EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

The alpha-2 receptor agonist dexmedetomidine attenuates vancomycin-induced acute kidney injury
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: This study was conducted to evaluate the protective effect of dexmedetomidine on nephrotoxicity and the mechanism of renoprotection following vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity in rats.

METHODS: Thirty-two albino Wistar rats were divided into four groups. The control group received intraperitoneal (IP) physiological saline solution, the vancomycin (VMC) group received IP 200 mg/kg vancomycin, the dexmedetomidine (DEX) group received IP 5 μg/kg dexmedetomidine, and the vancomycin and dexmedetomidine (VMC+DEX) group received IP 200 mg/kg vancomycin followed by IP 5 μg/kg dexmedetomidine 20 min after the vancomycin injection. On the 8th day of the experiment, histopathological and biochemical parameters were assessed.

RESULTS: Creatinine levels were significantly higher in VMC and VMC+DEX groups. The endothelin-1 level was significantly higher in VMC group. Nitric oxide levels were statistically lower in VMC and VMC+DEX groups. Histopathologic assessments revealed that the extent of renal damage was significantly higher in group VMC (n = 4 with damage of Grade 3) compared to group VMC+DEX (n = 0 with damage of Grade 3).

CONCLUSION: It was determined that dexmedetomidine can reduce the extent of renal damage by preventing the elevation of vasoconstrictor agents (Tab. 2, Fig. 1, Ref. 36). Text in PDF www.elis.sk.
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Introduction

Particularly due to failures in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infections, higher serum levels of vancomycin are recommended to prevent bacterial resistance and to generate the treatment response (1, 2). However, the increased doses are strongly correlated with an increase in the risk of nephrotoxicity (3, 4). The incidence of vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity (VIN) is reported to be 5–25 %, and even to increase to 35 % when used together with aminoglycosides (5, 6).

Even though the VIN mechanisms have not been completely elucidated, studies have reported that free radicals and oxidative stress are involved in the process (1–8). The evidence suggesting a relationship between renal diseases and oxidative stress has led to the use of antioxidants in renoprotective strategies (9, 10). Although the use of antioxidants and agents such as erythropoietin is recommended to inhibit VIN development, it is not clear whether such applications will limit the bactericidal capacity of vancomycin (11–14).

The adrenergic receptors mediate many biological functions such as the regulation of blood flow to the organs, including the kidneys. The α₂-adrenergic receptors are primarily related to the presynaptic neurons. They reduce norepinephrine secretion through their autocrine effects in the presynaptic area, thus regulating the autonomous sympathetic tonus (15).

The two important mechanisms regarding the role of α₂-adrenergic agonists in the protection of renal function were determined to be the reduction of sympathetic stimulation and organization of vasoreactivity (16–18).

Our hypotheses were that renal vasoconstriction might contribute to the nephrotoxic effect of vancomycin and that dexmedetomidine could inhibit vasoconstriction, thus creating the renoprotective effect. We demonstrate that our study was the first trial that investigates the protective effect of dexmedetomidine based on biochemical and histological data in the context of vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity. Our primary purpose was to evaluate the effect of dexmedetomidine on the vancomycin-induced renal damage. Secondly, we aimed to investigate the factors that contribute to VIN.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted at the Hakan Çetinsaya Centre of Experimental and Clinical Research at Erciyes University, with
approval from the local Ethical Committee for Animal Studies (approval number: 15/142-11.11.2015). Experimental procedures conformed to the guidelines proposed in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and to the appropriate European directives and Turkish national legislation. The study was conducted using 32 adult (10-week old) female albino Wistar rats that weighed between 170 and 230 g. All rats were kept in standard plastic cages, under standard laboratory setting and conditions as follows: temperature of $21 \pm 2$ °C, 55–60 % humidity, and cycle of 12 h of light followed by 12 h of dark. All rats were fed with standard laboratory food and tap water, and were allowed to consume what they wanted.

**Experimental protocol**

The rats were randomly divided into four groups (eight per group). Each rat was weighed before the experiment to determine the required drug dosage. The four experimental groups are described below:

Control group (n = 8): The subjects were intraperitoneally (IP) injected with 1 mL physiological saline solution (PSS), and another injection of 1 mL PSS after 20 min.

VMC group (vancomycin group, n = 8): The subjects were injected IP with 1 mL PSS, and 20 min later they were injected IP with 200 mg/kg vancomycin (Vankotek 1 gr vial, Koçak Farma, Turkey).

DEX group (dexametomidine group, n = 8): The subjects were injected IP with 5 μg/kg dexametomidine (Precede 200 μg/2 mL; Hospira, Rocky Mount, NC, USA), and 20 min later they were injected IP with 1 mL PSS.

VMC+DEX group (vancomycin and dexametomidine group, n = 8): The subjects were injected IP with 5 μg/kg dexametomidine, and 20 min later they were injected IP with 200 mg/kg vancomycin.

All injections were administered in the same volume and into the left body quadrant of the rats, while using an insulin injector by the same person. The doses were administered twice per day at 12-h intervals. The injections were given over a period of 7 days. On the eighth day, before taking the blood samples, the rats were sedated using 50 mg/kg IP pentobarbital. Intracardiac blood samples were taken using a syringe. Both kidneys were resected by laparotomy and placed in a 10 % formaldehyde solution. At the end of the experiment, the rats were sacrificed by cervical dislocation.

**Blood sampling and biochemical parameters in the serum**

The blood samples were centrifuged at 450 ×g at 4 °C for 15 min. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatine (Cr) were tested in the Swiss Roche Cobas analyzer. The serum ET-1 and NO levels were measured using the sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method (using the commercial kit YL Biont, YLA0332RA, Shanghai, China). TAS (Rel Assay Kit Diagnostics RL0017, Gaziantep, Turkey) and TOS (Rel Assay Kit Diagnostics RL0024, Gaziantep, Turkey) levels were measured by spectrophotometric analysis.

**Histopathological assessment**

For histological examination, routine paraffin wax embedding procedures were used. The kidneys were removed, divided into sections, fixed in 10 % formalin, and processed by routine histological methods. The paraffin-covered tissues were kept at room temperature until the paraffin hardened. Sections that were 5 μm thick were cut from the paraffin sample blocks using a microtome (Leica RM 2155).

In order to evaluate the morphological characteristics of the tissue before assessment by light microscopy, all sections were colored with hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) (Olympus BH-2, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The damage to proximal tubule cells was graded on the basis of guidance reported by Houghton and colleagues (19).

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Histogram and Q-Q graphs were examined and the Shapiro–Wilk test was performed to assess data normality. The Levene test was used to assess variance homogeneity. Continuous variables were presented as means with standard deviations (SD) or medians with interquartile range (IQR). As creatinine did not show normal distribution, its results were evaluated using the Kruskal–Wallis test, while Dunn Bonferroni test was used for multiple comparisons. The values that were found to be significant were compared using pairwise comparison tests, and the statistical differences between the groups were determined. One-way analysis of variance test (ANOVA; post hoc test) was used to compare BUN, ET-1, NO, TAS and TOS. For the groups that had differences, multiple comparisons were made using the Tukey test. A chi-squared (Fisher’s exact) test was used to compare the extent of the pathological damage. The Spearman’s correla-

---

**Tab. 1. The comparison of biochemical values between groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>VMC</th>
<th>DEX</th>
<th>VMC+DEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mg/dL)</td>
<td>21.20±3.5</td>
<td>35.18±7.3</td>
<td>21.62±4.1</td>
<td>24.7±3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.33±(0.29–0.34)</td>
<td>0.52±(0.38–0.54)</td>
<td>0.33±(0.32–0.35)</td>
<td>0.40±(0.38–0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET-1 (ng/L)</td>
<td>205.04±3.2</td>
<td>244.87±13.3</td>
<td>194.40±7.5</td>
<td>231.90±8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO (μmol/ L)</td>
<td>219.76±31.3</td>
<td>161.48±12.9</td>
<td>242.98±38.5</td>
<td>179.25±25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS (mmol/ L)</td>
<td>3.25±0.3</td>
<td>3.15±0.6</td>
<td>3.44±0.2</td>
<td>3.42±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOS (μmol/ L)</td>
<td>6.11±2.8</td>
<td>7.22±2.8</td>
<td>7.10±1.6</td>
<td>7.19±2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BUN – blood urea nitrogen, Cr – creatinine, ET-1 – endothelin-1, NO – nitric oxide, TAS – total antioxidant capacity, TOS – total oxidant capacity, VMC – Vancomycin group, DEX – Dexametomidine group, VMC+DEX – Vancomycin and dexametomidine group. Values are expressed as mean ± SD or median (1st–3rd quartiles). Same superscripts in the same row express statistically nonsignificant difference among groups. Different superscripts in the same row express statistically significant difference among groups.
tion test was used to evaluate correlations. The limit for statistical significance was taken as $p < 0.01$ for the chi-squared test and $p < 0.05$ for other comparisons.

**Results**

**Biochemical assays**

The BUN value was significantly higher in VMC group compared to the other three groups ($p < 0.001$) (Tab. 1). The Cr value was significantly higher in VMC and VMC+DEX groups compared to control group ($p = 0.007$ and $p = 0.04$, respectively). However, it was significantly lower in DEX group compared to VMC group ($p = 0.011$) (Tab. 1).

The ET-1 value was significantly higher in VMC group compared to the other three groups ($p < 0.05$). Also, the ET-1 value of VMC+DEX group was significantly higher than in control and DEX groups ($p < 0.05$; Table 1). The NO values were significantly higher in control and DEX groups when compared to VMC and VMC+DEX groups ($p < 0.05$) (Tab. 1).

**Light microscope results**

In all samples from control group, the renal damage was classified as Grade 0 (Fig. 1A). In VMC group, four kidneys were classified as Grade 2, and four kidneys were classified as Grade 3 as a result of renal damage (Fig. 1B). In DEX group, five kidneys were classified as Grade 0, and three kidneys were classified as Grade 1 (Fig. 1C). In VMC+DEX group, two kidneys were categorized as Grade 1, and six kidneys were categorized as Grade 2 (Fig. 1D). The extent of renal damage was significantly higher in VMC group ($n = 4$ with Grade 3) compared to VMC+DEX group ($n = 0$ with Grade 3; $p < 0.001$).

Regarding pathological damage, a strong positive correlation was observed between pathological damage and BUN or Cr. A strong negative correlation was observed between pathological damage and NO and a very strong positive correlation was observed between pathological damage and ET-1 (Tab. 2).

**Discussion**

The results of this study indicate that vancomycin causes renal damage in rats. It increases serum BUN, Cr and ET-1 levels, and reduces NO levels. The results also indicate that dexmedetomidine attenuates those nephrotoxic effects.

The identification of risk factors for vancomycin nephrotoxicity will help avoid serious complications (20). Ingram and co-workers evaluated 167 patients receiving vancomycin infusions (112 continuously and 55 intermittently) and concluded that the development of nephrotoxicity is slower in the subjects who continuously receive vancomycin infusions. However, there was no difference between the two groups regarding the prevalence (21).

Several studies have emphasized the importance of measuring the serum vancomycin concentrations for preventing nephrotoxicity in humans. Hale et al (22) indicated that there was no difference in regard to reaching the area under the curve/minimum inhibitory concentration (AUC/MIC) targets between patients with a serum vancomycin concentration of 10.0–14.9 mg/L and those with 15.0–20.0 mg/L. However, they emphasized that higher serum concentrations can increase the risk of nephrotoxicity. We applied vancomycin in a dose that has been reported to be nephrotoxic, 200 mg/kg, every 12 h (23). We observed that as a result of renal damage in VMC group, four kidneys were classified as Grade 2, and four kidneys were classified as Grade 3.

It has been shown that free radicals and oxidative stress play a role in VIN, and that various antioxidant agents can prevent or reduce the damage (11, 24, 25). Oxidative stress may set up the discharge of various vasoactive mediators and thus may straightly affect kidney functions by causing renal vasoconstriction. NO regulates the function of the blood vessels and kidneys. In animal models, the lack of NO may bring about high blood pressure with detrimental effect on vessels and kidneys. Under conditions of oxidative stress, NO is depleted and peroxynitrite increased. In this circumstance, progressive processes like vasospasm, inflam-
mation, vascular and kidney disfunction may occur (26). In a review that compiled 33 randomized or retrospective observational studies, Elyasi and others determined that antioxidants such as vitamin E, vitamin C, and caffeic acid manifested renoprotective effects. However, they emphasized that randomized human trials would be necessary before clinical application (25). Stulak et al (27) reported that vitamin C and E supplements in pigs with hypercholesterolemia may diminish the low-density lipoprotein oxidation and increase the kidney blood flow.

Celik and co-workers investigated the possible role of free radicals in VIN, together with the effects of three different antioxidant agents and amrinone on nephrotoxicity (24). They determined that the BUN and Cr levels were significantly lower (almost two times lower) in the group that received only vancomycin compared to the group that received vancomycin together with antioxidants and amrinone. Amrinone may restrict the calcium-calmoduline complex formation that may create vasospasm via activating myosin light chain kinases and thus regulate microcirculation. Even though there was a slight increase in serum creatinine levels due to amrinone-related hypotension, no renal damage potentially leading to the necessity of renal replacement treatment was reported (28). Furthermore, it was reported that amrinone might be beneficial because it can increase renal blood flow due to its potent vasodilating effect (24).

Stockenbauer et al (29) indicated that the plasma ET-1 level increased in renal failure, and that the latter increase was correlated with the degree of renal failure. Another study indicated that NO synthesis was inhibited in the case of contrast-induced nephropathy (30). In their study on diabetic rats, Erdely et al (31) showed that in hyperglycemic obese rats, renal cortical NO synthesis had decreased, and renal damage had increased. Wang et al (32) showed that during the specific inhibition of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and endotoxemia, the protective effect of antioxidant treatment on glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and renal blood flow had been reversed, which indicates the importance of the bioavailability of NO during early endotoxia. Our study evaluated ET-1 and NO levels because of their roles in vasoreactivity, and we found a significant positive correlation between pathological damage and ET-1, and negative correlation between pathological damage and NO levels. These findings may support the relation of vasoconstrictive mechanisms of vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity.

There are several studies in medical literature that investigated the protective effects of dexmedetomidine on organs. Bayram et al (33) reported that dexmedetomidine had prevented an increase in plasma ET-1 and renin levels in the cases of nephropathy caused by contrast materials, which might prevent renal damage. Another study stated that dexmedetomidine suppressed sympathetic discharge by reducing presynaptic norepinephrine release, and it reduced renal damage caused by ischemia-reperfusion injury by increasing renal blood flow (34). It was also reported that dexmedetomidine had inhibited the development of long-term inflammation due to renal ischemia-reperfusion injury (35).

According to the ET-1 and NO results, the present study suggests that dexmedetomidine can have a renoprotective effect via the organization of vasoreactivity. It was also observed in this study that even though there was no significant difference between control and DEX groups, ET-1 was lower and NO was higher in the latter group. However, we suggest that the renal damage of Grade 1 observed upon histopathological examination of three kidneys in DEX group (as opposed to 0 kidneys with damage of Grade 1 in control group) might be due to hypotension caused by the dosage of dexmedetomidine used in our experiment, and subsequent development of hypoperfusion.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, we did not find any significant correlations between TAS and TOS levels and histopathological findings. We could have observed more clear results if we had studied the oxidant and antioxidant biomarkers in the kidney tissue simultaneously with serum examinations. In rats, hemodynamic measurements can be done by the telemetric method (36). Data sent through the radio-telemetric transmitter placed in the peritoneal cavity of the rat are obtained by a receiver placed under the cage. However, this study did not include telemetric hemodynamic monitoring. As a result, this study is unable to present data suggesting that the damage of Grade 1 observed in the subjects of DEX group were caused by hypotension, which can be considered as another limitation of our study.

Conclusions

The present study determined that vancomycin can cause renal damage by stimulating vasoconstrictive mechanisms and inhibiting vasodilator mediators. In contrast, dexmedetomidine can provide an opposite effect in the doses used in the study and thus can reduce the extent of renal damage. However, we would like to emphasize that dexmedetomidine can cause renal hypoperfusion secondary to hypotension. Therefore, more research is required with different doses of dexmedetomidine and close hemodynamic monitoring.
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