Uveal melanoma survival rates after single dose stereotactic radiosurgery
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This paper presents the long-term results of single dose stereotactic radiosurgery for intraocular uveal malignant melanoma, and summarizes the results of the retrospective study in 170 Slovak patients. A group of uveal melanoma patients (149 choroidal melanoma, 21 ciliary body melanoma) from 20 to 92 years of age with 59 year median were treated in 2001–2016. There were 81 males (47.7%) and 89 females (52.3%). The median overall follow-up time was three years. The median tumor volume at baseline was 0.5 cm³ (ranging from 0.2 to 1.6 cm³). The therapeutic dose was 35.0 Gy by 99% of dose volume histogram. The survival after single dose stereotactic radiosurgery was 96% in one year, 93% in two years, 84% in five years, 80% in seven years and 52% in eleven years. Secondary enucleation was necessary for 22 patients because of secondary glaucoma complication. The enucleation free interval ranged from one to six years. The survival rates in five year intervals and necessity of secondary enucleation due to complications after single dose stereotactic radiosurgery is comparable to other techniques.
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Uveal melanoma is the most common and the most aggressive primary intraocular malignancy in adults. The mean age-adjusted incidence of uveal melanoma in the United States is approximately 4.3 new cases per million people, the incidence in Slovakia is from 2 to 6 new cases per million inhabitants per year [1, 2].

Age and tumor volume (size) were determined prognostic indicators for uveal melanoma therapy. The molecular profile of the uveal melanoma differs in cutaneous and mucosal melanoma.

Ophthalmological examination and diagnostic tools, such as ultrasound, optical coherence tomography, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET/CT) have led to significant advances in the diagnosis of primary uveal melanoma. Radiotherapy (brachytherapy and other modalities – proton beam irradiation and stereotactic radiotherapy) has become the preferred method of treatment for the majority of patients with uveal melanoma. Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) of extra-cerebral lesions, such as uveal melanoma, has been used in the last two decades for treatment of small and medium stage posterior uveal melanoma because it offers good local control. Survival rates are comparable to other types of therapy [3–5].

The single dose SRS is a relatively rare method in treatment of choroidal melanoma. Image fusion of a contrast-enhanced MRI and CT is used for treatment planning coordinates. This treatment is used as single dose SRS with one fraction of 35.0 Gy administered with spatial accuracy by collimation.

The team of specialists consists of an ophthalmologist, neurosurgeon, medical physicist and a radiation oncologist. All were responsible for SRS planning and image fusion of a contrast–enhanced MRI and CT images is used for treatment planning coordinates. Fusion of the images from these imaging techniques is suitable for accurately specifying anatomical structures and differentiating gross tumor volume (GTV) and mass from healthy tissue and the most critical adjacent structures (optic chiasm, brain stem, skin of the head, bilateral optic nerves and lenses). Precise planning is most important for determining the stereotactic coordinates of radiation beams to be applied in GTV and irradiation of critical structures by inappropriate doses can lead to loss of vision or other complications and can reduce later life quality [6].
The ability to metastasize hematogenously is well-known in choroidal melanoma, and this frequently goes to the liver [7–9]. Patients after both conservative and surgical ocular treatment are followed-up for metastases in six monthly intervals by liver function tests, and/or imaging methods.

Survival prognostic factors are; (1) clinical predictors (tumor maximum elevation, basal diameter, ciliary body involvement, extra-ocular infiltration), (2) histopathological predictors (epitheloid melanoma has worse prognosis), and (3) high mitotic count and genetic predictors. Although effective local therapies have been developed over the past 30 years, five-year survival rates have not changed, and over 50% of patients develop metastases.

The aim of this study is to determine the length of time before metastasis diagnosis after stereotactic radiosurgery, and the rates and sites of metastasis discovered during patient follow-up.

Patients and methods

We analyzed data in a retrospective study of patients with intraocular uveal melanoma T1 to T3 stages who underwent SRS at linear accelerator (Model LINAC C 600 C/D Varian [Varian Medical Systems Inc., Palo Alto, California, USA] with 6 MeV photons in 2001–2016. The manuscript does not report results of experimental investigations on human subjects due to the Declaration of Helsinki, and our study was approved by the Ethics Committee at the University Teaching Hospital in Bratislava.

Treatment protocol. All patients with uveal melanoma treated in 2001–2016 were included. Patients were not randomized either to radical (enucleation) or to "conservative" procedures, because treatment was determined exclusively on a patient-to-patient basis. Tumor stage, volume, maximum elevation, localization, presence of secondary retinal detachment, general status, age, gender, the functional tests (visual acuity, perimetry, ultrasound) were all considered. All patients were actively involved in the decision on their therapeutic procedure after the possible post-operative complications were discussed.

Data analysis. The tumor volume was calculated using the formula: volume = \( \pi/6 \times \text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{height} \). Tumors were divided into three groups: small – less than 0.5 cm³, medium – 0.5 to 1.0 cm³, and large – over 1.0 cm³.

Immobilization of the affected eye was achieved by surgical fixation of the eye-globe to the stereotactic Leibinger frame. Sutures were placed under local anesthesia to four direct extraocular muscles through conjunctiva and through the lids. The stereotactic frame was fixed to the head and the sutures were tied to the stereotactic frame. The patient underwent CT and MRI examination with the immobilized eye-globe to the frame. The stereotactic treatment planning after fusion of CT and MRI was optimized according to the critical structures – lenses, optic nerves and chiasm. Tumor volume calculation was based on the ROI (region of interest) of the tumor. The planned therapeutic dose into the tumor mass was 35.0 Gy by 99% of DVH (dose volume histogram).

On the same afternoon, the patient underwent irradiation at linear accelerator. The doses to the critical structures were below 8.0 Gy for the optic nerve and the optic disc, and 10.0 Gy to the anterior segment of the eye due to SRS planning scheme. Sutures and frame were removed under local anesthesia.

Follow-up. Patients were followed-up after three months by an ophthalmologist (biomicroscopy, ophthalmoscopy, aplanation tonometry, ultrasound, Optical Coherence Tomography), and sent for orbit MRI after six months. Following the first visit after stereotactic radiosurgery, patients were seen by their oncologist every six months for metastases screening (liver ultrasound, abdominal ultrasound, liver function test; once per year chest X-ray). Since January 2014, every patient has had a whole body PET/CT (Positron Emission Tomography) before stereotactic radiosurgery to exclude liver metastases. The disease free interval was defined as the time from treatment until the development of metastases. Patients were seen in three monthly intervals in the first year after the SRS, later in six monthly intervals following SRS; and five years after SRS they were requested to attend each year for complete examination.

Statistical methods. We used single linear regression, basic statistical methods and the non-parametric method to estimate the probability of survival after given time intervals.

Results

Patients’ characteristics. A total of 170 consecutive charts of patients with uveal melanoma (149 choroidal melanoma – 87.6%, 21 ciliary body melanoma – 12.4%) treated with single dose SRS were reviewed. Patient age ranged from 21 to 90 years with a median of 57 years. The number of males was 81 (47.7%) and number of females 89 (52.3%). Median tumor volume at baseline was 0.5 cm³, with range from 0.2 to 1.6 cm³. The therapeutic dose was 35.0 Gy by 99% of dose volume histogram (DVH). The median of the maximal dose applied was 49.0 Gy (range from 37.0 to 51.0 Gy). The number of the small uveal melanomas was 39 (23%), 97 were medium (57%) and 34 large (20%) (Suppl. Table 1).

Secondary enucleation was necessary in 22 patients (12.9%) due to secondary glaucoma. In this subgroup, liver metastasis developed in 20 patients (90.1%) The enucleation free interval ranged from one to six years, and Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate patient examination findings before the irradiation, planning scheme and enucleated eye-globe.

Survival analysis. The survival time of 170 patients was from 0.25 to 15 years. The median overall follow-up time was three years. We calculated three Kaplan-Meier survival curves. In the first survival curve, the overall survival after SRS was 96% in one year, 93% in two years, 84% in five years, 80% in seven years and 52% in eleven years (Figure 3A). The second survival curve compared small and large tumors.
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Figure 1. The patient’s status in 2014: A) PET/CT finding of patient with ciliary body melanoma before SRS; B) MRI finding with plotted tumor (red) and critical structures: lens (yellow), optic nerves (green, orange); C) Stereotactic planning scheme.

Figure 2. The patient’s status in 2016: A) patient 2 years after SRS underwent enucleation due to painful secondary glaucoma: external photograph of anterior segment before enucleation; B) eye-globe after enucleation with extrascleral extension; C) dissected eye-globe.

Figure 3. A) Survival curves – in group of 170 patients with uveal melanoma after SRS; B) survival curves – the comparison of the small and large tumors; C) survival curves – the comparison of the stages T1, T2, and T3; D) Cox regression – survival curves the patient group by gender.
We calculated one Cox proportional-hazards regression by gender (Figure 3D). Two predictors were considered: tumor volume and age of patient at the time of stereotactic radiosurgery. The calculation results confirmed that only one predictor, age by male (p=0.0572 with Risk Ratio 1.0488) was closest to being a significant prognostic factor. However, its p value was more than 0.05 and there were no other significant prognostic factors in this Cox proportional-hazards regression for survival analysis. The other calculated predictors were: age by female, p=0.8107 with Risk Ratio 1.0066, tumor volume by male, p=0.6210 with Risk Ratio 0.4358, and tumor volume by female, p=0.3103 with Risk Ratio 0.1765. The analysis indicated that none of the four predictors were statistically significant by gender comparison.

**Follow-up.** In the group of patients with small tumors who developed metastases, the interval after SRS was over five years, and in the patient group with large melanoma who developed metastases, the interval after SRS was less than three years. Finally, tumor volume reduction review after the initial six months following SRS ranged from 6 to 110 months.

We analyzed acute side effects on the eye-lids and anterior eye segment following SRS. The SRS planning scheme ensured that doses to the critical structures were below 8.0 Gy for the optic nerve (median 2.0 Gy), below 12.0 Gy (median 3.0 Gy) for the optic disc and below 10.0 Gy (median 5 Gy) to the anterior segment of the eye. Long-term side effects such as cataracts and maculopathy were noted in patients with tumors less than 3.0mm from the macula or ciliary body. Tumor local control was successful in 95% of patients two years after SRS and in 75% of patients three years after SRS.

Late complications 24 months after SRS were observed: macular destruction because of scarring around the tumor in 55% patients, optic nerve atrophy in 15%, partial lens opacity in 30%, total cataract in 5%, vitreous hemorrhage in 5%, secondary glaucoma in 15%, and central retinal vein thrombosis in 10%. Secondary enucleation was necessary in 22 patients (12.9%) due to secondary glaucoma. Melanoma was histopathologically verified in all enucleated eyes: spindle cell type A in 16 (72.7%) patients; spindle cell type B in two (9.1%) and epitheloid type in four (18.2%) patients. The BRAF mutation was negative in all these patients.

**Discussion**

Management of posterior uveal melanoma depends on tumor location, extent and size, on visual acuity on presentation and on systemic status [10].

The tendency away from enucleation towards eye-globe sparing techniques is seen in uveal melanoma patient management. The alternatives are: a) observation, b) trans-pupillary thermotherapy, c) block-excision, d) endo-resection with pars plana vitrectomy, e) brachy-therapy with a variety of radioisotopes, f) radiotherapy – proton beam irradiation, g) Leksell Gamma Knife and h) stereotactic radiosurgery [11–13].

Randomized, multi-center clinical trials conducted by the Collaborative Ocular Melanoma Study (COMS) group showed no difference in long-term survival rates of patients treated with plaque radiotherapy or enucleation of medium-sized tumors (basal diameter <16 mm and apical height 2.5–10 mm) [14]. There was no mortality benefit with pre-enucleation radiotherapy for large tumors (basal diameter ≥16 mm and apical height ≥2 mm or any basal diameter with apical height ≥10 mm) [15].

Proton beam radiotherapy is another form of radiation treatment used for posterior uveal melanoma, and this is comparable to plaque radiotherapy for tumor control, visual outcome and systemic prognosis [16]. Stereotactic photon beam radiation therapy using cyber knife, gamma knife or linear accelerator can also be used in choroidal melanoma management. The local tumor control, visual outcome and survival of patients undergoing stereotactic photon beam radiation therapy are equivalent to those undergoing proton beam radiotherapy [17].

The COMS study showed no difference in uveal melanoma-related metastasis and death based on gender. However, some reports suggest a better prognosis in females; with twice the mortality rate in males in the first 10 years of posterior uveal melanoma diagnosis [18]. Our study, however, determined no difference in prognosis due to age.

The efficacy of SRS for uveal melanoma has been proven in different studies with local tumor control rates reported over 90% [19–21]. High rates of local control can be also achieved with five-year control rates exceeding 95% in patients treated with proton-beam irradiation [22]. Reported case series suggest that SRS can have similar rates for local tumor control, metastasis, mortality and complications compared to brachytherapy. These findings suggest SRS's role in the treatment of selected uveal melanoma cases [23, 24]. The eye-globe preserving treatment with LINAC based stereotactic irradiation is feasible and well-tolerated in patients with medium sized and unfavorably located uveal melanoma [25].

There are few large, prospective and randomized trials with mortality statistics for medium-sized melanomas treated by brachytherapy, enucleation or SRS [26–28]. The gamma knife radiosurgery and SRS is a proper alternative in treating uveal melanoma in patients with lesions which are inappropriate for conventional brachytherapy. Patients receive a single non-fractionated dose of stereotactic radiation, with maximum and peripheral doses at 40–75 Gy and 16.5–30 Gy. Eye retention is one of the main goals of conservative treatment, but secondary enucleation is indicated in some patients due to complications after irradiation; including secondary neo-vascular glaucoma [24]. According to presented results, a single one-day session SRS with 35.0 Gy is recommended for treating small and medium stage melanoma [28].

Metastatic melanoma has four important prognostic death factors. The first factor is the size of the tumor: the larger
the tumor, the worse the prognosis. The second factor is the location of the tumor. The third factor is the age of the patient at the time of diagnosis: the older the patient, the worse the short-term survival prognosis. And the fourth factor is the extrascleral tumor extension [29]. The obvious disadvantages of enucleation are eye-globe preservation and useful vision in patients treated conservatively with SRS.

The limit of metastatic spread is also questionable. Some observational studies comparing irradiation and enucleation have reported no significant differences in survival rates [23, 28]. Another study also indicated no significant difference in comparison of five-year mortality rates in patients treated by plaque radio-therapy and enucleation [26].

Studies in the past decades have promoted treatment with proton irradiation, and this can be highly successful in achieving local control of intraocular melanoma. The overall rates of metastatic disease are comparable to those observed after enucleation when this treatment is implemented and it is further recommended that enucleation should be limited to patients with large tumors. The stricken eye is unlikely to be salvaged by non-destructive treatment, such as irradiation.

The refinement of current methods and development of new techniques should continually improve the positive outlook of the uveal melanoma patients. The survival strategies in these studies proposed the use of single-dose stereotactic radiotherapy with combined tumor resection. This can increase tumor control with fewer radiation complications than mono-treatment with single-dose stereotactic radiotherapy [30, 31].

Multidisciplinary teams must cooperate both in patient treatment and post-treatment management because no survival benefit from early metastasis detection has currently been documented and no effective adjuvant systemic therapy has been demonstrated to reduce the risk of metastases [32, 33].

The uveal melanoma TNM stage is an important prognostic factor. In a study of 7,731 patients with posterior uveal melanoma based on the American Joint Committee on Cancer T-category classification, the risk of metastasis and death increased twofold with each increasing tumor category, and the 10-year metastatic rate was 15% for T1, 25% for T2, 49% for T3 and 63% for T4 tumors [34]. Kang et al. reported the overall 5-year survival rate in group of patients after Gamma Knife surgery for uveal melanoma was 90.9% (20 of 22 patients) [35]. They analyzed patient survival according to age, sex, tumor volume, and margin dose; however, no relationship was found among these variables (p>0.05) [35]. Our study revealed the overall 5-year survival rate was 84%, and no relationship was also found in age, gender, and tumor volume prediction (p>0.05).

Arnett et al’s study [36] had the following findings: median age at diagnosis was 70 years and median follow-up was 26.4 months. Primary ocular melanoma was diagnosed in 17 (61%) patients (stage T2a–T4e). The average maximum dose and dose to margin were 41 and 21 Gy, respectively. The mean dose to the optic nerve was 12.6 Gy. The 5-year survival for subjects presenting with primary uveal melanoma lesions was 90% and only 1 patient required enucleation after radiation treatment [36].

This compares with our study where the average maximum dose was 49 Gy, the overall 5-year survival rate was 84%, and enucleation was necessary in 12.9%.

It is very hard to clinically differentiate adenocarcinomas and adenomas derived from pigmented ciliary epithelium from uveal melanoma. In addition, BRAF gene mutation has been confirmed in skin malignant melanomas, and Mori et al.[37] identified BRAF V600E mutations in four of five adenocarcinoma samples, but not in the 11 uveal melanoma samples [37]. However, findings in other group studies failed to confirm that the uveal melanoma should also contain BRAF mutations because it has similar origin to skin melanoma. Finally, our study [38] also established negative BRAF results in enucleated eyes with uveal melanoma [38].

Conclusion

Survival rates in patients with posterior uveal melanoma treated at linear accelerator are comparable to other treatment methods. According to the results the single dose SRS with 35.0 Gy is valuable method to treat small and medium stage uveal melanoma. In this study the survival rates in five year interval and necessity of secondary enucleation, due to complications after single dose SRS, is comparable to other treatment modalities.

Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper.
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Suppl. Table 1. Follow-up in group of 170 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-up Period</th>
<th># of Survived in LTS</th>
<th># of Survived in MTS</th>
<th># of Survived in STS</th>
<th>Total of Survived</th>
<th># of Died in LTS</th>
<th># of Died in MTS</th>
<th># of Died in STS</th>
<th>Total of Died</th>
<th>Grand Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 quarter</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 quarters</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 quarters</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 quarters</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 quarters</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 quarters</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 quarters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 quarters</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 quarters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 quarters</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 quarters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 quarters</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 quarters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 quarters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 quarters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LTS - Large Tumor Size; MTS - Median Tumor Size; STS - Small Tumor Size.