or S in V2)^2 + (R in V5)^2 + (R in AVF)^2)).

   All ECG measurements were taken as mean value from 10 manually measured QRS complexes. As normal values were considered: BL < 7 mm, S-L < 11 mm, QRS < 120 ms and QRS max > 14 mm.

2. **ECHO parameters**:
   - RV diameter (RVd, mm);
   - RV anterior wall thickness (RVAW, mm);
   - RV systolic function by the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE, mm) and RV ventricular fractional area change (FAC, mm);
   - Established were also left ventricular diameter (LVd, mm) and left ventricular ejection fraction (LV EF, %).

   All parameters were taken as mean value from 3 measurements. As normal values were considered: RVd ≤ 33 mm, RVAW < 5 mm, TAPSE ≥ 17 mm, FAC ≥ 36 %; as severe RV dilatation RVd > 45 mm, and severe RV hypertrophy RVAW > 10 mm.

   According to the presence of highly abnormal ECG and ECHO parameters (B-L > 18 mm, S-L > 18 mm, QRS > 120 ms, QRS max < 14 mm, RVd > 33/> 45 mm, RVAW > 10 mm), and combined with other risk factors (age > 40 years, underlying defect type and Down syndrome) a new scoring system of risk factors was developed.

For statistical analysis computer programs Microsoft Excel and software package JMP 5.1 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) were used. Continuous data are presented as median and range; nominal
data as percentage. Univariate analysis was performed to analyze correlation between parameters. In case of continuous data non-parametric Wilcoxon test and in case of nominal data contingency tables were used. A p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

The study was performed with patient’s informed consent and in accordance with protocols approved by the institution’s ethics committee.

Results

Basic functional, ECG and ECHO characteristics are listed in Table 1. In our cohort, all patients had a normal left ventricle size with no significant left ventricular dysfunction.

Tab. 1. Patients basic results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>(Min.–Max.)</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RVd (mm)</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>(15 – 64)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV dilatation (RVd&gt;33 mm)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV severe dilatation (RVd&gt;45 mm)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVAW (mm)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>(5 – 19)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV severe hypertrophy (RVAW&gt;10 mm)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV function – TAPSE (mm)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>(11 – 31)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV function – TAPSE&lt;17 mm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV function – FAC (%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>(24 – 73)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV function – FAC&lt;36 %</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV dysfunction (TAPSE&lt;17 mm or FAC&lt;36 %)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVd (mm)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>(38 – 56)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVd (mm) – male/female</td>
<td>51 / 46</td>
<td>(46 – 56 / 38 – 52)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV EF (%)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>(55 – 65)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV systolic dysfunction (EF&lt;50 %)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2. Associations of ECG and ECHO RV hypertrophy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>ECHO: RV hypertrophy Median (Min.–Max.)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RVd</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVAW&lt;5–10 mm</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>(14.4 – 15.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVAW&gt;10 mm</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>(17.5 – 17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler–Leggett formula (mm)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(12 – 19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokolow–Lyon criterion (mm)</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>(14.4 – 14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS length (ms)</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>(105.5 – 105.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS&lt;100 ms</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS&gt;120 ms</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS max (mm)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>(16.2 – 16.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B–L ≥ 11 mm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–L ≥ 7 mm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG parameters (n=52)</td>
<td>Median (Min.–Max.)</td>
<td>No. of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBBB</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>(14.3 – 14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokolow–Lyon criterion (mm)</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>(14.4 – 14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler–Leggett formula (mm)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(12 – 19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokolow–Lyon criterion (mm)</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>(14.4 – 14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS length (ms)</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>(105.5 – 105.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS max (mm)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>(16.2 – 16.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B–L ≥ 11 mm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–L ≥ 7 mm</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ECG – electrocardiography, ECHO – echocardiography, Min.–Max. – minimal and maximal value, RV – right ventricle, RVAW – right ventricular wall thickness, QRS max – maximum spatial QRS vector magnitude

Tab. 3. Associations of ECG and ECHO parameters of RV dilatation and hypertrophy were demonstrated:

- ECG parameters of RV hypertrophy (both S-L and B-L) correlated significantly with ECHO RVAW (p < 0.0001 in both parameters) (Fig. 2A,B); and also with the severity of RV hypertrophy (in RVAW > 10 mm compared to RVAW ≤ 10 mm) (p = 0.005, p = 0.03 respectively) (Tab. 2);
- No significant difference of ECHO RVAW was found in patients with normal compared to pathological values of ECG B-L or S-L: in B-L median RVAW was 8 versus 9 mm; and in S-L median RVAW was 8 versus 9.5 mm;
- Though when comparing patients with S-L or B-L > 18 mm a significant difference of ECHO RVAW was present (p < 0.0001 in both parameters) (Fig. 3A); as well as a significant difference regarding patients with ECHO RVAW > 10 mm (p = 0.005 in both parameters) (Fig. 3B);
- There was no correlation of S-L and B-L ECG parameters with ECHO RVd (Tab. 3);
- Significantly correlated ECG QRS duration and inversely correlated QRS max with ECHO RVd (p = 0.0012, p = 0.004 respectively) (Fig. 4A,B, Tab. 3); while none of these ECG parameters correlated with ECHO RVAW
- ECG QRS duration was significantly longer in patients with ECHO RVd > 45 mm (p = 0.0025) (Fig. 5A); and QRS > 120 ms was significantly more frequent in patients with ECHO RVd > 45 mm (p = 0.00024) (Fig. 5B);
- ECG QRS max was significantly smaller in patients with both mild and severe ECHO RV dilatation (RVd > 33 mm, p = 0.0071;
and RVd > 45 mm, p = 0.01 respectively) (Fig. 6A), and as well
QRS < 14 mm was significantly more frequently found in pa-
tients with ECHO RVd > 33 mm (p = 0.0003) (Fig. 6B);
• A pathological finding of both ECG parameters (QRS > 120
ms and QRS max < 14 mm) was significantly associated with
ECHO RVd (p = 0.0005) (Fig. 7A), as well as with the presence
of a dilated RV (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 7B);
• Neither ECG QRS duration nor QRS max did correlate with
ECHO RVAW (Tab. 2);
• No association of a single ECG parameter with ECHO RV
function (TAPSE, FAC) or signs of RV dysfunction was found;

Tab. 3. Associations of ECG and ECHO RV dilatation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>ECHO: RV dilatation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NOT dilated RV</td>
<td>RVd &gt; 33 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler-Leggett formula (mm)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0–43)</td>
<td>(–4.5–40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokolow–Lyon criterion (mm)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1–41.5)</td>
<td>(3–46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS length (ms)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(82–150)</td>
<td>(91–150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS max (mm)</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(9.5–36.5)</td>
<td>(7.4–29.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ECG – electrocardiography, ECHO – echocardiography, Min.–Max. – minimal and maximal value, RV – right ventricle, RVd – right ventricular diameter, QRS max – maximum spatial QRS vector magnitude, n.s. – not significant

Fig. 2. ECG and ECHO RV hypertrophy: correlation of Sokolow–Lyon criterion (A) and Butler–Leggett formula (B) with RVAW (ECG – electrocardiography, ECHO – echocardiography, RV – right ventricle, RVAW – right ventricular anterior wall thickness, RVH – right ventricular hypertrophy).

Fig. 3. ECG and ECHO RV hypertrophy: comparison of RVAW (A) according to Sokolow–Lyon criterion > 18 mm or Butler–Leggett formula > 18 mm and occurrence of RVAW > 10 mm (B) according to Sokolow–Lyon criterion > 18 mm or Butler–Leggett formula > 18 mm (ECG – electrocardiography, ECHO – echocardiography, RVAW – right ventricular anterior wall thickness).
Using our new scoring system there was a significant difference in the presence (number) of risk factors in patients with/without RV dysfunction (p = 0.05), as well as in patients alive/death (p = 0.0047) (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

Eisenmenger syndrome patients substantially differ from other patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension. Their better RV ad-
aptation to pressure overload enables longer preservation of RV function, even for decades. This is traditionally considered as the crucial factor of better long-term survival (5, 12, 19, 21). On the other hand, when RV adaptation mechanisms are exhausted, rapid progressive RV dysfunction and failure often develops (15, 16, 17, 28).

Another serious problem associated with RV hypertrophy and dysfunction is the presence of arrhythmias. Arrhythmias typically occur in correlation with hemodynamic progression and RV deterioration (33, 38, 41, 42). However, new onset of arrhythmia in a previously stable patient also very frequently leads to significant clinical worsening. Although right heart hypertrophy as well as dilatation can represent a potential arrhythmogenic substrate, it is very difficult to predict at which point the patient is prone to increased risk for life-threatening arrhythmias (43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49). It might be therefore very important to establish any relevant quantitative RV cut-off values as a prognostic marker in the long-term follow-up. This would help to improve the management of these clinically very complex patients.

The specificity of RV morphology and function continues to be an intriguing phenomenon (21, 29, 50, 51, 52). Due to the importance of analyzing the RV as precisely as possible but given the difficulty to do so, there is an emerging need to use more parameters and more diagnostic modalities. Previously published studies mostly correlated isolated measurements of RV size with RV function – either by echocardiography or magnetic resonance (13, 17, 19, 25); or analyzed a single ECG parameter with RV dysfunction and/or the presence of arrhythmias (44, 45). We believe that our study is unique due to the combined analysis of multiple ECG and ECHO RV parameters. Such approach enables a more comprehensive characteristic of the RV.

Due to the chronic pressure overload in PAH, RV hypertrophy is the dominant hemodynamic consequence in all patients with Eisenmenger syndrome (5, 7, 13, 17, 19, 53). In our study there was a clear correlation between ECG and ECHO. Both ECG parameters for RV hypertrophy (S-L criterion and B-L formula) correlated well with ECHO (RVAW). On the other hand, as in
Eisenmenger syndrome all patients have more or less prominent RV hypertrophy, “normal” versus “pathological” values in neither of the analyzed ECG parameters could differentiate the degree of RV hypertrophy. Consequently, in our study we presented a new cut-off point of 18 mm for both parameters (B-L, as well as S-L). This level could distinguish patients with RVAW > 10 mm, and therefore we would recommend using it as a marker for severe RV hypertrophy.

In our study, both ECG parameters seem to reflect strictly the RV wall thickness, as they did not correlate with the ECHO RV size. No other ECG parameter correlation with ECHO RV hypertrophy was found.

In patient’s long-term survival RV dilatation plays an important role as well. Pure volume overload is well tolerated by the RV; however RV dilatation with pressure overload is usually a bad prognostic sign. In our study, the ECG QRS duration correlated with ECHO RV size. Though it is very difficult to establish the level of QRS prolongation that represents a relevant arrhythmogenic substrate (3, 41, 43). In most studies with the model of pure RV volume overload (in tetralogy of Fallot after correction with severe pulmonary regurgitation) QRS > 180 ms is described as indication for re-intervention (3, 48). Yet in most other studies QRS length > 120 ms already correlates with RV dysfunction (34, 41), therefore we also used this as the cut-off value. However, according to the QRS duration in our study we could not distinguish between normal size RV and dilated RV, nor between mild and severe RV dilatation. ECG QRS > 120 ms correlated only with severely dilated RV (RVd > 45 mm) and therefore in Eisenmenger syndrome we consider this a late prognostic marker.

QRS max is a very rarely analyzed marker, described in very few studies (45, 46, 47). In our study there was an inverse correlation of ECG QRS max and ECHO RVd. In patients with decreased QRS max < 14 mm almost 80% of them had also an ECHO dilated RV (RVd > 33 mm). Above this, with both of these parameters pathological – QRS length > 120 ms and QRS max < 14 mm – all these patients were found to have an ECHO dilated RV. This could therefore represent a very useful clinical indicator.

The specificity of our study is represented by the unique combined analysis of ECHO and ECG factors; comparable study to our knowledge was not published so far. Except for this, we presented an innovative scoring system, using not only traditionally known risk factors like age > 40 years, underlying defect type, and associated Down syndrome but joining them together with ECG and ECHO parameters, and even producing cut-off points for severe RV affection. This scoring system was able to depict patients with high risk and showed significant difference according to RV dysfunction as well as mortality. Our study limitation is nevertheless the lack of serial evaluation that would verify this long-term RV risk assessment concept.

Learning points

- Significant ECG and ECHO correlations of RV hypertrophy and dilatation were found in this specific patient population;
- ECG parameters of RV hypertrophy (Butler–Leggett formula and Sokolow–Lyon criteria) correlated with ECHO RV hypertrophy (RVAW) but not with RV size;
- ECG QRS length and QRS maximal vector magnitude correlated reversely with ECHO RV dilatation but not with RV hypertrophy;
- QRS length > 120 ms correlated with severely dilated RV (RVd > 45 mm), and QRS max < 14 mm correlated already with mild RV dilatation (RVd > 33 mm);
- New scoring system of ECG and ECHO parameters, together with age, defect type and Down syndrome was presented.

Conclusions

Despite the traditionally described good long-term survival of patients with Eisenmenger syndrome, repeated clinical multimodality evaluation of the right ventricle during follow-up is crucial for detecting imminent deterioration. Besides clinical and functional testing it is important to analyze echocardiographic and electrocardiographic parameters, as they are easily available at every follow-up visit of the patient. This may allow for a better prognostic stratification and identification of patients at higher risk, even before severe right ventricular dysfunction or malignant arrhythmias occur.
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