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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: In this study, we sought to investigate the effect of different amounts of Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells (BMSCs), obtained by different BMSCs, on the healing of avascular zone meniscal defects. BACKGROUND: Treating avascular zone meniscal injuries has gained popularity. BMSCs contribute to the healing of avascular zone meniscal defects. The amount of BMSCs derived from different bone marrow stimulation techniques (BMSTs) varies, which could affect the therapeutic efficacy of this treatment.

METHODS: Fifty-four skeletally mature female New Zealand White rabbits were used after local ethical committee approval. A full thickness, 1.5 mm diameter defect was produced in the inner two-thirds of the anterior portion of the medial meniscus avascular zone using a biopsy punch. Animals were enrolled into three different groups according to BMST (0.8 mm, 1.5 mm, and 4 mm). Medial menisci were harvested and prepared for histomorphometric, histologic and immune-histologic analyses.

RESULTS: Larger bridging tissues across the defect were detected in the 1.5-mm and 4-mm groups at 4 weeks (p < 0.05). The best quality score at the 1-, 4- and 12-week endpoints was in 0.8 mm, 4 mm and 0.8 mm, 1.5 mm, respectively (p < 0.05). Immunostaining of experimental and control knees at the 1-week endpoint revealed diffuse staining within the bridging tissue for PDGA, TGF-β3, BMP-2 and IGF. HSCORE for these pro-regenerative cytokines did not reach statistical significance at the 1-week endpoint (p > 0.05)

CONCLUSION: The largest amount of BMSCs did not correlate with best quality and largest quantity of bridging tissue at the avascular zone in meniscal defects (Tab. 3, Fig. 4, Ref. 30).
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Introduction

Menisci have critical roles with respect to load bearing, transmission, lubrication and nutrition of articular cartilage (1). Peak contact stress, which is related to the degree of meniscectomy, is the major contributing factor to the development of osteoarthritis in the tibiofemoral joint. Therefore, in treating meniscal injuries, the preservation of as much meniscal tissue as possible is critical for preventing further degeneration (2). Meniscectomy significantly increases the risk of osteoarthritis (3). As a result, treating avascular zone meniscal injuries has gained popularity. Bone marrow stem cells (4), growth factors (5), exogenous fibrin clots (6), trephination (7), stem cell therapy (8) and platelet rich plasma (9) have all been used in an effort to protect meniscal tissue. Driscoll et al (4) showed that bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) contribute to the healing of avascular zone meniscal defects. Min BH et al (10) quantified the amount of BMSCs derived from different bone marrow stimulation techniques (BMSTs) and suggested that the amount of BMSCs could affect the therapeutic efficacy of this treatment. However, we could not identify any studies investigating this effect.

In this study, we sought to investigate the effect of different amounts of BMSCs, obtained by different BMSTs, on the healing of avascular zone meniscal defects (AZMDs).

Materials and methods

The experimental protocols were approved by the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Fifty-four skeletally mature female New Zealand White rabbits, weighing an average of 3.5 kg, were enrolled and divided into three equal groups. Preoperative analgesics and antibiotic prophylaxis were administered intramuscularly. The animals were intramuscularly anesthetized with 10 mg/kg ketamin and 8 mg/kg xylazine. In each animal, both knees were operated on at the same time. Left knees were assigned to the control group and right knees were assigned to the treatment group.
group. The knees were accessed through a medial parapatellar arthrotomy. The patella was laterally dislocated and the meniscus was exposed with maximal flexion. A full thickness, 1.5 mm diameter defect was produced in the inner two-thirds of the anterior portion of the medial meniscus avascular zone using a biopsy punch (Miltex,York,PA) (Fig. 1). This procedure was performed in both knees of each animal. The animals were enrolled into three different groups, according to BMST. BMSTs were modified according to the technique described by Min BH et al (10).

Kirschner wires of 0.8 mm, 1.5 mm, and 4 mm diameters were used to make a microfracture for spilling different amounts of BMSCs. Microfractures were performed on the trochlear groove to a depth of three millimetres. Three consecutive drillings three millimetres apart from one another were performed in the 0.8 mm and 1.5 mm groups, whereas only one drilling was performed in the 4 mm group. The capsule and skin were closed in layers with absorbable sutures. Rabbits were maintained in their cages without movement restriction. A total of 54 rabbits were killed at the 1-, 4-, and 12-week endpoints (n = 54 total; n = 18 in each group and n = 6 at three different time endpoints within each group). Medial menisci were harvested and prepared for histomorphometric, histologic and immunohistologic analyses.

Tissue preparation

After meniscus tissue specimens were grossly inspected and photographed, they were immediately fixed in 4% neutral buffered paraformaldehyde, decalcified in a fixative-added decalcification solution containing a fixative and ethylenediamine- tetra-acetic acid, washed under running tap water, and then dehydrated through an ethanol dilution series and embedded in paraffin. Each specimen was then cut into 5-mm thick sections with a rotary microtome (Leica RM2125RT, China) in the radial plane, through the centre of the original defect. For histologic and histomorphometric analyses, the sections were stained with Safranin-O/Fast Green. The quantity and quality of regenerated meniscal tissues were evaluated as described below.

Tissue quantity analysis

The quantity of reparative tissue bridging the meniscal defects was evaluated using 9–10 random serial tissue sections obtained from the central portion of each defect. To define the original defect, horizontal lines were drawn, connecting the superior and inferior surfaces of the meniscus at the borders of the 0.8-mm, 1.5-mm and 4-mm biopsies. Tissue regeneration was evaluated within the region bounded by these lines and within the adjacent native meniscal tissues. The area of the original defect (OD) and the area occupied by reparative tissue inside the defect (RT) were calculated using a Niss element digital image software system integrated into a computerized research light microscope (Nicon Eclipse E200, Japan). The quantity of reparative tissues was then expressed as the ratio of reparative tissue area to the entire defect area (RT/OD). Complete full-thickness bridging would result in a value of 100 % (= 1) and incomplete or partial-thickness bridging would result in a value less than 100 % (= 0–1)(Safranin-O, Scale bar: 500 μm).

Tab. 1. Histologic tissue quality score (11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reparative tissues with bonding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral bonds with surrounding meniscus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial bonds with surrounding meniscus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No bond with surrounding meniscus</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existence of fibrochondrocytes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrochondrocytes exist diffusely in the reparative tissues</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrochondrocytes are localized in the reparative tissues</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fibrochondrocytes in the reparative tissues</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staining with safranin-O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densely stained with safranin-O</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faintly stained with safranin-O</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stained with safranin-O</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tissue quality analysis

The evaluation of 3 dimensions of reparative meniscal tissue quality was performed using an established quantitative scoring system, as described in Table 1. Sections were stained at neutral pH with Safranin-O, which identifies areas rich in proteoglycans. Histologic scoring was performed by one investigator (FG), who was blinded to the treatment category (4, 11).

Immunohistochemistry

Radial meniscal tissue sections from the experimental and control knees of each rabbit across all groups were also immuno-histochemically analysed to test for the presence of growth factors involved in meniscal cell proliferation and extracellular matrix synthesis, including transforming growth factor (TGF)β-3, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-A and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-2. It is postulated that growth factor quantities peak at approximately 1 week after injury, but we studied specimens from all of the time endpoints. Five micrometre thick unstained sections from 4% neutral buffered formalin fixed and paraffin embedded meniscal tissues were deparaffinized, hydrated, and kept in a citric acid solution for 5 minutes in a microwave (Nitellowave MD 554 Arçelik) to antigen retrieval except for that of BMP-2 and were then immersed in 3% hydrogen peroxide to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. Next, slides were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated in 1.5% blocking serum for 1 hour to block nonspecific staining. Specimens were then incubated overnight at 4°C in a moist environment and in the dark with the following primary antibodies: TGFβ-3 (1:100 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), IGF-1 (1:100 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), BMP-2 (1:200 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and PDGF-A (1:200 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Next, sections were washed with PBS, incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 30 minutes, and washed again with PBS. A streptavidin-marked secondary antibody was added to the tissue sample, incubated for 30 minutes in a humidified atmosphere in the dark, and washed three times with PBS. Finally, samples were incubated with AEC chromogen for 5–10 minutes to visualize the immunoreactivity, washed in distilled water, counterstained with haematoxylin, and then mounted with an aqueous mounting solution (Inovitrogen). For the negative control, PBS was dropped onto the sections instead of a primary antibody, and the same procedures were applied as in the other steps. No immunostaining was observed in the negative control.

Immunohistochemical evaluation

All meniscal tissue sections were viewed under a microscope to identify the immune reactive cells. A semi-quantitative evaluation system, a scoring scale, was used based on the severity of staining that occurred as a result of the antigen-antibody reaction. In this scale, a total of four values were used, graded from one negative to three positive. The interpretations of the grades are as follows: (-): no staining, (+): weakly detectable staining, (++): medium severity staining, and (+++): severe staining. Through using this scale, the immune staining of cartilage cells was evaluated.

Semi-quantitative HSCORE Analysis

Five areas were randomly selected from the sections on each animal and were to be examined under a light microscope with 40x magnification. The categorical enumeration of the cells within these areas was made according to their immune staining intensity. The average results of blind counts were taken. During these counts, both the number of the cells showing a positive immu-

Tab. 2. Reparative Tissue Area/Defect Area (RT/DA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>0.8 mm</th>
<th>1.5 mm</th>
<th>4 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n Mean±SD</td>
<td>n Mean±SD</td>
<td>n Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6 0.43±0.04 (A)</td>
<td>6 0.44±0.1 (A)</td>
<td>6 0.5±0.09 (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 week</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 0.49±0.05 (A)</td>
<td>6 0.61±0.13 (A)</td>
<td>6 0.69±0.07 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 0.91±0.03 (B)</td>
<td>6 0.94±0.03 (B)</td>
<td>6 0.93±0.05 (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uppercase superscripts represent differences of colons, and lowercase superscripts represent differences of lines, no significant differences between groups with the same superscripts, F: Analysis of variance (ANOVA), p < 0.05 represents statistical significance

Tab. 3. Tissue Quality Score (range 0–6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>0.8 mm</th>
<th>1.5 mm</th>
<th>4 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Weeks</td>
<td>2.45±1.31 (A,B)</td>
<td>5.6±0.81 (B,C)</td>
<td>3.4±0.5 (C,A)</td>
<td>3±2.49 (B,A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Weeks</td>
<td>3.8±0.41 (A,B)</td>
<td>4.4±1.99 (A,B)</td>
<td>2.8±0.8 (B,C)</td>
<td>5±0.6 (C,B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Weeks</td>
<td>4.8±0.41 (A,B)</td>
<td>6±0.8 (C,A)</td>
<td>6±0.8 (C,A)</td>
<td>5±0.8 (C,B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uppercase superscripts represent differences of colons, and lowercase superscripts represent differences of lines, no significant differences between groups with the same superscripts, F: Analysis of variance (ANOVA), p < 0.05 represents statistical significance
noreactivity and the immunoreactive intensity degrees of these cells were considered in all cells that were stained and not stained. For estimating the results of these counts, the HSCORE formula $\sum P_i(i+1)$ was used. In this formula, $i$ is the staining intensity score and $P_i$ is the percentage of all cells that are stained (12, 13).

Statistical analysis
Descriptive analyses were performed to provide information on the general characteristics of the study population. The data from the variables were represented as the mean ± standard deviation. A one-way ANOVA was used to compare the means of variables between groups. A $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. Analyses were performed using commercial software (IBM SPSS Statistics 19, SPSS Inc., an IBM Co., Somers, NY).

Results

Tissue quantity analysis
The gross inspection of the meniscal tissue bridging the defects revealed a significant closure of the defects in the 1.5-mm and 4-mm groups at 4 weeks compared to that of the control and 0.8-mm groups. However, the gross inspections of the meniscal tissue bridging the defects at 1 and 12 weeks revealed that the closures of the defects were similar in all of the groups. A detailed microscopic analysis of tissues bridging the defects revealed significantly better scores in the 1.5-mm and 4-mm groups at 4 weeks ($p < 0.05$). However, there was no significant difference detected between all groups at 12 weeks (Tab. 2).

Tissue quality analysis
Better tissue quality scores were detected in the BMST (0.8 mm, 1.5 mm, and 4 mm) groups compared to the controls at different time endpoints. The best quality score at the 1, 4, and 12 week endpoints was in the 0.8-mm, 4-mm and 0.8-mm, and 1.5-mm groups, respectively ($p < 0.05$) (Tab. 3 and Fig. 3).

Immunostaining
Immunostaining of the experimental and control knees at 1 week revealed diffuse staining within the bridging tissue for PDGA, TGF$\beta$-3, BMP-2 and IGF. HSCORE for these proregenerative cytokines did not have statistical significance at the 1 week endpoint ($p > 0.05$). The highest scores of staining for TGF$\beta$-3, PDGA were detected in the 1.5-mm group at the 4-week endpoint, however, the highest BMP-2 and IGF scores were not significantly different in the control and experimental knees across all of the groups (Fig. 4).

Discussion
Since the menisci have important roles with regard to the protection of cartilage by various mechanisms, such as load bearing, transmission, lubrication and nutrition of articular cartilage, the preservation of as much meniscus tissue as possible during surgery is an idea that has gained popularity in recent years (1). Isolated arthroscopic meniscal repair yields favourable long term clinical and functional results (14, 15). The arthroscopic repair of isolated meniscal tears revealed better long term clinical and radiological results compared to those of meniscectomies (16–18). However,
good results of the arthroscopic repair of red-red zone menisci do not allow us to predict the results of red-white or avascular zone repairs because the blood supply, which is crucial for healing, is limited or deficient in the central meniscus. Therefore, many studies, mostly experimental, have been conducted to investigate the effects that different augmentation techniques have on the repair of avascular zone meniscal injury or defects.

Long term clinical studies on the results of avascular zone meniscal repair are sparse. Rubman MH et al assessed the results of the arthroscopic repairs of 198 meniscal tears in the avascular zone, which had a mean follow up time of 42 months. A reoperation rate of 20 % was detected. However, second look arthroscopy evaluation revealed that of the 91 repairs, 68 knees (74 %) were either not healed or only partially healed. In this study, although a tibiofemoral joint complaint was clinically detected in 39 knees, the rate of healing in repairs detected by arthroscopy was much less (19). Noyes FR et al assessed the results of 29 arthroscopic repairs of avascular zone meniscal tears; of these, 21 knees underwent concomitant ACL reconstruction. Of the 29 repairs, only 3 knees were re-operated on, which represents a high success rate (20). A high success rate may be attributable to mesenchymal stem cells rising from the femoral and tibial tunnels of ACL reconstruction. The success rate may be as high as 75 % by clinical examination, which does not represent the rate detected by second look arthroscopy (21–23).

Zellner J et al studied the effects of PRP and BMP7, in combination with a hyaluronan collagen matrix, on the regeneration of avascular zone meniscal defects and found that although the procedure has a positive effect on meniscal healing, the improvement was not significant (5). Trephination and an exogenous fibrin clot were used in the past to augment repair (6, 7). Cook JL et al compared the effectivity of trephination and repair with a novel conduit composed of bioabsorbable poly-L-lactic acid for the augmentation of avascular zone meniscal tears and found the conduit treatment to be more effective in regard to tissue healing and biomechanical integrity (24). Locally applied vascular endothelial growth factor was investigated, and it was found to have no contribution to angiogenesis and thus no contribution to meniscal healing (25, 26).

Mesenchymal stem cells that are multipotent by nature are easily accessible from the bone marrow, periosteum, and adipose and synovial linings of major joints (27). A study by Horie M et al confirmed the efficacy of allogenic mesenchymal stem cells for improving meniscal defects (27). The intraarticular injection of synovial stem cells promotes a regeneration of massive meniscal defects (28). Bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells were harvested and cultured accordingly and applied to a meniscal repair of avascular zone meniscal tears and defects in two studies. The results of these studies confirmed the contribution of mesenchymal stem cells on the quality and quantity of the healing of defects and tears (29, 30). We used the rabbit meniscal defect model described by Driscoll MD et al (4), in which the authors used 2.4 mm pins to create a microfracture to detect a modest improvement of meniscal defects in the marrow stimulation group. The current work was inspired by the study of Min BH et al (10), in which they showed that different BMSTs mobilize different amounts of mesenchymal stem cells. They detected that the number of BMSCs drained was largest in the subchondral 4-mm opening group, followed by the 1.5-mm and 0.8-mm microfracture groups, in that order (10). We investigated whether the amount of BMSCs affects the quality and quantity of the regeneration of meniscal defects. Therefore, we created different BMSTs according to the study of Min BH et al (10).
The largest tissue quantity in the present study was detected in the 1.5-mm and 4-mm groups at 4 weeks. However, this measurement at the 1- and 12-week endpoints was not statistically significant in all of the groups, including the controls. Tissue quality scores were significantly better in all treatment groups compared to the controls at all endpoints. The best quality scores at the 1-, 4-, and 12-week endpoints were in the 0.8-mm, 4-mm and 0.8-mm groups, together with the 1.5-mm group, respectively. The best HSCORE for the immunostaining of TGFβ-3, PDGA was detected in the 1.5 mm group at the 4-week endpoint. This study proved the efficacy of BMSCs on the healing of avascular zone meniscal defects. However, the largest amount of BMSCs, which stems from largest hole or from the most frequent number of holes, did not correlate with best quality and quantity of bridging tissue at the avascular zone meniscal defects.

The clinical relevance of this study could be of importance for the arthroscopic repair of avascular zone meniscal defects and cartilage injuries.
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