CLINICAL STUDY

Exercise is good also for a healthy hippocampus

Canbolat M¹, Kafkas AS², Erbay MF³, Senol D¹, Çevirgen F¹, Senol P⁴, Ozbag D¹

Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anatomy, Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey. mustafa.canbolat@inonu.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: The aim of the study was to compare the hippocampus sizes of healthy medical faculty students, who were exposed to an intense data input and who underwent serious learning activity and those of healthy sport faculty students who did sports regularly by using MR images and to examine the relationship between a hippocampus size and intelligence.

METHODS: We made the study with 58 healthy young males (27 sport sciences faculty students and 31 medical faculty students). R. B. Cattell 3A Culture Fair Intelligence Test was administered to the volunteers. Following this, we got MR images of our volunteers.

RESULTS: We could not find a statistically significant difference between medical faculty students and sport sciences faculty students in terms of hippocampus volumes. We could not find a correlation between IQ values and hippocampus volumes. Also, we could not find a significant difference between a right and left hippocampus.

CONCLUSION: While doing sport, blood flow increases in the hippocampus, as in all areas of the body. This increased blood flow creates a stimulating effect on neurogenesis. Neurons, which develop as the result of neurogenesis, mean an increase in volume (*Tab. 4, Ref. 54*). Text in PDF *www.elis.sk.* KEY WORDS: hippocampus volume, exercise, intelligence, male, healthy.

Introduction

Humans, who are in a perpetual interaction with their environment in order to continue their life need to develop reactions suitable for the outside impacts. They are exposed to and develop solutions suitable for the problems they encounter. They need to be able to adapt to the environment they live in. Learning is the permanent change that occurs in behaviors as the result of experiences gained through the life cycle (1). Learning is neurophysiologically defined as the formation of new synaptic connections in the nervous system as the result of newly encountered experience (2). What we learn has to be coded and stored suitably and be taken out of the store when necessary, so that it can be useful for us. Memory enables this. Learning and memory are very important abilities for us.

The area called hippocampus, which is in our brain, is an important center in terms of memory and learning, (3) because it was found that individuals with damaged hippocampus cannot learn new information and cannot remember what they learned before the damage (4). Hippocampus is located in the medial part of the temporal lobe and it is a part of the limbic system, which is on the base of cornu temporale of lateral ventricle (5).

In hippocampus, functions about learning and memory take place through neurogenesis. Until recently, it was thought that the adult human body did not include neurogenesis and continued the life with the presence of neurons in prenatal period. However, at the moment, we know that neurogenesis continues for a lifetime in subgranular area of gyrus dentatus at the hippocampus (6).

Creation of new neurons through neurogenesis and the integration of these new neurons to neural circuits form the basis of learning activity. Creation of new neurons and thus new neural connections means an increase in volume. Under normal circumstances, the size of a brain area is the indicator of that area's processing capacity (7). This means that there are more neurons inside, more connections between neurons and more interaction between them.

There is a great number of studies in literature comparing the sizes of many neurologic structures with different body compositions, diseases or situations. There is a great number of studies, in which hippocampus size is examined. There are studies comparing hippocampus with stress (8), depression (9), steroid use (10), exercise (11), alcoholism (12), sex hormones (13), border-line personality disorder (14), Alzheimer (15), head trauma (16) and intelligence (17). When these studies are examined, we can see that factors such as: stress, alcohol, gender hormones, age and head trauma have an influence on hippocampus size.

In this study, our purpose is to compare the hippocampus sizes of medical faculty students, who have been exposed to an intense data input and who are in a serious learning activity and those of healthy sport faculty students who do sport regularly by using MRI

¹Faculty of Medicine, Department of Anatomy, Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey, ²Faculty of Sport Sciences, Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey, ³Faculty of Medicine, Department of Radiology, Inonu University, Malatya, Turkey, and ⁴Ministry of Justice, Malatya, Turkey

Address for correspondence: M. Canbolat, Department of Anatomy, İnönü University, Faculty of Medicine, 44280 Malatya, Turkey, Phone: +0905056682547, Fax: +0904223410036

Acknowledgement: This study was supported by Inonu University Scientific Research Project Office under the grant TSA-2018-800.

739-743

images and to examine the relationship between hippocampus size and intelligence by examining the IQ values of both groups.

Materials and methods

Participants

For our study, 2016/115 numbered ethical board approval was taken from Malatya Clinical Researches Ethical Board Directorate. 84 (38 sport sciences faculty students and 46 medical faculty students) male volunteers, who did not smoke and did not use alcohol, drugs and steroid, who did not have psychological diseases and posttraumatic stress disorder, who did not have any seizures in childhood and later, who did not have any head trauma, who did not undergo any surgical intervention and who were using their right hands were determined. The volunteers were informed about the study and they read and signed the informed consent form. We choose our volunteers from individuals, who were away from all of the factors argued to have an influence on hippocampus size in literature. We did not include women in the study since hippocampus size differs even between the phases of menstrual cycle (13). We aimed to form a sterile study group by choosing healthy male individuals all of whom were using their right hands. Our purpose was to compare the effects of only information load and exercise on hippocampus size. We eliminated all the other factors as much as possible.

First of all, we found and recorded the ages, heights, weights and body mass indices (BMI) of our volunteers. Later, we assessed whether our volunteers were psychologically well-being state with Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist. Following this checklist, which was assessed by our psychologist friend in the study team, we excluded 14 (13 medical faculty students and 4 sport sciences faculty students) students from the study.

IQ Test

Next, R. B. Cattel 3A Culture Fair Intelligence Test was administered to the volunteers. We recorded the results of this intelligence-performance test, which can easily be applied on all societies independent of culture.

Neuroimaging

Following this, we got MR images of our volunteers. Neuroimaging was performed using a 3T Siemens Skyra syngo MR E11 version, Germany. Structural images were acquired using T1-weighted 3D (MPRAGE) sequence in sagittal plane, using these parameter: TE/TR = 2300 ms/2.32s, flip angle = 8° , FOV = 240 mm² and slice thickness = 0.9 mm. At this stage, 9 (7 sport sciences faculty students and 2 medical faculty students) students left the study by stating that they did not want to get inside the MR device. In the end, we got the images of 58 volunteers, 27 sport sciences faculty students and 31 medical faculty students. We downloaded MR T1 data from the scanner, transferred and processed using different software. We saved MR images as hdr and img formats. For this purpose, we used a personal computer on a 32-bit Dell PC, running Windows 10 operating system. We used mricloud to calculate the volume (www.mricloud.org). Web-based

module, which did not require any installation, configuration or training was used. The mricloud volumetric analysis system works remotely through a web interface and automatically provides a report containing volumetric information from any submitted case.

Data analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 program was used for the statistical analysis of our results. Shapiro–Wilk test was conducted to test the normality distribution of our data. Median and minimum and maximum values of the data, which were not normally distributed were used. Spearman's Rho correlation analysis was used for the correlation analysis. p < 0.05 value was considered statistically significant.

Results

The median age of the medical faculty students in the study was 22 (19–24), while their median height was 177 (165–197) cm., their median weight was 75 (63–103) kg., and their median body mass index was 24,28 (17,43–30,09) kg/m². The median age of the sport sciences faculty students in the study was 21 (19–27), while their median height was 178 (172–187) cm., their median weight was 72 (52–90) kg., and their median body mass index was 22,26 (18,82–28,4) kg/m² (Tab. 1).

The median IQ value of the medical faculty students in the study was 133 (84–162), while their median right hippocampus volume was 3931 (3493–4474) mm³ and their median left hippocampus volume was 3940 (3363–4465) mm³. Median IQ value of the sport sciences faculty students in the study was 96 (68–143), while their median right hippocampus volume was 3975 (3332–5066) mm³, and their median left hippocampus volume was 3866 (3324–4902) mm³. We could not find a statistically significant difference between medical faculty students and sport sciences faculty students in terms of hippocampus volumes. We found a statistically significant difference between the IQ values of medical faculty students and those of the sport sciences faculty students (Tab. 2).

As the result of our study, we could not find a correlation between IQ values and right and left hippocampus volumes of medical faculty students and sport sciences faculty students within each group (Tab. 3).

Tab. 1. The values as median (min-max) of some parameters of volunteers.

Groups	Age	Length (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m ²)
CE-	21	178	72	22,26
SFs	(19–27)	(172–187)	(52–90)	(18,82–28,4)
ME-	22	177	75	24,28
MFs	(19–24)	(165–197)	(63–103)	(17,43-30,09)
SFs: Sport Sciences Faculty Students, MFs: Medical Faculty Students				

Tab. 2. Right and left hippocampus volumes of sport sciences faculty students and medical faculty students.

Groups	IQ	Right hippocampus volume (mm ³)	Left hippocampus volume (mm ³)
SFs	96 (68–143)	3975 (3332–5066)	3866 (3324–4902)
MFs	133 (84–162)	3931 (3493–4474)	3940 (3363–4465)
р	,000	,911	,678

SFs: Sport Sciences Faculty Students, MFs: Medical Faculty Students

Tab. 3. The correlation of IQ with hippocampus volume in medical			
faculty students and sport sciences faculty students.			

	Groups	Spearman's rho	Right hippocampus	Left hippocampus
Q	SFs	r p	,033 ,896	,106 ,598
	MFs	r p	-,132 ,488	-,080 ,675

SFs: Sport Sciences Faculty Students, MFs: Medical Faculty Students

Tab. 4. Results of Mann-Whitney U test to compare left and right hippocampus volumes of volunteers.

Groups	Right hippocampus volume (mm ³)	Left hippocampus volume (mm ³)	р	
SFs	3975 (3332–5066)	3866 (3324–4902)	,562	
MFs	3931 (3493–4474)	3940 (3363–4465)	,838	
SEs: Sport Sciences Faculty Students, MEs: Medical Faculty Students				

srs. spon sciences racuny students, Mrs. Medical racuny students

In our study, we could not find a statistically significant difference between the right and left hippocampus of our volunteers (Tab. 4).

Discussion

Medical faculty students, who participated in our study, were students with a high academic achievement, who were in the first 1% in university exams; however, none of them were doing sport regularly and all of them had a sedentary lifestyle. These students are in a continuous learning activity and exam cycle as required by the existing education system in our country. These students, who were exposed to a serious amount of information loading until they got a place at university found themselves within disciplines they had never experienced before such as: anatomy, biochemistry, physiology and pathology, all of which have a terminology of their own. They learned a lot of new information by studying and repeating all the time and they completed their exams successfully by storing all these information in their minds and recalling what they stored from their memory both in theoretical and in practical exams. We started our study with the thought "the size of a brain is the indicator of its processing capacity" that we stated at the beginning and the thought that medical faculty students have big hippocampus since they are in a serious learning activity and neurogenesis takes place at the hippocampus.

Sport sciences faculty students were, on the other hand, students, who had low exam concentration and lesson participation rates. Their acceptance to university took part through special ability exams, in which their physical capacities are assessed. All of these students were doing sport regularly. When their hippocampi were compared with medical faculty students, who conduct more learning activities, we could not find a difference. This was the result that we did not predict at all at the beginning the study. This could have occurred due to two reasons;

 While doing sport, blood flow increases in the hippocampus, as in all areas of the body (18, 19). It has been shown that blood flow also increases to the area, where neurogenesis takes place (20). This increased blood flow creates a stimulating effect on neurogenesis. Neurons, which develop as the result of neurogenesis, mean an increase in volume. A great number of animal studies conducted reported a positive effect of exercise on hippocampal neurogenesis. In many studies conducted on old-age (11, 21) and pediatric age group (22), exercise has been shown to cause an increase in hippocampal volume and to have a positive effect on cognitive functions. However, there aren't too many studies conducted on the age group we assessed in our study.

2) Students of the faculty of sport sciences consisted of individuals, who were doing sport regularly. Despite the mental abilities of medical faculty students such as: continuous reading, data input and repetition, we believe that as the result of doing sport regularly, sport sciences faculty students' efforts to get the most suitable position during sportive activities, their quick assessment of previous experiences and information against moves from opponents by recalling these experiences and information from the memory and their states of being alert all the time increased intercellular connections and relations in the hippocampus and their processing capacity. Athletes, who have to compete with different opponents and different tactics in each competition are continually exposed to an intense mental and cognitive activity during the game period. We believe that with the results of our study, we contributed to views, which put forward the positive effect of regular sportive activities in humans on the volume of hippocampus, which is the center for learning and memory.

Two types of intelligences are mentioned in literature. Fluid intelligence and crystallized intelligence (23, 24). Crystallized intelligence is an intelligence type directly proportional to human beings' level of knowledge and vocabulary and it remains stable and can even be increased as the age increases. Fluid intelligence is a type of intelligence, which depends on the ability of abstract thinking not based on knowledge and finding a quick solution to a problem encountered and it decreases as the age increases (25). In our study, we measured fluid intelligence because it does not depend on the knowledge and we used R B Cattell 3A Culture Fair intelligence test for this measurement. In this study, we could not find a correlation between IQ values and hippocampus sizes, both when the volunteers were assessed as a whole and when the students of medical faculty and sport sciences faculty were assessed separately within their own groups. Studies conducted about intelligence and hippocampus size reported a correlation between hippocampal atrophy and low intelligence scores especially in old population. However, such a correlation has not been reported in young population (26, 27, 28). Some studies conducted in a young population reported a negative correlation between the hippocampus size and memory functions (29, 30). Similarly, in a study conducted on healthy young adults (17), a negative correlation was found between the hippocampus size and intelligence. When literature is reviewed, it can be seen that there are very different results about the association between hippocampus size and intelligence. One of the possible reasons of this is the fact that intelligence is not associated with only one area of the brain. Intelligence is a process, which functions through networks built between different parts of the brain (31, 32). Some studies have associated intelligence with medial and lateral prefrontal cortex (33, 34). Song et al (35) associ-

739-743

ated the intelligence scores they found in their study with frontal, parietal, occipital and limbic area. In their study, Heuvel et al. (36) found that frontal and parietal lobes were strongly correlated with intelligence. There are also studies, which state that mesolimbic structures, which include hippocampus, are associated with intelligence (37, 38). It has even been reported that the differences in the rates of gray matter and white matter in the related brain area cause a change in intelligence levels (31, 39). Thus, comparing intelligence with a single neurological structure such as hippocampus size does not seem to be very significant in line with the results of other studies in literature and the results of our study.

One of the results of our study was the statistically significant higher IQ levels of medical faculty students, when compared to sport sciences faculty students. This result is also an indicator that intelligence alone is not associated with hippocampus size. Is it possible to interpret the difference between the IQ values of these two groups where hippocampus sizes was not different otherwise since their fluid intelligence, which does not depend on their knowledge was measured? The possible answer that we can give to this question can be that sport sciences faculty students' are not adapting to the intelligence test that we applied. Before the test was administered, these students answered our question "have you ever taken an intelligence test before" as "no". Thus, we think that they could not fully concentrate on such a test that they have never experienced before for a specific period of time and that they got bored. The result that they got higher scores in the first two parts and very low scores in the last part of the test consisting of four parts supports this view. Probably in the last part of the test they lost their concentration by waiting for the time to end. However, a great number of medical faculty students had taken an intelligence test before and they had a high awareness and concentration about intelligence tests. We think that they got high scores for this reason.

Hippocampus is in both hemispheres of our brain, the right and the left. The right hippocampus is related with visual memory, while the left hippocampus is related with verbal memory (40). There are studies mentioning asymmetry in some structures in both hemispheres of our brain (41). Similarly, an asymmetry of right>left has been addressed between the right and left hippocampus (42, 43, 44). Presence or absence of asymmetry in neurological structures can be associated with some pathological situations. Studies have been conducted to find out whether there is hippocampal asymmetry in many situations such as: schizophrenia (45), posttraumatic stress disorder (46), Alzheimer (47), depression (48) and psychopathy (49) and the resulting asymmetry findings have been used as an auxiliary method in the diagnosis of the disease. In children and newborns, the right hippocampus is bigger than the left (50). Hippocampal asymmetry increases with age (51). What is the situation in healthy population, when asymmetry is mentioned in pathological situations? In a metaanalysis (42), which reviewed 82 studies (n=3564) conducted between 1990 and 2002, it has been found that the right hippocampus is bigger than the left hippocampus in healthy adults. However, the lower age limit in this study is 18, there are 2258 individuals older than 31 and there is no information about the age distribution of individuals older than 31. Our study was conducted on healthy young individuals

in their 20s and we did not find a statistically significant difference between right and left hippocampus sizes. Although limited in number, there are studies in literature, which have not found hippocampal asymmetry (52, 53, 54).

References

1. Demirci S, Eşel E. Öğrenme ve hafızanın hücresel düzenekleri ve psikiyatrik hastalıklarla ilişkisi. Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi 2004; 5: 239–248.

2. Markham J, Greenough W. Experience-driven brain plasticity: Beyond the synapse. Neuron Glia Biol 2004; 1 (4): 351–363.

3. Bliss TV, Collingridge GL. A Synaptic Model of Memory: Long-term Potentiation in the Hippocampus. Nature 1993; 361 (6407): 31–39.

4. Kitamura T, Inokuchi K. Role of adult neurogenesis in hippocampalcortical memory consolidation. Mol Brain 2014; 7: 13.

5. Arıncı K, Elhan A. Anatomi: Merkezi Sinir Sistemi 2006, Ankara: Güneş Kitabevi

6. Zhao C, Deng W, Gage FH. Mechanisms and functional implications of adult neurogenesis. Cell 2008; 132: 645–660.

7. Barton RA. Visual specialization and brain evolution in primates. Proc Biol Sci 1998; 265: 1933–1937.

8. Wignall EL, Dickson JM, Vaughan P, Farrow TFD, Wilkinson ID, Hunter MD, et al. Smaller hippocampal volume in patients with recentonset posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2004; 56: 832–836.

9. Sawyer K, Corsentino E, Sachs-Ericsson N, Steffens DC. Depression, Hippocampal Volume Changes, and Cognitive Decline in a Clinical Sample of Older Depressed Outpatients and Non-depressed Controls. Aging Ment Health 2012; 16 (6): 753–762.

10. Tessner KD, Walker EF, Dhruv SH, Hochman K, Hamann S. The relation of cortisol levels with hippocampus volumes under baseline and challenge conditions. Brain Res 2007; 1179: 70–78.

11. Niemann C, Godde B, Voelcker-Rehage C. Not only cardiovascular, but also coordinative exercise increases hippocampal volume in older adults. Front Aging Neurosci 2014; 6: 170.

12. Agartz I, Momenan R, Rawlings RR, Kerich MJ, Hommer DW. Hippocampal volume in patients with alcohol dependence. Arch Gen Psychiat 1999; 56 (4): 356–363.

13. Protopopescu X, Butler T, Pan H, Root J, Altemus M, Polanecsky M, McEwen B, Silbersweig D, Stern E. Hippocampal structural changes across the menstrual cycle. Hippocampus 2008; 18 (10): 985–988.

14. Schmahl C, Berne K, Krause A, Kleindienst N, Valerius G, Vermetten E, Bohus M. Hippocampus and amygdala volumes in patients with borderline personality disorder with or without posttraumatic stress disorder. J Psychiat Neurosci 2009; 34 (4): 289–295.

15. Henneman WJ, Sluimer JD, Barnes J, van der Flier WM, Sluimer IC, Fox NC, Scheltens P, Vrenken H, Barkhof F. Hippocampal atrophy rates in Alzheimer disease: Added value over whole brain volume measures. Neurology 2009; 72 (11): 999–1007.

16. Jorge RE, Acion L, Starkstein SE, Magnotta V. Hippocampal volume and mood disorders after traumatic brain injury. Biol Psychiatry 2007; 62 (4): 332–338.

17. Amat JA, Bansal R, Whiteman R, Haggerty R, Royal J, Peterson BS. Correlates of intellectual ability with morphology of the hippocampus and amygdala in healthy adults. Brain Cogn 2008; 66 (2): 105–114. **18.** Aimone JB, Deng W, Gage FH. Adult neurogenesis: integrating theories and separating functions. Trends Cogn Sci 2010; 14: 325–337.

19. Armstrong N, Welsman JR. Aerobic fitness: what are we measuring? Med Sport Sci 2007; 50: 5–25.

20. Pereira AC, Huddleston DE, Brickman AM, Sosunov AA, Hen R, McKhann GM, Sloan R, Gage FH, Brown TR, Small SA. An in vivo correlate of exercise-induced neurogenesis in the adult dentate gyrus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104 (13): 5638–5643.

21. Erickson KI, Prakash RS, Voss MW, Chaddock L, Hu L, Morris KS, White SM, Wójcicki TR, McAuley E, Kramer AF. Aerobic fitness is associated with hippocampal volume in elderly humans. Hippocampus 2009; 19 (10): 1030–1039.

22. Chaddock L, Hillman CH, Buck SM, Cohen NJ. Aerobic fitness and executive control of relational memory in preadolescent children. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2011; 43 (2): 344–349.

23. Gray JR, Thompson PM. Neurobiology of intelligence: science and ethics. Nat Rev Neurosci 2004; 5 (6): 471–482.

24. Duncan J, Seitz RJ, Kolodny J, Bor D, Herzog H, Ahmed A, Newell FN, Emslie H. A neural basis for general intelligence. Science 2000; 289: 457–460.

25. Neisser U, Boodoo G, Bouchard TJ, Boykin AW, Brody N, Ceci SJ, Halpern DF, Loehlin JC, Perloff R, Stermberg RJ, Urbina S. Intelligence: knowns and unknowns. Am Psychol 1996; 51: 77–101.

26. Reuben A, Brickman AM, Muraskin J, Steffener J, Stern Y. Hippocampal Atrophy Relates to Fluid Intelligence Decline in the Elderly. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2011; 17 (1): 56–61.

27. Raz N, Lindenberger U, Ghisletta P, Rodrigue KM, Kennedy KM, Acker JD. Neuroanatomical correlates of fluid intelligence in healthy adults and persons with vascular risk factors. Cerebral Cortex 2007; 18 (3): 718–726.

28. Siedlecki KL, Stern Y, Reuben A, Sacco RL, Elkind MSV, Wright CB. Construct validity of cognitive reserve in a multi-ethnic cohort: The Northern Manhattan Study. J Internat Cogn Neuropsychol Soc 2009; 15: 558–569.

29. Chantôme M, Perruchet P, Hasboun D, Dormont D, Sahel M, Sourour N, Zouaoui A, Marsault C, Duyme M. Is there a negative correlation between explicit memory and hippocampal volume? Neuroimage 1999; 10: 589–595.

30. Foster JK, Meikle A, Goodson G, Mayes AR, Howard M, Sünram SI, Cezayirli E, Roberts N. The hippocampus and delayed recall, bigger is not necessarily better? Memory 1999; 7: 715–732.

31. Haier RJ, Jung RE, Yeo RA, Head K, Alkire MT. Structural brain variation and general intelligence. Neuroimage 2004; 23 (1): 425–433.

32. Luders E, Narr KL, Thompson PM, Toga AW. Neuroanatomical Correlates of Intelligence. Intelligence 2009; 37 (2): 156–163.

33. Gong Q, Sluming V, Mayes A, Keller S, Barrick T, Cezayirli E, Roberts N. Voxel-based morphometry and stereology provide convergent evidence of the importance of medial prefrontal cortex for fluid intelligence in healthy adults. Neuroimage 2005; 25: 1175–1186.

34. Gary J, Chabris C, Braver T. Neural mechanisms of general fluid intelligence. Nature Neuroscience 2003; 6: 316–322.

35. Song M, Zhou Y, Li J, Liu Y, Tian L, Yu C, Jiang T. Brain spontaneous functional connectivity and intelligence. Neuroimage 2008; 41 (3): 1168–1176.

36. van den Heuvel MP, Stam CJ, Kahn RS, Hulshoff Pol HE. Efficiency of functional brain networks and intellectual performance. J Neurosci 2009; 29 (23): 7619–7624.

37. Squire LR, Stark CE, Clark RE. The medial temporal lobe. Ann Rev Neurosci 2004; 27: 279–306.

38. Fried I, Cameron KA, Yashar S, Fong R, Morrow JW. Inhibitory and excitatory responses of single neurons in the human medial temporal lobe during recognition of faces and objects. Cerebral Cortex 2002; 12 (6): 575–584.

39. Haier RJ, Jung RE, Yeo RA, Head K, Alkire MT. The neuroanatomy of general intelligence: sex matters. Neuroimage 2005; 25 (1): 320–327.

40. Izci Y, Erbas YC. Hipokampus: Yapısı ve Fonksiyonları. Türk Nöroşir Derg 2015, 25 (3): 287–295.

41. Toga AW, Thompson PM. Mapping brain asymmetry. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience 2003; 4 (1): 37–48.

42. Pedraza O, Bowers D, Gilmore R. Asymmetry of the hippocampus and amygdala in MRI volumetric measurements of normal adults. J Internat Neuropsychol Soc 2004; 10 (5): 664–678.

43. Sullivan EV, Marsh L, Pfefferbaum A. Preservation of hippocampal volume throughout adulthood in healthy men and women. Neurobiol Aging 2005; 26 (7): 1093–1098.

44. Woolard AA, Heckers S. Anatomical and functional correlates of human hippocampal volume asymmetry. Psychiatry Res 2012; 201 (1): 48–53.

45. Wang L, Joshi SC, Miller MI, Csernansky JG. Statistical analysis of hippocampal asymmetry in schizophrenia. Neuroimage 2001; 14: 531–545.

46. Pavic L, Rudolf G, Rados M, Brklijacic B, Brajkovic L, Simentin-Pavic L, Kalousek V. Smaler right hippocampus in war veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychiatry Res: Neuroimaging 2007; 154: 191–198.

47. Chetelat G, Baron JC. Early diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: Contribution of structural neuroimaging. Neuroimage 2003; 18: 525–541.

48. Kronmüller KT, Schröder J, Köhler S, Götz B, Victor D, Unger J, Giesel F, Magnotta V, Mundt C, Essig M, Pantel J. Hippocampal volume in first episode and recurrent depression. Psychiatry Res Neuroimaging 2009; 174: 62–66.

49. Raine A, Ishikawa SS, Arce E, Lencz T, Knuth KH, Bihrle S, LaCasse L, Colletti P. Hippocampal structural asymmetry in unsuccessful psychopaths. Biological Psychiatry 2004; 55 (2): 185–191.

50. Thompson DK, Wood SJ, Doyle LW, Warfield SK, Egan GF, Inder TE. MR-determined hippocampal asymmetry in full-term and preterm neonates. Hippocampus 2009; 19 (2): 118–123.

51. Lucarelli RT, Peshock RM, McColl R, Hulsey K, Ayers C, Whittemore AR, King KS. MR imaging of hippocampal asymmetry at 3T in a multiethnic, population-based sample: results from the Dallas Heart Study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2013; 34 (4): 752–757.

52. Raz N, Gunning-Dixon F, Head D, Rodrigue KM, Williamson A, Acker JD. Aging, sexual dimorphism, and hemispheric asymmetry of the cerebral cortex: Replicability of regional differences in volume. Neurobiol Aging 2004; 25: 377–396.

53. Bigler ED, Blatter DD, Anderson CV, Johnson SC, Gale SD, Hopkins RO, Burnett B. Hippocampal volume in normal aging and traumatic brain injury. Am J Neuroradiol 1997; 18: 11–23.

54. Bogerts B, Falkai P, Haupts M, Greve B, Ernst S, Tapernon-Franz U, Heinzmann U. Post-mortem volume measurements of limbic system and basal ganglia structures in chronic schizophrenics: Initial results from a new brain collection. Schizophr Res 1990; 3: 295–301.

Received February 14, 2019. Accepted July 15, 2019.