CLINICAL STUDY

Subsidence of anchored cage after anterior cervical discectomy
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: Cage subsidence (CS) represents a risk factor for adjacent segment degeneration (ASD) and unfavorable results of anterior cervical discectomy (ACD).

METHODS: Sixty-one patients after level 1 or 2 of ACD with implantation of Zero Profile VA cage were included in the study. CS was assessed with a follow-up period of 12 months after ACD. The impacts of factors such as sex, age, number of operated segments, osteoporosis and extent of peroperative distraction were assessed in relation to the incidence of CS. The influences of CS on clinical results (VAS, NDI, Odom’s criteria) and ASD incidence were evaluated.

RESULTS: In 74 % of cases there was the presence of CS into both adjacent vertebral bodies. CS into the ventral part of motion segment was dominant during the entire follow-up period. CS had no influence on clinical results of ACD. Parallel CS into both ventral and dorsal parts of motion segments significantly increased the incidence of proximal ASD (p = 0.0163). Osteoporosis and extent of peroperative distraction were linked to higher incidence of CS into the dorsal part of motion segment (p < 0.05).

CONCLUSIONS: Osteoporosis and the extent of peroperative distraction are risk factors for the subsidence of anchored cage and while increasing the incidence of proximal adjacent segment degeneration it has no significant influence on clinical results of surgery (Tab. 3, Fig. 5, Ref. 32). Text in PDF www.eils.sk.
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Introduction

Cage subsidence (CS) represents a breakthrough of intervertebral disc replacement into the trabecular bone of adjacent vertebral bodies with a consecutive decrease in the height of intervertebral space. Risk factors for CS include osteoporosis, extent of peroperative intervertebral space distraction, improper position of the implant in the intervertebral space, size of the contact plane between the implant and adjacent vertebral body endplate, extent of intraoperative vertebral endplate treatment, excessive resection of ventral osteophytes, implant fixated by means of a ventral plate, and type of material used for intervertebral cage production (1–9). CS after an anterior cervical discectomy (ACD) occurs most often in the ventral part of the motion segment (VPMS) thus resulting in segmental kyphosis which is a risk factor for the adjacent segment degeneration (ASD) (1, 10). CS can even lead to restenosis of neuroforamina and therefore to the relapse of the previous radicular symptomatology. An intervertebral cage anchored by means of fixating screws carries a presumption of higher incidence of its subsidence when compared to intervertebral cages secured by a conventional plate (7, 11–14).

Material and methods

This prospective study includes 61 patients with a degenerative disc disease of the cervical spine who underwent a microsurgical 1- or 2-level ACD during the period from May 2013 to April 2015. The patients with correlating clinical and graphic findings were indicated for surgical treatment after exhaustion of conservative treatment for a minimum duration of 6 weeks. In all cases included, the surgically treated motion segments showed graphic signs of osteochondrosis. As a replacement of the intervertebral disc, a single type of anchored intervertebral cage was used, namely Zero Profile Variable Angle (DePuy Synthes, Switzerland, Zero-P VA). This type of cage is fixed in the intervertebral space by means of two divergently introduced screws (Fig. 1). During the cervical discectomy we aimed for the maximum preservation of the integrity of adjacent vertebral endplates. We used exclusive criteria as follows: myelopathy, pregnancy, presence of inflammatory or oncological disease of the cervical spine, cervical spine trauma, and any type of contraindication for the elective surgery. As for the age of patients included, the cohort was divided into two subgroups, namely patients younger than 55 years and those aged 55 years or older. The cohort was also divided according to patients’ sex and number of operated segments while there was
also a subgroup of patients with a densitometrically verified diagnosis of osteoporosis.

Amongst the prospectively evaluated clinical factors there was a subjective assessment of life quality using the neck disability index (NDI) questionnaire and assessment of pain intensity separately for neck (VAS C) and upper limbs (VAS UL) while using the visual analogue scale preoperatively and postoperatively with a follow-up of 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months. Since the official Slovak form of the NDI questionnaire was not available to us, a Slovak mutation of the questionnaire was created by translating the English version (15). The outcome of surgical treatment was also evaluated objectively by means of Odom’s criteria as part of postoperative clinical controls performed by the surgeon (16).

In all patients, radiographic examinations in anteroposterior and lateral projections were carried out preoperatively, early into the postoperative period (within first 48 hours) and as part of follow-ups performed 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months after the surgery. The height of intervertebral space was measured indirectly as a relative height of ventral and dorsal parts of the motion segment. The relative height of VPMS was measured as a ratio of the height of motion segment in the sagittal plane measured at the level of anterior margins of vertebral bodies to the length of upper endplate of the proximal vertebral body. Similarly, the dorsal part of the motion segment (DPMS) was assessed as a ratio of the motion segment’s height measured at the level of posterior margins of the vertebral bodies to the length of upper endplate of the proximal vertebral body (Fig. 2). The relative heights of motion segments were also measured preoperatively, early postoperatively (within first 48 hours) and as part of follow-ups performed 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months after the surgery.
CS was defined as a decrease in the relative motion segment’s height, namely by 10 % and more when compared to the early postoperative finding. The impact of factors such as patients’ sex, age, number of operated segments and osteoporosis on the incidence of CS was statistically evaluated using the Fischer’s exact test. Twelve months after ACD, the effect of CS was evaluated with regards to the efficiency of the surgical treatment (VAS C, VAS UL, NDI, Odom’s criteria). This was done both separately for VPMS, and DPMS, and concurrently for both sides. The significance of this effect was evaluated by means of the Student’s unpaired t-test. The relationship of the extent of distraction of VPMS and DPMS in the early postoperative period to the incidence of CS was evaluated using the Student’s unpaired t-test. A value of p < 0.05 was defined as statistically significant.

The degeneration of the motion segment, adjacent to the segment treated by ACD, was analyzed using the radiographic findings obtained 12 months after the surgery. Radiographic findings of ASD (Figs 2, 3 and 4) included incrustation of the anterior longitudinal ligament, development or progression of ventral or dorsal osteophytes or degenerative listhesis. The degeneration of adjacent segment and its eventual clinical manifestation was evaluated separately for proximal and distal motion segments. The impact of factors such as patients’ sex, age, number of operated segments, osteoporosis and CS on the incidence of ASD was statistically evaluated using the Fischer’s exact test with a value of p < 0.05, thus defined as being statistically significant.

Results

In our study, data of 61 patients were assessed and 94 intervertebral levels of the cervical spine were treated in total. The most commonly treated levels of the cervical spine were C5/6 and C6/7. There was a significant increase in the relative height of VPMS and DPMS in the early period after cage implantation. The increase in VPMS was significantly higher than the increase in DPMS in the early postoperative period (p = 0.0002). There was a significant decrease in VPMS compared to DPMS at 3 months, 6 months and 12 months into the follow-up period after ACD (p < 0.05). The average relative height of VPMS at 12 months into the follow-up period after ACD was very similar to preoperative findings. The average height of DPMS was lower compared to preoperative findings (Fig. 5).

The incidence of CS in our group of patients is shown in Table 1. The incidence of isolated CS in VPMS was present in 23 % of patients at 12 months into the follow-up period after ACD. CS in VPMS and DPMS was present in 21 % of patients at 12 months into the follow-up period after ACD. The incidence of isolated CS in DPMS was present in 11.5 % of patients at 12 months into the follow-up period after ACD. Total incidence of CS was 18 % at 6 weeks into the follow-up period and at 12 months, it increased up to 56 %. CS into both adjacent vertebral bodies was present.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cage subsidence</th>
<th>VPMS</th>
<th>DPMS</th>
<th>VPMS + DPMS</th>
<th>Total incidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>11.4 % / 7.4 %</td>
<td>0 % / 0%</td>
<td>6.6 % / 4.3 %</td>
<td>18 % / 11.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>16.4 % / 12.8 %</td>
<td>3.3 % / 2.1%</td>
<td>13.1 % / 8.5%</td>
<td>32.8 % / 23.4 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>14.7 % / 11.7 %</td>
<td>8.2 % / 5.4%</td>
<td>16.4 % / 10.6%</td>
<td>39.3 % / 27.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>23 % / 19.2 %</td>
<td>11.5 % / 7.5%</td>
<td>21.3 % / 17%</td>
<td>55.8 % / 43.7 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Proximal and distal adjacent segment degeneration in form of the formation of ventral osteophytes (authors’s archive).

Fig. 5. Time-evolving graph of motion segment’s relative height before and after the surgery.
in 74% of treated levels, while the subsidence to the distal vertebral body was present in 26% of treated levels. In our group of patients there was no isolated CS into the proximal vertebral body.

The preoperative and postoperative results of VAS, VAS UL, and NDI in our group of patients are compared in Table 2. In the postoperative period there was a decrease in neck pain and radicular pain in the upper limbs while the patients’ life quality improved. Throughout the follow-up period, clinical outcomes according to Odom’s criteria were evaluated as being “good” (minimal persistence of preoperative symptoms, abnormal findings improved). CS did not have any significant impact on clinical outcomes after the surgery.

The incidence of ASD was 27.9%, with a dominant disability of proximal adjacent segment (14.8%). Clinical symptoms of ASD were present in 3 patients (17.6%). All patients with symptomatic ASD were indicated to surgical procedure, namely discectomy and fusion. In all cases of ASD surgery, degeneration of distal segment after previous ACD was present. Graphical manifestation of ASD is shown in Table 3. The most common graphical manifestation was that of the formation of ventral osteophyte (no difference between distal and proximal adjacent segments). The calcification of anterior longitudinal ligament had the form of incrustation. In cases of isolated CS in DPMS, the incidence of ASD was higher, but without statistical significance. CS in VPMS and DPMS had a statistically significant impact on the incidence of proximal ASD (p = 0.0163), but the cases were without clinical symptoms.

In the group of patients with osteoporosis, the incidence of CS was higher in both VPMS and DPMS, but the significance (p < 0.05) was found only in the incidence of the latter. There was no correlation between age, sex, number of operated segments and incidence of CS.

When compared to patients without CS, the group of patients with CS in VPMS and DPMS yielded a higher early postoperative distraction in VPMS and DPMS, respectively, but the significance (p < 0.001) was found only in the incidence of the latter.

### Discussion

The incidence of CS after ACD is reported in range of 0–40% (1, 7, 11, 14, 17–22). Bartels et al report the incidence of CS without plate fixation at 29.2% (17). Wu et al even report the incidence of CS up to 19.1% (19). Dhir et al report the subsidence of Zero Profile (DePuy Synthes, Switzerland) anchored cage in all cases of implantation. At the same time however, they consider CS significant when it reaches 3 mm and more, and in those cases, CS had an incidence of 2% (11). In our study, the subsidence incidence of Zero-P VA cage was at 56% at 12 months after the surgery.

Many studies report the incidence of subsidence of anchored cage to be higher compared to cages fixed with a conventional plate (7, 12–14, 23–25). Other published studies did not confirm this premise (26–28). Chen et al report the incidence of titanium cage subsidence to be higher compared to that of polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cages (2). In our study, the incidence of CS at 12 months after ACD was high despite the fact that most of the Zero-P VA cages are made of PEEK and the cage was fixed by two screws (29). In our study, CS did not have a significant impact on the effect of surgical treatment. Similar findings are also reported in other published studies (1, 7, 13, 14, 19–21, 30).

In our study, osteoporosis was a risk factor of CS. It had a significant impact on the subsidence in DPMS. It was therefore confirmed that the weakening of the mechanical resistance of the bone significantly affected the incidence of CS (4, 8). Similarly, an extensive perioperative treatment of endplates of the adjacent vertebral bodies is one of the risk factors of CS (1, 9). In their biomechanical studies on cadavers, Nagaraja et al found out that the treatment of endplates leads to their thinning by an average of 20%. An extensive treatment of endplates has led to the weakening of cages with an integrated plate (5). In our study, during the surgery, we tried to preserve the maximum integrity of the endplates of adjacent vertebral body. Nevertheless, our incidence of CS was at the level of 56%.

In our data, CS into both adjacent vertebral bodies was present in up to 74% of cases. In the remaining cases, it was present only in the distal adjacent body of the vertebra. Isolated CS into the proximal adjacent vertebra did not occur in our study. Barsa and Suchomel report yet another tendency. In their study, almost 90% of cases had CS in the distal adjacent vertebra. The authors implanted a stand-alone cage and all cases of CS were present in VPMS (1). CS in VPMS (23%) was predominant also in our study.

### Tab. 2. Development of neck pain, pain of upper extremities, and quality of life after ACD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical results</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>6 weeks</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>6 months</th>
<th>12 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS C</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>3.85/-28.6%</td>
<td>3.84/-28.8%</td>
<td>3.69/-31.5%</td>
<td>3.77/-30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS UL</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>3.08/-47.1%</td>
<td>3.54/-39.2%</td>
<td>3.36/-42.3%</td>
<td>3.41/-41.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDI</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>40.1/-17.1%</td>
<td>39.3/-18.8%</td>
<td>38.5/-20.5%</td>
<td>37.8/-21.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tab. 3. Graphical manifestation of adjacent segment degeneration in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological types of ASD</th>
<th>Representation in the study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>calcification of the anterior longitudinal ligament</td>
<td>4 / 23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formation of ventral osteophyte</td>
<td>9 / 52.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degenerative spondylolisthesis</td>
<td>2 / 11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>formation of dorsal osteophyte</td>
<td>1 / 5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>degenerative instability</td>
<td>1 / 5.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conclusion

The incidence of anchored CS was high despite its fixation by two screws. CS occurred most often in VPMS without being significantly affected by observed risk factors and comorbidities. The risk factors of CS in DPMS included a densitometrically verified diagnosis of osteoporosis and extent of peroperative distraction of the surgically treated segment. CS had no significant impact on the clinical outcome after the surgery. CS simultaneously present in VPMS and DPMS was associated with a higher incidence of proximal ASD. Proximal ASD was not symptomatic in either of cases. Only distal ASD was clinically manifested.
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