The preventive effects of atorvastatin and N-acetyl cysteine in experimentally induced ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats

Yasar M¹, Erdi I¹, Kaya B²

Duzce University Medical Faculty-Department of General Surgery Duzce, Turkey. myasar59@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

AIM: We investigated the effects of atorvastatin and N-acetyl cysteine in decreasing ischemia-reperfusion damage after detorsion of a volvulus of the cecum and ascending colon.

METHODS: Wistar albino rats (250–300 g) were divided into four groups. A cecal-ascending colon volvulus was created by the intestinal clockwise 720° rotation. At the end of one hour, the bowel was detorsioned. Group I (n = 7) was the sham (laparotomy) group, Group II (n = 7) the control (no treatment, volvulus or detorsion), Group III (n = 7) (N-acetyl cysteine administered), and Group IV (n = 7) (atorvastatin administered) group. Blood samples were collected from each group via peripheral veins and centrifuged one hour after detorsion. The parameters of ischemia including malondialdehyde, glutathione peroxidase, catalase, and superoxide dismutase were then observed in the serous fluid.

RESULTS: Malondialdehyde and superoxide dismutase increased in the control group, whereas they were reduced in the Group III and Group IV (p = 0.005; p = 0.008, respectively).

The glutathione peroxidase levels revealed no significant differences (p > 0.05), whereas the catalase levels of the group III was higher than in each of the other three groups (p < 0.001). Histopathological evaluation detected reduced lesioning of the organ in the groups which were given atorvastatin and N-acetyl cysteine.

CONCLUSION: Atorvastatin and of N-acetyl cysteine have a similar preventive effect in experimental ischemiareperfusion injury (Tab. 8, Fig. 6, Ref. 24). Text in PDF www.elis.sk.

KEY WORDS: atorvastatin, N-acetyl cysteine, ischemia-reperfusion injury, volvulus.

Introduction

Colon volvulus is one of the causes of acute abdomen which requires urgent and specific diagnosis. Sigmoid colon volvulus is a very common disorder which responds to colonoscopic detorsion. In unresponsive cases, surgical resection, Hartman procedures and other surgical techniques are applied (1, 2).

Intestinal vascular ischemia also occurs due to the mechanical bowel obstruction in volvulus. Ischemia results when the organ or tissue perfused by insufficient blood flow develops reversible or irreversible cell and tissue damage (3). Following ischemia, the restoration of the blood flow in the region (reperfusion) takes place rapidly, along with the delivery of molecular oxygen into the cells together with reactive oxygen species (ROS) derivatives. In order to prevent irreversible cell damage, blood flow must be restored to the organs and tissues. However, reperfusion can cause more damage to the tissues and organs already damaged by ischemia (4). *N-acetyl cysteine (NAC)* is an intracellular glutathione (GSH) precursor and markedly increases glutathione S-transferase activity in the liver. This activity is the foundation of the antioxidant, anticarcinogenic and antimutagenic effects of the agent. The antimutagenic effect of NAC on bacterial test systems as well as its mucolytic and antioxidant effects have long been known (5–7). Under hypoxic conditions, a decrease in blood and tissue GSH levels and an increase in lipid peroxidation products have been reported (8).

Atorvastatin is one of the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor statins. Statins are known to be anti-inflammatory, to exhibit protective effects in atherosclerosis and to lower serum lipid levels. Additional effects, including the reduction of cytokines, the secretion of adhesion molecules and the proliferation of smooth muscle cells, have also been demonstrated (9–11). Moreover, statins have the pleiotropic effects of reducing vascular inflammation and improving endothelial function, the antithrombotic effects of regression and stabilization of atherosclerotic plaque, as well as oncoprotective effects. They have also been found to decrease arterial compliance and improve insulin resistance.

In this study, it was thought that mortality could be reduced by preventing the tissue damage caused by the oxygen radicals generated in the process of reperfusion following detorsion of a volvulus. Atorvastatin and N-acetyl cysteine for reducing ischemia–reperfusion injury were used in experimental model.

¹Duzce University Medical Faculty-Department of General Surgery Duzce, Turkey, and ²FSM Training and Research Hospital Department of General Surgery Istanbul, Turkey

Address for correspondence: Yasar M, Dr, Duzce University, Medical Faculty, Department of General Surgery, Ducze, Turkey. Phone: +0532.7716576

Tab. 1. Experimental animal groups and procedures.

	8		
Group No	No. of Animals	Group Type	Experimental Procedures
Ι	7	Sham	Laparotomy
II	7	Control	Volvulus + Detorsion
III	7	Positive Control	Volvulus+Detorsion+N-acetylcysteine, 300 mg/kg, i.p., half an hour before detorsion
IV	7	Treatment	Volvulus+Detorsion+atorvastatin injectable 30 mg/kg, i.p., half an hour before detorsion

Materials and methods

Experimental animals

For this experimental study, the necessary permits and approvals were obtained in accordance with the Animal Research Ethics Committee decision no. 2013/44, dated 12/02/2014. Our experimental animal trials were carried out in the Experimental Animal Center, while the other investigations were conducted in the biochemistry, pathology and pharmacology laboratories of our faculty.

For the study, 28 healthy, mixed male and female albino Wistar rats, 250–300 gm in weight, were selected and kept under appropriate temperature and feeding conditions. The rats were



Fig. 1. Creation of cecum-ascending colon volvulus in the experimental rats.



Fig. 2. Intraperitoneal administration of atorvastatin in median laparotomy rats.

randomly divided into four groups of seven each. For ten days prior to the start of the experiment, the rats were given water and standard feed and housed in individual cages, allowing them to adapt to ambient conditions (Tab. 1).

Surgical procedures

Group I (Sham group): After proper cleaning and sterilization of the area with 10 % povidon iodine solution (Baticon®, Adeka, Turkey), the rats were anesthetized using Ketamine hydrochloride (Ketalar Eczacıbaşı, Istanbul, Turkey), 50 mg/kg i.p. and Xylazine (vial) (Rompun Bayer Ilac, Turkey), 10 mg/kg. A midline laparotomy incision of about 3 cm was made and then closed with 3–0 silk. Peripheral venous blood samples (about 2 cc) were taken.

Group II (Control Group): After sterilization and anesthesia, laparotomy + volvulus + detorsion were performed (Fig. 1). One hour after reperfusion, a blood sample was taken and the laparotomy closed.

Group III (N-acetyl cysteine): After sterilization and anesthesia, laparotomy + volvulus + detorsion were performed + N-acetyl cysteine injectable (Asist ampoule, Hüsnü Arsan, Turkey), 300 mg/ kg, i.p., was administered half an hour prior to detorsion, One hour after reperfusion, a blood sample was taken and the laparotomy closed with 4–0 silk.

Group IV (Atorvastatin): For this group, after sterilization and anesthesia, laparotomy + volvulus + detorsion were performed. Atorvastatin injectable (prepared in the pharmacology laboratory), 40 mg/kg, i.p., was administered half an hour prior to detorsion (Fig. 2). One hour after reperfusion, a blood sample was taken and the laparotomy was closed with 4–0 silk.

During the post-operative period, paracetamol injectable (Parol vial, Turkey) 100–300 mg/kg, s.c., was administered once every 4 h as an analgesic. Oral feeding was carried out.

One day later, after sterilization and under anesthesia, relaparotomy was performed on all groups, pathological specimens and control blood samples of arterial blood were taken and the animals were sacrificed.

In our experimental volvulus model, transmural occlusion was presented along with complete venous obstruction and partial arterial obstruction. Ischemia and perfusion times were equal and evaluated as one hour.

Biochemical analysis

Blood samples were centrifuged and transported while observing the blood cold chain. Ischemia parameters of the serum malondialdehyde (MDA), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) were investigated and a statistical analysis was performed.

Tab. 2. Snyder's semi quantitative histopathological evidence scale used to classify the morphological changes in the experimentally induced ascending colon volvulus in the rats.

1) Hemorrhage and edema in each separate layer of the intestine (mucosa, submucosa, muscularis) (Scored from 0–4)

0: None

- 1: Hemorrhage / edema upon very careful inspection
- 2: Easily detected but infrequent hemorrhage / edema

3: Extensive hemorrhage / edema, but normal structure undamaged

4: Normal structure disrupted with extensive hemorrhage / edema 2) Degenerative changes and desquamation in the surface epithelium (Scored from 0–3)

0: No degenerative changes or desquamation

1: No desquamation, but degenerative changes present

2: Desquamation in a small portion of the surface epithelium

3: Infrequent desquamation in the surface epithelium

(Degenerative changes: deteriorated nucleus/cytoplasm ratio; cytoplasm coagulation deposits; reduction in mucus secretion; prominent nucleoli)

3) Necrosis and gangrene in the intestinal wall (Scored from 0–3)

0: Absence of necrosis or gangrene

1: Necrosis extending into the surface epithelium muscularis mucosa

2: Necrosis advanced beyond the muscularis mucosa and into the submucosa

3: Deep necrosis extending into the muscularis propria.

Measurement of the total (Cu-Zn and Mn) SOD activity was performed according to the method of Sun et al (12) as modified by Durak et al (13). In this method, the SOD activity is based on the reduction of the xanthine/xanthine oxidase system with the produced superoxitin nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT). Kits obtained from Cell Bioplast and Usnc Life Science, Inc., and a Shimadzu spectrophotometer were used in the analyses.

Histopathological examination

For the histopathological examination, the cecum, ascending colon and distal ileum of all the sacrificed rats were removed, washed with physiological serum, placed in containers of formal-dehyde and sent to the pathology laboratory. Antimesenteric cecal tissue samples were taken and fixed on paraffin blocks. The 4 μ m-thick sections were stained with hemotoxilin–eosin and prepared for the light microscope by a single pathologist. A blind assessment was carried out using the Snyder scale for the classification of morphological changes.¹⁴ The semi quantitative histopathological changes and desquamation and presence or absence of necrosis, in every layer of the intestine were scored (Tabs 2 and 3, Figs 3–6).

Tab. 3. Histopathological results.

Group & Animal No.		Morphological Changes & Scores					
Group I (Sham)	Edema	Hemorrhage	Degenerative changes and desquamation	Necrosis and gangrene			
1	0	0	0	0			
2	0	0	0	0			
3	0	0	0	0			
4	0	0	0	0			
5	0	0	0	0			
6	0	0	0	0			
7	0	0	0	0			
Group II (Control)	Edema	Hemorrhage	Degenerative changes and desquamation	Necrosis and gangrene			
1	4	3	3	3			
2	2	2	1	0			
3	4	3	3	3			
4	4	3	3	3			
5	1	1	1	0			
6	1	0	1	0			
7	3	2	3	3			
Group III (Positive Control)	Edema	Hemorrhage	Degenerative changes and desquamation	Necrosis and gangrene			
1	1	1	2	2			
2	1	0	0	0			
3	2	2	3	2			
4	3	1	3	2			
5	1	0	0	0			
6	3	2	3	2			
7	2	3	2	2			
Group IV (Treatment)	Edema	Hemorrhage	Degenerative changes and desquamation	Necrosis and gangrene			
1	1	1	0	0			
2	1	2	0	0			
3	1	2	0	0			
4	1	3	2	2			
5	1	2	2	2			
6	1	0	0	0			
7	1	1	0	0			



Fig. 3. Normal bowel tissue (H & E × 200).



Fig. 4. Extensive necrosis and desquamation of the muscular layer (H & E \times 200).



Fig. 5. Bowel tissue: necrotic on the right side and healthy on the left side (H & E \times 40).

Statistical analysis

The quantitative variable identifier mean, standard deviation and median values are given in Table 4. The normality of the distribution of these variables was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk



Fig. 6. Mild edema in the mucosa (H&E×200).

test. The Kruskall–Wallis test was used to compare the groups in terms of edema, hemorrhage, desquamation, necrosis and gangrene variables. The investigation of variations in the group test results was aided by applying the Dunn test. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to examine the group variations in terms of the parameters measured on Day 1 and Day 2. The differences shown by the ANOVA results were evaluated by the Tukey test. The paired t-test was used to compare the groups separately in terms of the average parameters measured on days 1 and 2. The ANOVA was used to compare the averages of the groups in terms of the different values measured on days 1 and 2. The results of the statistical evaluations were found to be statistically significant ($p \le 0.05$). The PASW (ver. 18) program was used for the calculations.

Results

Table 4 shows a comparison of the resulting descriptive statistics and p values of the groups (sham, control, group III, and group IV) in terms of the variables of edema, hemorrhage, desquamation, necrosis and gangrene. Significant differences were determined among the study groups in terms of median values for edema, hemorrhage, desquamation, necrosis and gangrene, at p < 0.05 each. A detailed examination of the differences shows that the median edema value for the sham group was significantly lower than the values of the positive control group and the control group (p = 0.003; p < 0.001). The hemorrhage median for the sham group was significantly lower than the treatment group and control group (p = 0.048; p = 0.007). When desquamation differences are examined, the sham group median was determined as significantly lower than the positive control and control groups (p = 0.043; p = 0.005). When the medians groups are compared in terms of necrosis medians, only the sham group median was found to be significantly different from the control group (p = 0.05). No significant difference was observed among the other groups in terms of necrosis medians (p > 0.05 per comparison). After 24 h, one test subject each from the control and positive control groups died.

The descriptive statistics and p values obtained from the comparison of the groups in terms of MDA, GSHPX, CAT and SOD

	Group	Average	Median	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	р	
	Sham	0.0000	0	0.00000	0.00	0.00		
E Jama	Control	2.7143	3	1.38013	1.00	4.00	< 0.001	
Edema	Positive control	1.8571	2	0.89974	1.00	3.00	< 0.001	
	Treatment	1.0000	1	0.00000	1.00	1.00		
	Sham	0.0000	0	0.00000	0.00	0,00		
II	Control	2.0000	2	1.15470	0.00	3.00	0.007	
Hemorrhage	Positive control	1.2857	1	1.11270	0.00	3.00		
	Treatment	1.5714	2	0.97590	0.00	3.00		
	Sham	0.0000	0	0.00000	0.00	0.00		
Decemention	Control	2.1429	3	1.06904	1.00	3.00	0.002	
Desquamation	Positive control	1.8571	2	1.34519	0.00	3.00	0.002	
	Treatment	0.5714	0	0.97590	0.00	2.00		
	Sham	0.0000	0	0.00000	0.00	0.00	0.033	
Namaia and Canana	Control	1.7143	3	1.60357	0.00	3.00		
Necrosis and Gangrene	Positive control	1.4286	2	0.97590	0.00	2.00		
	Treatment	0.5714	0	0.97590	0.00	2.00		

Tab. 4. Statistical comparison of histopathological results*.

*Kruskal-Wallis test

Tab. 5. Comparison of group biochemistry results for the first day (Day 1)*.

Group		Average	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	р	
	Sham	1.09286	0.235319	0.672	1.310		
MDA	Control	2.67386	0.997492	0.731	3.522	0.002	
MDA	Positive control	1.18214	0.198211	0.945	1.511	0.002	
	Treatment	2.08343	1.174203	0.502	4.180		
	Sham	0.25243	0.068593	0.129	0.322		
COUDY	Control	0.26514	0.063154	0.155	0.349	0.751	
GSHPX	Positive control	0.28671	0.080135	0.190	0.407	0.751	
	Treatment	0.28729	0.071542	0.200	0.386		
	Sham	0.13329	0.032113	0.080	0.173	0.001	
CAT	Control	0.12671	0.018581	0.093	0.149		
CAT	Positive control	0.37429	0.054503	0.291	0.449	< 0.001	
	Treatment	0.18114	0.070172	0.129	0.285		
	Sham	13.32629	0.978441	11.981	14.437		
SOD	Control	17.69029	1.179833	16.006	19.134	<0.001	
SOD	Positive control	13.81571	0.679664	13.006	15.112	< 0.001	
	Treatment	13.64586	1.606397	11.036	15.700		

* One-way ANOVA

Tab. 6. Comparison of group biochemistry results for the second day (Day 2)*.

Group		Average	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	р	
	Sham	1.00714	0.257173	0.624	1.225		
	Control	2.56986	1.145517	0.512	3.722	0.008	
MDA_2	Positive control	1.25686	0.248714	0.948	1.593	0.008	
	Treatment	2.02000	1.230621	0.420	3.752		
	Sham	0.32800	0.045607	0.248	0.395		
COUDY 2	Control	0.43257	0.200967	0.207	0.854	0.423	
GSHPX_2	Positive control	0.37386	0.078334	0.276	0.534		
	Treatment	0.35917	0.070559	0.274	0.456		
	Sham	0.18971	0.054540	0.121	0.264		
CAT 2	Control	0.20200	0.053460	0.129	0.278	<0.001	
CAT_2	Positive control	0.41814	0.096670	0.258	0.505	< 0.001	
	Treatment	0.23633	0.052914	0.177	0.304		
	Sham	14.13371	0.777516	12.852	14.845		
COD 2	Control	18.14557	1.771975	15.128	19.968	< 0.001	
SOD_2	Positive control	14.44014	0.639128	13.854	15.784		
	Treatment	14.20100	0.621447	13.433	15.152		
* 0 1210174							

* One-way ANOVA

Tab. 7. Comparison of first and second day results for the each group separately*.

	The average of the differences (1st day – 2nd day)	The standard deviation of the differences	р
MDA – MAD2	0.085714	0.124531	0.118
GSHPX – GSHPX2	-0.075571	0.063235	0.020
Cat-Cat2	-0.056429	0.038061	0.008
SOD - SOD2	-0.807429	0.554628	0.008
MDA – MAD2	0.104000	0.266491	0.342
GSHPX – GSHPX2	-0.167429	0.196473	0.065
Cat-Cat2	-0.075286	0.041624	0.003
SOD - SOD2	-0.455286	1.336460	0.402
MDA – MAD2	-0.074714	0.093952	0.080
GSHPX – GSHPX2	-0.087143	0.046280	0.002
Cat-Cat2	-0.043857	0.068282	0.140
SOD - SOD2	-0.624429	0.322799	0.002
MDA – MAD2	0.133500	0.230043	0.214
GSHPX – GSHPX2	-0.070500	0.033321	0.004
Cat-Cat2	-0.046500	0.073001	0.179
SOD – SOD2	-0.897500	1.230511	0.134
	GSHPX – GSHPX2 Cat – Cat2 SOD – SOD2 MDA – MAD2 GSHPX – GSHPX2 Cat – Cat2 SOD – SOD2 MDA – MAD2 GSHPX – GSHPX2 Cat – Cat2 SOD – SOD2 MDA – MAD2 GSHPX – GSHPX2 Cat – Cat2	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline (1st day - 2nd day) \\ \hline MDA - MAD2 & 0.085714 \\ \hline GSHPX - GSHPX2 & -0.075571 \\ \hline Cat - Cat2 & -0.056429 \\ \hline SOD - SOD2 & -0.807429 \\ \hline MDA - MAD2 & 0.104000 \\ \hline GSHPX - GSHPX2 & -0.167429 \\ \hline Cat - Cat2 & -0.075286 \\ \hline SOD - SOD2 & -0.455286 \\ \hline MDA - MAD2 & -0.074714 \\ \hline GSHPX - GSHPX2 & -0.087143 \\ \hline Cat - Cat2 & -0.043857 \\ \hline SOD - SOD2 & -0.624429 \\ \hline MDA - MAD2 & 0.133500 \\ \hline GSHPX - GSHPX2 & -0.070500 \\ \hline Cat - Cat2 & -0.046500 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline (1st day - 2nd day) & the differences \\ \hline MDA - MAD2 & 0.085714 & 0.124531 \\ \hline GSHPX - GSHPX2 & -0.075571 & 0.063235 \\ \hline Cat - Cat2 & -0.056429 & 0.038061 \\ \hline SOD - SOD2 & -0.807429 & 0.554628 \\ \hline MDA - MAD2 & 0.104000 & 0.266491 \\ \hline GSHPX - GSHPX2 & -0.167429 & 0.196473 \\ \hline Cat - Cat2 & -0.075286 & 0.041624 \\ \hline SOD - SOD2 & -0.455286 & 1.336460 \\ \hline MDA - MAD2 & -0.074714 & 0.093952 \\ \hline GSHPX - GSHPX2 & -0.087143 & 0.046280 \\ \hline Cat - Cat2 & -0.043857 & 0.068282 \\ \hline SOD - SOD2 & -0.624429 & 0.322799 \\ \hline MDA - MAD2 & 0.133500 & 0.230043 \\ \hline GSHPX - GSHPX2 & -0.070500 & 0.033321 \\ \hline Cat - Cat2 & -0.046500 & 0.073001 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

* Paired samples t-test

Tab. 8.	Comparison	of groups in t	erms of changes	(difference) occurr	ed between the fir	st and second day*.

Group		n	Averag e	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	р
	Sham	7	-0.08571	0.124531	-0.304	0.059	
MDA differences	Control	7	-0.10400	0.266491	-0.362	0.403	0.210
MDA differences	Positive control	7	0.07471	0.093952	0.003	0.270	0.210
	Treatment	6	-0.13350	0.230043	-0.428	0.180	
	Sham	7	0.07557	0.063235	0.017	0.210	
COUDY L'ff-	Control	7	0.16743	0.196473	0.052	0.609	0.337
GSHPX differences	Positive control	7	0.08714	0.046280	0.009	0.149	
	Treatment	6	0.07050	0.033321	0.026	0.110	
	Sham	7	0.05643	0.038061	0.024	0.110	0.730
CAT L'O	Control	7	0.07529	0.041624	0.027	0.136	
CAT differences	Positive control	7	0.04386	0.068282	-0.091	0.130	
	Treatment	6	0.04650	0.073001	-0.047	0.173	
	Sham	7	0.80743	0.554628	0.305	1.801	0.836
COD L'O	Control	7	0.45529	1.336460	-2.404	1.698	
SOD differences	Positive control	7	0.62443	0.322799	0.111	1.023	
	Treatment	6	0.89750	1.230511	-0.795	2.815	

* One-way ANOVA

averages measured on the first day (Day 1) are given in Table 5. Upon examination of the table, no significant difference among the groups can be seen in the GSHPX averages (p > 0.05). However, significant differences can be observed among the group averages for MDA, CAT and SOD. Close examination of the differences shows that the MDA of the control group was significantly higher than the MDA averages of the sham and positive control groups (p = 0.005; p = 0.008). Similarly, the CAT average of the positive control group was significantly higher (p < 0.001 per comparison) than in the other three groups (sham, control and treatment). Although the SOD average for the control group was found to be significantly higher than the averages of the sham, positive control and treatment groups (p < 0.001 each), no significant difference was observed among the other groups in terms of SOD averages (p > 0.05).

The descriptive statistics and p values obtained from the comparison of the groups in terms of MDA, GSHPX, CAT and SOD averages measured on the second day (Day 2) are shown in Table 6. Upon examination of the table in terms of GSHPX averages, no significant difference was determined among the groups (p > 0.05). However, significant differences were seen among the group MDA, CAT and SOD averages. When the differences were examined, the control group MDA average was found to be significantly higher than the MDA averages of the sham and positive control groups (p = 0.010; p = 0.036). Similarly, the positive control group CAT average was significantly higher than the CAT averages (p < 0.001) per comparison of the other three groups (sham, control, treatment). Although the SOD average of the control group was found to be significantly higher than the SOD averages of the sham, positive control and treatment groups (p < 0.001 each), no significant difference was found among the other groups in terms of SOD averages (p > 0.05).

The p values are included in the examination of possible differences between the measurements for each group taken separately on days 1 and 2. As seen in Table 7, the sham group averages for CAT, GSHPX and SOD taken on Day 2 were significantly higher than those taken on Day 1 (p = 0.020; 0.008 and 0.008, respectively). However, no significant difference was seen between the MDA averages measured on days 1 and 2 (p > 0.05). No significant difference was found between the Day 1 and the Day 2 MDA, GSHPS and SOD averages for the control group (p > 0.05) each). In contrast, the Day 2 CAT average for the control group was significantly higher than that of Day 1 (p = 0.003). The Day 1 and Day 2 MDA and CAT averages for the positive control group were similar (p > 0.05); however, the GSHPX and SOD averages measured on Day 2 were significantly higher (p = 0.002 for both). The MDA, CAT and SOD averages for Days 1 and 2 were similar in the treatment group. However, the treatment group GSPX average measured on Day 2 was significantly higher (p = 0.004).

The descriptive statistics and p values obtained from the comparison of the groups in terms of differences in the MDA, GSHPX, CAT and SOD averages for days 1 and 2 are given in Table 8. The table shows that the groups did not differ significantly in their variations of the averages between Day 1 and Day 2 (p > 0.05 for each).

Discussion

Colon volvulus was defined for the first time in 1836 by Rokitansky as "the anormal axial rotation of a segment of the large bowel around its mesentery causing an acute closed loop obstruction" (1). As a result of this obstruction, the endoluminal pressure increases, leading to the development of ischemia, gangrene and finally, perforation of the colon. Colon volvulus is life-threatening, and must be quickly and accurately diagnosed and treated in the most appropriate manner (1, 2). It is recognized that the most important predisposing pathological factors for volvulus are a narrow mesenteric base and a long and mobile colon segment structure. Other predisposing factors include chronic constipation, extended bed rest, colonic motility disorders, megacolon, advanced age, neuropsychiatric disorders, predisposing anatomical factors, previous abdominal surgery, pregnancy, living at high altitudes, Chagas' disease, Hirschsprung disease and scleroderma (15, 16).

After the volvulus-induced ischemia, restoration of the blood flow to that region (reperfusion) and the reintroduction of molecular oxygen along with reactive oxygen species (ROS) derivatives into the cells take place rapidly (3, 4).

It is known that the production of ROS derivatives resulting from intestinal ischemia–reperfusion (I–R) plays an important role in ischemic injury (17).

In this experimental study, the effect of atorvastatin was investigated in the rats exposed to I–R injury as a result of the volvulus. The structural features of NAC, used in the positive control group, were found to be similar to those of atorvastatin.

In addition to the mechanical intestinal obstruction of the volvulus, because of the vascular occlusions in question, many agents are available to prevent damage during reperfusion after torsion via colonoscopy or other procedures. One of these is atorvastatin. The effectiveness of atorvastatin in I–R injury has been observed clinically. Time is important in dealing with I–R injury (18). Oxidants are formed in I–Rs lasting for as short a time as 2–5 min (18). In ischemia having a duration of up to 60 min, there is an increase in oxygen radicals, while in cases of ischemia that last more than 120 min, they are found to decrease (19). Because it is difficult to detect damage after the occurrence of reperfusion injury in ischemia cases of long duration, for this study, the ischemia duration was set as 60 min.

According to the histopathological results using the scores for edema, hemorrhage, degenerative changes, desquamation, necrosis and gangrene, the lesions in the control group increased, while a significant reduction was detected in the lesions in the positive control and treatment groups. Statistically, however, in the sham group, excepting the necrosis averages, no significant difference was found (p > 0.05 per comparison).

Following reperfusion, the oxygen radicals formed in the tissues lead to the peroxidation of phospholipid fat chains in the cell membrane, causing MDA to be produced (19).

Otamiri and Tagesson reported a 3–4-fold increase in the levels of mucosa and plasma MDA in rats after reperfusion of 5 min duration (20).

Naito et al. stated that the increased amount of MDA in the terminal ileum following I–R was significantly reduced with atorvastatin (21). Likewise, in this study, the MDA average in the control group was found to be significantly higher than the averages of the sham and positive control groups.

The effect of NAC on I–R injury was confirmed by several experiments carried out by Sun et al. Their study showed the effects of the NAC and indomethacin intestinal reperfusion model, and demonstrated that NAC ensured the integrity of the endothelial and epithelial barrier. Again, they determined that NAC was effective in preventing reperfusion injury (22). Another experimental study showed that NAC prevents reperfusion injury by impeding the adhesion molecules that inhibit peroxynitrite and that it provides for the reduction of neutrophils (23).

Demir et al. suggested that in I–R injury, there is an increase in the lipid peroxide levels in the liver, and that NAC application leads to a reduction in the lipid peroxide levels in the tissue (24).

In this study, the group given NAC (positive control group) exhibited less necrosis clinically, compared to the control group.

In the biochemical evaluation, the CAT average of the positive control group was significantly higher than the averages of the other three groups (sham, control and treatment).

MDA is the final product of lipid peroxidation, which is a marker of oxidative damage. Experimentally, in our study, a single dose of atorvastatin treatment given in the acute phase of the I–R injury created by the volvulus reduced the increased level of MDA.

The MDA average of the control group was found to be significantly higher than the MDA averages of the sham and the positive control group (p = 0.005, p = 0.008, respectively). However, no significant difference was seen between the MDA averages measured on days 1 and 2 (p > 0.05).

Although the SOD average of the control group was found to be significantly higher than the SOD averages of the sham, positive control and treatment groups (p < 0.001 each), in terms of the

SOD averages, no significant difference was seen among the other groups (p > 0.05). The SOD data, like those of MDA, presented high levels of I–R injury which dropped with the treatment of a single medical dose of NAC and atorvastatin.

Although no significant difference was detected in the GSH-Px and catalase values, the values were slightly high. Statistically, the CAT average of the positive control group was significantly higher than the averages of the other three groups (sham, control and treatment) (p < 0.001 per comparison). As for the GSHPX averages, among the groups, no significant difference was found (p > 0.05).

Conclusion

The effects of using atorvastatin were observed to be similar to those of N-acetyl cysteine in preventing experimentally induced ischemia–reperfusion injury after detorsion of the volvulus.Further comprehensive research needs to be carried out using other statins and materials.

References

1. Mulas C, Bruna M, Garcia-Armengol J, Roig JV. Management of colonic volvulus. Experience in 75 patients. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2010; 102: 239–248.

2. Chang JG, Shelton A, Welton ML. Volvulus. In: Dogherty GM, Way LW (Eds). Surgical Diagnosis and Treatment. Connecticut: Appleton and Lance, 1994, pp. 675–677.

3. Siemionow M, Arslan E. Ischemia/reperfusion Injury. A review in relation to free tissue transfers. Microsurgery, 2004; 24: 468–475.

4. Carden DL, Granger DN. Pathophysiology of ischemia–reperfüzyon injury. J Pathol 2000; 190: 255–266.

5. Slusser SO, Grotyohann LW, Martin LF, Scaduta RC. Glutathion catabolism by the ischemic rat kidney. Am J Physiol 1990; 258: 1546–1553.

6. Congreave IA. N-acetylcyteine: pharmacological considerations and experimental and clinical applications. Adv Pharmacol 1997; 38: 205–227.

7. Tepel M, Van Der Giet M, Schwarzfeld C, Laufer U, Liermann D, Zidek W. Prevention of radiographic-contrast-agent-induced reductions in renal function by acetylcysteine. New Engl J Med 2006; 343: 180–184.

8. Avi A, Weinbraum AK, Edith Hochhauser AH. Liver glutatione level influences myocardial reperfusion injury following liver ischemia–reperfusion. Med Sci Monit 2001; 7: 1137–1141.

9. Oda H, Keane WF. Recent advances in statins and the kidney. Kidney Int Suppl 1999; 71: 2–5.

10. Schonbeck U, Libby P. CD40 signaling and plaque instability. Circ Res 2001; 89 (12): 1092–1103.

11. Rabbani R, Topol EJ. Strategies to achieve coronary arterial plaque stabilization. Cardio Res 1998; 41: 402–417.

12. Sun Y, Oberley LW, Li Y. A Simple method for clinical assay of superoxide dismutase. Clin Chem 1988; 34 (3): 497–500.

13. Durak I, Yurtaslani Z, Canbolat O, Akyol O. A methodological approach to superoxide dismutase(SOD) activity assay based on inhibition of nitroblue tetrazolium(NBT) reduction. Clin Chim Acta 1993; 214 (1): 103–104.

14. Snyder JR, Olander HJ, Pascoe JR, Holland M, Kurpershoek CJ. Morphologic alterations observed during experimental ischemia of the equine large colon. Am J Vet Res 1988; 49 (6): 801–809.

15. Madiba TE, Thomson S. The management of caecal volvulus. Dis Colon Rectum 2002; 45: 264–267.

16. Sarioğlu A, Tanyel FC, Büyükpamukçu N, Hiçsönmez A. Colonic volvulus: a rare presentation of hirschsprungs disease. J Pediatr Surg 1997; 2: 117–118.

17. Islekel H, Islekel S, Güner G. Biochemical mechanism and tissue injury of cerebral iscemia and reperfusion. J Neurol Sci 2000; 7: 1984–2000.

18. Zimmerman BJ, Granger DN. Reperfüsion injury. Surg Clin North Am 1992; 72: 65–83.

19. Nilsson UA, Aberg J, Aneman A, Lundgren O. Feline intestinal ischemia and reperfusion. Relation between radical formation and tissue damage. Eur Surg Res 1993: 25–20.

20. Otamiri T, Tagesson C. Gingkgo biloba extract prevents mucosal damage associated with small intestinal ischemia. Scand J Gastroenterol 1989; 24: 666.

21. Naito Y, Katada K, Takagi T, Tsubai H, Kuroda M, Handa O, Kokura S, Yoshida N, Ichikawa H, Yoshikawa T. Rosuvastatin reduces rat intestinal ischemia–reperfusion injury associated with preservation of endotelial nitric oxide synthase protein. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 2024–2030.

22. Sun Z, Lason A, Olenders K, Deng X, Andersson R. Gut barrier permeability, reticuloendothelial system function and protease inhibitor levels following intestinal ischaemia and reperfusion effects of pretreatment with N-acetyl-L-cysteine and indomethacin. Dig Liver Dis, 2002; 34: 560–569.

23. Cuzzocrea S, Mazzon E, Costantino G, Serraino I, De Sarro A, Caputi AP. Effects of N-acetylcysteine in a rat model of ischemia and reperfusion injury. Cardiovasc Res 2000; 34: 560–569.

24. Demir S, İnal-Erden M. Pentoxifylline and N-acetylcysteine in hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury. Clin Chim Acta, 1998; 275: 127–135.

> Received April 9, 2017. Accepted June 12, 2017.