

MICROBIOLOGICAL STUDY

Emergence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) and/or carbapenemase producing *Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE) and their antimicrobial resistance

Koren J¹, Hubenakova Z¹, Drahovska H², Ozaee E², Markuskova B², Lichvarikova A²

Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University and University Hospital Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovakia. jan.koren@fmed.uniba.sk

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES: We focused on detecting the most frequent resistance mechanisms in selected multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains and determining their antimicrobial resistance.

BACKGROUND: MDR pathogens pose urgent public health threat due to limited treatment options, rigorous control measures and significant mortality.

METHODS: We confirmed extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) and carbapenemase producing *Enterobacteriaceae* through guidelines, as well following β -lactamases: AmpC by cloxacillin, class A carbapenemase with phenylboronic acid, class B metallo- β -lactamase with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. Multilocus sequence typing was used to investigate 20 *Escherichia coli* strains.

RESULTS: Overall 205 mostly ESBL *Escherichia coli* demonstrated resistance against amikacin (4.7 %), tigecycline (1.2 %), and no resistance to ceftazidime/avibactam, meropenem, nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin. Out of 41 *Klebsiella* species (spp.), 37 (90.2 %) showed carbapenemase activity, 13 (35.1 %) of class A and 24 (64.9 %) of class B. Resistance was following: meropenem 66.7 %, tigecyclin 10.2 % and colistin 0 %. From *Enterobacter* spp. 21 strains, 14 (66.7 %) were ESBL, 5 produced ESBL and/or AmpC and 2 were MDR. We ascertained 14 (70 %) *E. coli* sequence type – ST131.

CONCLUSIONS: The study revealed various resistance mechanisms in concert with different agents and association of specific ST131 within *E. coli*. These characteristics considerably contribute to emergence of antimicrobial resistance (Tab. 4, Ref. 30). Text in PDF www.elis.sk.

KEY WORDS: ESBL, *Escherichia coli*, ST131, multidrug-resistance, carbapenemase producing *Enterobacteriaceae*.

Introduction

Extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) and carbapenemase producing *Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae* (CPE) pose the highest priority in combating global antibiotic resistance. Their steadily rise with escalating frequency account for concern in health-care system (1). Carriage and infections caused by ESBL and CPE strains might be the result of inadequate empiric therapy related with mortality of critical ill patients (2). ESBL producing pathogens i. e. *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* species (spp.) or *Enterobacter* spp. are mostly co-resistant to β -lactams (penicillins, cephalosporins and monobactams) including non- β -lactam antimicrobial agents – anti-

noglycosides, fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (3). If aforementioned microorganisms produce AmpC β -lactamase they are resistant to the above β -lactams (except 4th generation of cephalosporins), but include cephamycins and inhibitors of β -lactamase (clavulanic acid, sulbactam and tazobactam) (4). Accordingly, they are multidrug-resistant (MDR; resistance to ≥ 3 classes of antibiotics) (5). Carbapenems are generally considered as the drugs of choice for the treatment of ESBL and/or AmpC pathogens causing infections, while the other β -lactams and non- β -lactams are *in vitro* efficacious as well. This injudicious carbapenems overuse is giving rise to unpredictable emergence of carbapenem resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) (6). Carbapenemase producing *Klebsiella* spp. strains are actually extensively drug-resistant (XDR; sensitive to ≤ 2 classes of antibiotics) (7) etiological agents with high requirement for infection control measures, limited antimicrobial treatment options associated with significant morbidity and mortality rates (8, 9). Currently molecular typing – multilocus sequence typing (MLST) is referred as an important approach in infection control that facilitates the detection of nosocomial transmission of pathogens as well as enables prove of source and route of spreading in epidemiological nosocomial environment (10).

¹Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University and University Hospital Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovakia, and ²Department of Molecular Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University Bratislava, Bratislava, Slovakia

Address for correspondence: J. Koreň, MD, PhD, Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University and University Hospital Bratislava, Sasinkova 4, SK-811 08 Bratislava, Slovakia. Phone: +421.904574270

Acknowledgements: The study was supported by the project APVV-16-0173 Ministry of School of the Slovakia.

The aim of our study was to detect the most frequent mechanisms of resistance in selected ESBL and/or AmpC and CPE strains as well as to evaluate the antimicrobial resistance rate in these investigated pathogens.

Materials and methods

Specimens and isolates

Overall biological samples were consecutively recovered from different body sites of patients hospitalized in University Hospital Bratislava (UHB) – Old Town and Specialized geriatric hospital from January 2017 through May 2019. Further examination was performed in our Institute of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University and UHB. Following primary cultivation and isolation, identification of the strains was carried out biochemically onto: *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* (*Klebsiella* spp.) or *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Enterobacter aerogenes* (*Enterobacter* spp.). Only one non-repetitive isolate per patient was included in the study.

Phenotypic proof of resistance mechanisms

Concerning primary cultivation, samples were inoculated on chromogenic ESBL culture medium (11) and after 18 – 24 hours grown strains underwent further confirmatory tests. ESBL – combination disk diffusion test with cefotaxime (30 µg) and ceftazidime (30 µg) ± clavulanic acid (10 µg) proved ESBL production if at least in one of 3rd generation cephalosporin

(3GC) in the presence of β-lactamase inhibitor, the size of inhibition zone (IZ) was ≥ 5 mm greater compared to IZ of 3GC alone (12). Evidence of AmpC β-lactamase was carried out by ceftoxitin (30 µg) disk ± 200 µg cloxacillin as inhibitor of AmpC β-lactamase. Positivity was demonstrated in IZ size of ≥ 4 mm greater for the disk with added inhibitor than for the ceftoxitin disk alone (13). Carbapenemase activity confirmation was provided by chromogenic pH metric Carba NP test discovered by Nordman et al (2012) (14). In order to achieve precise phenotypic detection of carbapenemase classes in accordance with Ambler, we used two inhibitors: family of serine class A (most common in Slovak republic is KPC – *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase) was determined by meropenem (10 µg) ± 400 µg phenylboronic acid, and assessment of the zinc-dependent class B, so called metallo-β-lactamase (MBL; most frequent in our country is NDM – New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase) was achieved by meropenem (10 µg) ± 292 µg ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (1, 12, 15). In fact, positive CPE strains are sent to *National reference center for surveillance of antimicrobial resistance of Slovak republic* due to molecular typing.

Genotypic proof of sequence types

We randomly chose 20 ESBL producing *Escherichia coli* strains for the (seven-locus) multilocus sequence typing (MLST), including subtyping or two-locus typing method (C-H typing) according to the alleles *fumC* (*fumarate hydratase C*) and *fimH* (internal fragment of the type 1 *fimbrial* adhesion *H*) – encoding genes (16, 17).

Tab. 1. Characteristics of patients, healthcare setting and specimens.

	<i>Escherichia coli</i> n 205		<i>Klebsiella</i> spp. n 41		<i>Enterobacter</i> spp. n 21	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Gender						
male	68	33.2	17	41.5	11	52.4
female	137	66.8	24	58.5	10	47.6
Age (years)						
< 60	12	5.9	4	9.8	1	4.8
≥ 60	193	94.1	37	90.2	20	95.2
mean (range)	78.9	(9–98)	74.4	(25–96)	74.9	(58–96)
Setting						
1st internal clinic	113	55.1	23	56.1	10	47.6
Surgical clinic	14	6.8	2	4.9	3	14.3
Dermatovenerological clinic	4	2	2	4.9	2	9.5
Neurological clinic	4	2	1	2.4	–	–
Psychiatric clinic	3	1.5	–	–	–	–
Geriatric clinic	45	21.9	5	12.2	3	14.3
Long-term care department	9	4.4	3	7.3	1	4.8
Aftercare department	5	2.4	2	4.9	2	9.5
Outpatient offices	6	2.9	–	–	–	–
Institute of Pathological anatomy	2	1	3	7.3	–	–
Type of specimen						
rectal swab or stool	3	1.5	23	56.1	–	–
hemoculture	15	7.3	–	–	–	–
wound, absces or organ swab	28	13.7	5	12.2	5	23.8
urine	140	68.3	8	19.5	5	23.8
tonsillar swab or sputum	13	6.3	5	12.2	10	47.6
catheter related sample	6	2.9	–	–	1	4.8

n – number of patients, cases or specimens

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Respecting the criteria of *European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing* (EUCAST) we performed colorimetric micro-method for automated susceptibility testing to determine sensitivity of *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp. and *Enterobacter* spp. against 16 antimicrobial agents (18). Additional examination of susceptibility testing strains was possible only by means of available antimicrobial agents and disk diffusion methods as well as consistent with EUCAST breakpoint interpretation of zone diameter (19).

Results

Isolates, patients, setting and specimens

A total of 205 *Escherichia coli*, 41 *Klebsiella* spp. (*K. pneumoniae* 39 and *K. oxytoca* 2) as well as 21 *Enterobacter* spp. (*E. cloacae* 13, *E. aerogenes* 5 and *Enterobacter* spp. 3 – not determined) strains were isolated and included in this survey.

Relating to the patients, mostly strains were isolated from females in the cases of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella* spp., but similarly from both genders for *Enterobacter* spp. (data are depicted in table 1.).

Out of all (from 90.2 – 95.2 %) were the individuals over 60 years with mean age from 74.4 – 78.9 % years old. In terms of the hospital setting, the samples were collected from the patients hospitalized particularly at 1st internal clinic (from 47.6 – 56.1 %), then on Geriatric clinic (from 12.2 – 21.9 %), Surgical clinic (from 4.9 – 14.3 %), Dermatovenerological clinic (from 2.0 – 9.5 %), Long-term care department (from 4.4 – 7.3 %), Aftercare department (from 2.4 – 9.5 %). Few samples (5) were taken into consideration from the patients *post mortem* from the Institute of Pathological anatomy (expressed in table 1.).

Considering the type of specimen, *Escherichia coli* was isolated mainly from the 140 (68.3 %) urine samples, then from the skin and soft tissues infections (wounds, abscesses, visceral organs) 28 times (13.7 %), 15 (7.3 %) hemocultures, 13 (6.3 %) samples from tonsillar swabs or sputum and so on. *Klebsiella* spp 23 (56.1 %) strains resulted from faecal carriage, 8 (19.5 %) were isolated from urine, and for 5 times (12.2 %) from soft tissue infections, as well as from upper and lower respiratory tract. *Enterobacter* spp. originated from almost half of the samples – 10 (47.6 %) from respiratory tract, quarter samples – 5 (23.8 %) were from urine and the equal number from the skin and soft tissue infections, and last one was related to a catheter (but not mentioned which kind), illustrated in Table 1.

Resistance mechanisms

Evidence of ESBL in *Escherichia coli* strain was the highest. Out of the total of 205 pathogens, 202 (98.5 %) were ESBL positive and other are presented in table 2. Majority of *Klebsiella* spp. strains were carbapenemase producers, 37 strains (90.2 %). From these carbapenemase producing, 24 (64.9 %) were assigned to the metallo- β -lactamase, Ambler class B and 13 (35.1 %) belonged to the serine class A carbapenemase. Rest of 41 *Klebsiella* spp. strains were 2 (4.9 %) ESBL strains and 2 (4.9 %) ESBL/AmpC producers. *Enterobacter* spp. pathogens were particularly ESBL positive isolates, except for 2 times (9.5 %) MDR strains, as well ESBL/AmpC combined producers, and 3 (14.3%) only AmpC producing strains (Tab. 2).

Molecular sequence typing

MLST of randomly chosen 20 ESBL *Escherichia coli* strains showed that sequence type – ST131 and C-H type 40 – 30 was detected in 14 (70 %) investigated strains. *Escherichia coli* ST131

Tab. 2. Phenotypically detected resistance mechanisms in *Enterobacteriales/Enterobacteriaceae* strains.

Etiological agents	total <i>n</i> of strains	MDR		ESBL		ESBL + AmpC		AmpC		ESBL + carbapenemase		carbapenemase	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	205	–	–	202	98.5	2	1	1	0.5	–	–	–	–
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	41	–	–	2	4.9	2	4.9	–	–	34	82.9	3	7.3
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	21	2	9.5	14	66.7	2	9.5	3	14.3	–	–	–	–

n – number of evaluated strains; % – percentage of strains; MDR – multidrug-resistance – resistance to ≥ 3 drugs from different antimicrobial classes; ESBL – extended-spectrum β -lactamase; AmpC – type of β -lactamase

Tab. 3. MLST and C-H typing of randomly chosen 20 ESBL *Escherichia coli* strains.

ST	<i>n</i>	%	gender		C-H type	specimen			setting			
			male	female		urine or catheter <i>n</i>	skin and soft tissues <i>n</i>	respiratory tract <i>n</i>	1st internal clinic	Surgical clinic	Neurological clinic	Outpatient office
73	1	5	–	1	24–184	1	–	–	1	–	–	–
131	14	70	3	11	40–30	8+1	3	2	12	1	1	–
162	1	5	1	–	65–35	1	–	–	1	–	–	–
405	1	5	–	1	37–27	1	–	–	1	–	–	–
636	1	5	–	1	108–0	1	–	–	–	1	–	–
648	1	5	1	–	4–0	1	–	–	1	–	–	–
1193	1	5	1	–	14–64	1	–	–	–	–	–	1

MLST – multilocus sequence typing; C-H typing – according to *fumC* [fumarate hydratase C] and *fimH* [fimbrial adhesin H]; ESBL – extended-spectrum β -lactamase; ST – sequence type; *n* – number of isolates

Tab. 4. Antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp. and *Enterobacter* spp. strains.

antibiotics	<i>Escherichia coli</i>			<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.			<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.		
	overall <i>n</i> of <i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>n</i> of resistant isolates	%	overall <i>n</i> of <i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	<i>n</i> of resistant isolates	%	overall <i>n</i> of <i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	<i>n</i> of resistant isolates	%
ampicillin/sulbactam	194	151	77.8	39	39	100	10	10	100
piperacilin/tazobactam	190	33	17.4	39	39	100	18	12	66.7
cefuroxime	193	189	97.9	39	39	100	18	17	94.4
cefotaxime	191	186	97.4	39	39	100	18	17	94.4
ceftazidime	193	151	78.2	39	39	100	18	16	88.9
ceftazidime/avibactam	177	0	0	41	24	58.5	19	0	0
cefoperazone/sulbactam	166	6	3.6	39	35	89.7	10	5	50
cefepime	166	136	81.9	39	39	100	10	6	60
ceftolozane/tazobactam	177	4	2.3	41	40	97.6	19	2	10.5
ertapenem	166	0	0	39	36	92.3	10	0	0
meropenem	192	0	0	39	26	66.7	18	0	0
gentamicin	192	50	26	39	34	87.2	18	10	55.5
tobramycin	166	96	57.8	39	38	97.4	10	6	60
amikacin	193	9	4.7	39	29	74.4	18	0	0
ciprofloxacin	194	182	93.8	39	39	100	18	11	61.1
tigecycline	166	2	1.2	39	4	10.2	–	–	–
trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	192	146	76.0	39	31	79.5	18	9	50
colistin	164	0	0	38	0	0	10	0	0
temocillin	177	1	0.6	32	4	12.5	14	0	0
nitrofurantoin	15	0	0	–	–	–	–	–	–
fosfomicin	15	0	0	–	–	–	–	–	–

n – number of strains; % – percentage of resistance

was isolated in urine specimen 8 times and from urinary catheter once, from skin and soft tissues 3 times and twice from respiratory tract. According to hospital setting this most frequent ST131 had source on 1st internal clinic 12 times (mainly in females) and for once on Surgical and Neurological clinic. Remainder of the sporadic sequence types or C-H types of ESBL *Escherichia coli* had origin also on 1st internal clinic and other healthcare providing facilities (Tab. 3).

Antibiotic resistance

Resistance rate in ESBL *Escherichia coli* evaluated strains was highest against unprotected cephalosporins including fluoroquinolone ciprofloxacin, followed by protected ampicillin/sulbactam and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (Tab. 4). The highest prevalence of resistance to aminoglycosides was against tobramycin and the lowest to amikacin. Lower resistance rate was registered also against cefoperazone/sulbactam, ceftolozane/tazobactam, tigecycline and temocillin. No recorded resistance in *Escherichia coli* ESBL producing strains against: ceftazidime/avibactam, ertapenem, meropenem, colistin, nitrofurantoin and fosfomicin. Most of the times, in *Klebsiella* spp. mainly carbapenemase producing strains resistance toward penicillins and unprotected cephalosporins including ciprofloxacin was 100 %. Against cephalosporins with inhibitor of β -lactamase, the lowest resistance rate was to ceftazidime/avibactam. Actually, 13 *Klebsiella* spp. strains from serine class A carbapenemase (probably KPC) were completely sensitive to ceftazidime/avibactam (0 % resistance), but from class

B metallo- β -lactamase 24 strains were fully resistant (100 % resistance) to this drug (data not shown). Resistance to meropenem and amikacin was lower, but the lowest was recorded to colistin, tigecycline and temocillin. In *Enterobacter* spp. ESBL producing strains, antibiotic resistance was of the highest degree against β -lactams and ciprofloxacin. Exception was ceftazidime/avibactam and ceftolozane/tazobactam, to them resistance was not marked or detected up to 10.5 %, respectively. Amikacin, meropenem, colistin and temocillin resistance was not demonstrated in ESBL *Enterobacter* spp. strains (Tab. 4).

Discussion

In an attempt to investigate occurrence of ESBL and CPE including their resistance for the recent years, we found out that certain pathogens from normal flora might be more related to the gender, age, as well as to healthcare setting, colonization and diseases (or comorbidities). These pathogens are mostly associated with many other risk factors for the transmission and acquisition of MDR strains (2). Authors Cano et al (2018) published lower median age (59 years) as well as lower incidence of male gender (33.3 %) with carbapenemase producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in their study compared to our findings. As opposed to our results, in this Spanish survey one half of the patients had a surgery intervention with hospitalization at intensive care unit and also bacteraemia (8). In accordance with our records was public data of Han et al (2017) for the median age (73 years) of the patients with

carbapenem resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, but the proportion of female gender (50.7 %) was slightly lower. In this American study related to the patients from long-term acute care hospitals, carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae* strains were mostly isolated from respiratory source (59.2 %), urinary tract (37 %) and from blood (9.4 %) (20). That was different from our types of specimens from where we isolated carbapenemase producing *Klebsiella* spp. Strains, mainly from gastrointestinal tract and less from other locations (table 1.). Barbier et al (20016) and Lafolie et al (2014) presented results of slightly lower levels of *Escherichia coli* ESBL production in urine (60 %) (2, 21) and in agreement with our data in hemoculture (8 %) (21). In this French study 17.2 % prevalence of ST131 of 261 ESBL *E. coli* strains was found (21). In our much lower number of evaluated strains, 14 (70 %) were determined as ST131. In addition, C-H typing detected ST131 clonal subgroup H30 that is responsible in an important way for the fluoroquinolone and MDR *E. coli* infections around the world (17).

The most common resistance mechanism in *E. coli* strains is production of ESBL and occasionally can be combined with AmpC mostly plasmid mediated β -lactamase production. Chromosomal AmpC hyperproduction due to mutation on promotor of *ampC* gene is very rare in *E. coli* strains. ESBL and/or AmpC hyperproduction combined with porin defect – impermeability can lead to the carbapenem resistance (9, 22). However, in *E. coli* we did not detect this carbapenem resistance, not even carbapenemase production in our results. Mechanisms of resistance in *Klebsiella* spp. are based on ESBL and/or AmpC production and we had 4 strains (Tab. 2) and 1 of them was carbapenem (meropenem) resistant. Remaining 37 *Klebsiella* spp. strains were carbapenemase producing (34 out of them with ESBL) and 25 (67.6 %) were carbapenem (meropenem) resistant *Klebsiella* spp. strains. In published results of Lesho et al (2016) CRE or carbapenem (imipenem) resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* strains were isolated mainly as *Klebsiella* spp. (113 times) and less frequently in *E. coli* (21 times) and *Enterobacter* spp. (10 times). These CRE data of the same authors were even 73-fold lower than carbapenem resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and 210-fold lower than in *Acinetobacter* spp. strains, where carbapenem resistance is much more frequent (23). Several years ago (2015 – 2016 years) we proved 3 strains of *Enterobacter* spp. with carbapenemase production but not in this survey. Recently, resistance mechanism of *Enterobacter* spp. strains is due to ESBL and/or AmpC production. Majority of the strains were ESBL positive (Tab. 2). In *Enterobacter* spp. is intrinsically present chromosomal encoded class C particularly *inducible* β -lactamase (13, 24). During the treatment by 3rd generation of cephalosporins (3GS) and hyperproduction (high level cephalosporinase) of so-called *derepressed* AmpC β -lactamase, the therapy can fail (25).

The most notable carbapenemase production within *Klebsiella* spp. strain in Slovak republic is metallo- β -lactamase NDM (9) and this is in line with our findings, according to inhibition of MBL by EDTA in our assays.

Owing to the most prevalent sequence type – ST131, the outcomes of MacFadden et al (2019) about resistance within ST131 *E. coli* strains were higher than in susceptible strains, but different comparing to our overall *E. coli* resistance. In this Canadian re-

search, resistance against ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin and gentamicin ranged from 41 – 54 % (26). Our susceptibility testing results of *E. coli* against 3GS and ciprofloxacin recorded 2-times higher values with exception of gentamicin with 2-times lower rate. Consistent with our data were Lafolie et al (2014) results from French hospital of 261 *E. coli* ESBL producers, in particular 45 ST131 strains were resistant to cefotaxime (93.3 %), ceftazidime (84.4 %), ofloxacin (91.1 %), amikacin (8.9 %) and carbapenems (0 %) (21). Limitation of our resistance results in particular of ST131 *E. coli* strains was lower number of susceptibility results.

Antibiotic susceptibility profile of carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae* from long-term acute care hospitals from the work of Han et al (2017) was lower against amikacin (59.2 %) and mainly tigecycline (0.7 %), in line with ciprofloxacin (98.1 %), tobramycin (97.8 %), but higher than gentamicin (97.8 %) and especially than colistin (16.1 %) (20), as were our results. Differences in resistance rate can be explained by variety of their carbapenem resistant *K. pneumoniae* strains and our carbapenemase producing pathogens, other antibiotic pressure, distinction of geographical localisation and many other risk factors.

To our knowledge, empirical therapy of *E. coli* and *Enterobacter* spp. ESBL infections might comprise of non-carbapenem β -lactams: ceftazidime/avibactam, ceftolozane/tazobactam, amikacin, tigecycline, temocillin, nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin (27, 28). On the other hand, carbapenems are preferred initially for severely ill patients, if the source of possible bacteraemia is different than urinary or biliary tract, with high bacterial load and until final results become available (6). In terms of our data carbapenemase producing *Klebsiella* spp. is sensitive to colistin that is possible to combine with tigecycline in initial therapy. Efficacious in treatment of serine group A carbapenemase (KPC) *Klebsiella* spp. infection is for the time being ceftazidime/avibactam that demonstrated no resistance in our findings. For the therapy of MBL a few new coming agents like cefiderocol, aztreonam/avibactam, eravacycline and cefepime/zidebactam might be efficient (29, 30).

In conclusion, we have shown that multidrug-resistant, ESBL and CPE strain carriage and infections are related to various risk factors like age, hospitalization, healthcare setting, disease and many others. Mechanisms of resistance are most likely associated with particular infectious agent as well as sequence type, that might be highly specific and can have epidemic relationship and lead to nosocomial outbreak of infections. Consequently, prevalence of antimicrobial resistance is often in agreement with previous phenotypic and genotypic properties of pathogen, critical factors of the patients and surrounding hospital environment characteristics.

References

1. Karlowsky JA, Lob SH, Kazmierczak KM et al. In vitro activity of imipenem against carbapenemase-positive *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates collected by SMART global surveillance program from 2008 to 2014. J Clin Microbiol 2017; 55 (6): 1638–1649.
2. Barbier F, Pommier C, Essaïed W et al. Colonization and infection with extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in ICU patients: what impact on outcomes and carbapenem exposure? J Antimicrob Chemother 2016; 71: 1088–1097.

3. **Olesen B, Hansen DS, Nilsson F et al.** Prevalence and characteristics of the epidemic multiresistant *Escherichia coli* ST131 clonal group among extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *E. coli* isolates in Copenhagen, Denmark. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013; 51 (6):1779–1785.
4. **Thomson KS.** Extended-spectrum- β -lactamase, AmpC, and carbapenemase issues (commentary). *J Clin Microbiol* 2010; 48 (4): 1019–1025.
5. **Gorrie CL, Mirceta M, Wick RR et al.** Antimicrobial-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carriage and infection in specialized geriatric care wards linked to acquisition in the referring hospital. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; 67 (2): 161–170.
6. **Tamma PD, Rodríguez-Baño J.** The use of noncarbapenem β -lactams for the treatment of extended-spectrum- β -lactamase infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 64 (7): 972–980.
7. **Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB et al.** Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012; 18: 268–281.
8. **Cano A, Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez B, Machuca I et al.** Risks of infection and mortality among patients colonized with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae*: validation of scores and proposal for management. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; 66 (8): 1204–1210.
9. **Logan LK, Weinstein RA.** The epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*: the impact and evolution of a global menace. *J Infect Dis* 2017; 215 (S1): S28–S36.
10. **Kluytmans-van den Bergh MF, Rossen JW, Bruijning-Verhagen PC et al.** Whole-genome multilocus sequence typing of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2016; 54 (12): 2919–2927.
11. **Huang TD, Bogaerts P, Berhin C, Guisset A, Glupczynski Y.** Evaluation of brilliance ESBL agar, a novel chromogenic medium for detection of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010; 48 (6): 2091–2096.
12. **Giske CG, Martínez-Martínez L, Cantón R et al.** EUCAST guidelines for detection of resistance mechanisms and specific resistances of clinical and/or epidemiological importance. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing 2017; version 2: 1–43. http://www.eucast.org/resistance_mechanisms/.
13. **Tan TY, Yong Ng LS, He J, Koh TH, Hsu LY.** Evaluation of screening methods to detect plasmid-mediated AmpC in *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Proteus mirabilis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009; 53 (1): 146–149.
14. **Nordman P, Poirel L, Dortet L.** Rapid detection of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2012; 18 (9): 1503–1507.
15. **Poulou A, Grivakou E, Vrioni G et al.** Modified CLSI extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) confirmatory test for phenotypic detection of ESBLs among *Enterobacteriaceae* producing various β -lactamases. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014; 52 (5): 1483–1489.
16. **Ahmed S, Besser TE, Call DR, Weissman SJ, Jones LP, Davis MA.** Evaluation of two multi-locus sequence typing schemes for commensal *Escherichia coli* from dairy cattle in Washington state. *J Microbiol Methods* 2016; 124: 57–61.
17. **Roer L, Johannesen TB, Hansen F et al.** CHtyper, a web tool for subtyping of extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* based on the *fumC* and *fimH* alleles. *J Clin Microbiol* 2018; 56 (4): e00063–18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00063-18>.
18. **Gattringer R, Nikš M, Ostertág E et al.** Evaluation of MIDITECH automated colorimeter MIC reading for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2002; 49: 651–659.
19. http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/
20. **Han JH, Goldstein EJ, Wise J, Bilker WB, Tolomeo P, Lautenbach E.** Epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a network of long-term acute care hospitals. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 64 (7): 839–844.
21. **Lafolie J, Nicolas-Chanoine MH, Grenouillet F, Hocquet D, Bertrand X.** Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* sequence type 131 and its H30 subclone among *E. coli* isolates in a french hospital. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2014; 44: 466–468.
22. **Humphries RM, McKinnell JA.** Continuing challenges for the clinical laboratory for detection of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2015; 53 (12): 3712–3714.
23. **Lesho E, Chukwuma U, Sparks M et al.** Anatomic, geographic, and taxon-specific relative risks of carbapenem resistance in the health care system of the U.S. department of defense. *J Clin Microbiol* 2016; 54 (6): 1546–1551.
24. **Valesia G, Roos M, Böttger EC, Hombach M.** A statistical approach for determination of disk diffusion-based cutoff values for systematic characterization of wild-type and non-wild-type bacterial populations in antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *J Clin Microbiol* 2015; 53 (6): 1812–1822.
25. **Jehl F, Chomarar M, Weber M, Gérard A (Eds).** Resistance phenotypes of aerobic gram-negative bacteria to major antibiotics. 62–75. In: From antibiogram to prescription. Marcy L'Étoile: Biomérieux, 2004.
26. **MacFadden DR, Melano RG, Coburn B, Tijet N, Hanage WP, Daneman N.** Comparing patient risk Factor-, sequence type-, and resistance locus identification-based approaches for predicting antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* bloodstream infections. *J Clin Microbiol* 2019; 57 (6): e01780-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01780-18>.
27. **Wijma RA, Huttner A, Koch BC, Mouton JW, Muller AE.** Review of the pharmacokinetic properties of nitrofurantoin and nitroloxline. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2018; 73: 2916–2926.
28. **Vidyalakshmi PR, Ghafur KA, Gohel S, Thirunarayan MA.** Fosfomicin, a promising option in the era of NDM1 susceptibility data with a discussion on its role in indian scenario. *Infect Dis Clin Pract* 2016; 24 (1): 35–38.
29. **Poulakou G, Lagou S, Karageorgopoulos DE, Dimopoulos G.** New treatments of multidrug-resistant gram-negative ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Ann Transl Med* 2018; 6 (21). <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm.2018.1029>.
30. **Sader HS, Castanheira M, Huband M, Jones RN, Flamm RK.** WCK 5222 (cefepime-zidebactam) antimicrobial activity against clinical isolates of gram-negative bacteria collected worldwide in 2015. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017; 61 (5): e00072-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00072-17>.

Received July 11, 2019.

Accepted July 15, 2019.