RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS

Effect of the nervous system on cancer: Analysis of clinical studies

Mravec B^{1,2*}, Dubravicky J^{3*}, Tibensky M¹, Horvathova L²

Institute of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia. boris.mravec@fmed.uniba.sk

ABSTRACT

Preclinical data have shown that neurotransmitters released in peripheral tissues from nerve endings may influence carcinogenesis, affect the tumor microenvironment, and directly potentiate both proliferation and migration of cancer cells. This stimulatory role of the nervous system in cancer initiation and progression has also been documented by clinical studies investigating the effect of attenuated signaling from nerves innervating cancer tissue. However, compared to preclinical studies, clinical studies are rarer and some of them have ambiguous results. In this retrospective analysis, to assess the effect of the nervous system on cancer, we analyzed published clinical studies investigating the incidence of cancer in patients with spinal cord injury or pheochromocytoma. Our findings support a concept of the neurobiology of cancer based on the assumption that the nervous system affects cancer initiation and progression (*Ref. 60*). Text in PDF *www.elis.sk*.

KEY WORDS: cancer, neurobiology of cancer, norepinephrine, sympathetic nervous system, spinal cord injury, pheochromocytoma.

Introduction

Several factors and mechanisms participate in the initiation and progression of cancer (1, 2). Preclinical and clinical studies have demonstrated that the nervous system plays a significant role in these processes, as well. These studies create a basis for the concept of cancer neurobiology. This concept is based on several findings: a) the nervous system modulates the reaction of the immune system to cancer (3-5), b) tumor tissue is innervated (6-8), c) cancer cells express receptors for neurotransmitters (9, 10), d) neurotransmitters affect the proliferation and migration of cancer cells (7, 11, 12), and e) psychological factors may affect the incidence and progression of cancer (13-15).

In addition to the above-mentioned facts, it has been demonstrated that either surgical or pharmacological interventions of the nervous system could affect cancer initiation and progression. For example, preclinical studies showed that elimination of sympathetic nerve endings in rats reduced azoxymethane-induced experimental colon carcinogenesis (16) as well as the incidence of fibrosarcoma (7). Compared to preclinical studies, clinical studies investigating the role of interventions affecting the nervous system in cancer incidence and survival of oncological patients are rarer. Furthermore, the majority of these clinical studies were focused on determining the effect of psychotherapy on the survival and quality of life of oncological patients and report ambiguous results (17–20). The most encouraging (and still occasionally ambiguous) data were obtained from retrospective clinical studies investigating the effect of concomitant treatment of oncological patients with β -blockers for hypertension (21). Importantly, the first prospective study employing the non-selective β -blocker propranolol as an adjuvant therapy achieved a 80% reduction in the risk of melanoma recurrence in patients treated with propranolol (22). These findings indicate the efficiency of cancer treatments based on attenuating the signalization from nerves to cancer tissue.

Even if the results from preclinical and some clinical studies indicate that reduction of signalization between the nervous system and cancer tissue exerts a beneficial effect for oncological patients, additional proofs are necessary before introducing this approach as a new adjuvant therapeutic modality into daily oncological treatment. Therefore, the goal of this paper is to provide analysis of published clinical studies, particularly those investigating the effect of spinal cord injury or pheochromocytoma in cancer incidence. Our analysis shows the participation of the nervous system in cancer incidence and as such may enrich our knowledge in the field of cancer neurobiology.

Incidence of cancer in patients with spinal cord injury

In preclinical studies, it is possible to investigate whether tumorigenesis or progression of cancer is affected by selective destruction of sympathetic, parasympathetic, or sensory nerve

¹Institute of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia, ²Biomedical Research Center, Institute of Experimental Endocrinology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia, and ³Department of Urology, University Hospital, Bratislava, Slovakia

Address for correspondence: B. Mravec, MD, PhD, Institute of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Sasinkova 2, SK-813 72 Bratislava, Slovakia. Phone: +421.2.59357527, Fax: +421.2.59357601

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency (APVV-17-0090) and VEGA Grant 2/0028/16. *Both authors participated equally

119–123

endings, transection of nerves, or administration of drugs that interfere with neurotransmission. However, clinical studies are significantly limited with several factors. Therefore, in the field of cancer neurobiology, retrospective clinical studies generally only evaluate the effect of commonly used interventions approved for the treatment of other diseases. For example, patients treated by vagotomy for peptic ulcers represent a population in which the interconnection between the vagus nerve and cancer can be assessed by retrospective studies. These studies investigating the incidence of cancer in vagotomized patients showed a significant increase in the incidence of gastric cancer (23-26). However, vagotomy profoundly affects the gastrointestinal system and in addition, there was also a higher prevalence of smoking in these patients. Therefore, the reported increased prevalence of gastric cancer in patients that have undergone vagotomy may not unambiguously reflect a direct causality between the parasympathetic nervous system and stomach cancer (25-28). Because treatment of gastric ulcers by vagotomy has been replaced by pharmacotherapy, other approaches for investigating the nervous system's effect on the incidence of cancer in humans needs to be found. One such example may involve patients with chronic spinal cord injury (SCI).

In patients with SCI, signal transmission between the brain and peripheral tissue is severely disturbed. The extent of nervous dysregulation of peripheral tissues depends on the location, where the spinal cord injury occurred. Whereas parasympathetic innervation by the vagus nerve is usually preserved, sympathetic and sacral parasympathetic nervous activity is disrupted, allowing the role of the nervous system in the cancer incidence of these patients to be investigated. As such, a relationship between SCI and cancer risk has been reported in several retrospective clinical studies (29–31). However, it is necessary to take into consideration the fact that chronic complications of SCI and autonomic dysfunction may also represent indirect factors affecting the development of cancer (32).

One of the most consistent findings from clinical studies on SCI patients is a reduced incidence of prostate cancer (33–35). Based on these data, Rutledge et al. suggested that tumorigenesis in prostate depends significantly on proper function of the autonomic nervous system (36). This assumption is supported by preclinical data showing that both sympathetic and parasympathetic nerves play an important role in the development of prostate cancer (37). Rutledge et al. hypothesized that prostate cancer might be both prevented and treated by approaches attenuating the effect of nerves on the prostate (e.g. administration of BOTOX or antibodies against neurotrophic factors). We suggest that an administration of β -blockers may represent a suitable preventive and therapeutic strategy. Furthermore, these drugs are approved for clinical use and therefore can be easily employed as an experimental adjuvant therapy of prostate cancer in humans.

In contrast to a reduced incidence of prostate cancer, the risk for urinary bladder cancer is increased in SCI patients (38, 39). Whereas originally it was believed that the use of indwelling catheters in SCI patients was responsible for this phenomena, more recent clinical studies indicate that other factors, such as neurogenic bladder may also play an important role (40, 41). We suggest that except factors such as indwelling catheters, recurrent urinary tract infections, and bladder calculi; nervous factors, such as particularly increased parasympathetic activity, may also participate in an increased incidence of urinary bladder cancer in SCI patients. This assumption is supported by an in vitro study showing that nicotine stimulates proliferation of bladder epithelial cells via activation of intracellular pathways (42).

In a population-based cohort study, Kao et al. evaluated the risk for non-genitourinary cancers in patients with SCI. They found that patients with SCI exhibited higher risks for esophageal, liver, and hematologic malignancies, but had a lower risk of colorectal cancer compared to the patients without SCI. Kao et al. suggest that the diverse patterns of cancer risk among the patients with SCI might be related to complications of chronic SCI (30). Interestingly, it is possible to find a pattern that indicates that there is a correlation between the extent of reduced signal transmission from the nervous system to particular organs and the incidences of specific types of cancer. Additionally, whereas esophageal and liver innervation is intact in the majority of SCI patients, the reported increase in the incidence of cancer of these organs may reflect an increased activity of the sympathetic nerves innervating their tissues. This increased activity of sympathetic nerves may occur as a consequence of autonomic dysreflexia (43). On the other hand, nervous signalization to the colon and rectum is severally affected in the majority of SCI patients (44). Therefore, we suggest that the data of Kao et al. may reflect "nerve dependency" of colorectal cancer, as similarly suggested in prostate cancer by Rutledge et al (see above).

Incidence of secondary cancer in patients with pheochromocytoma

In vitro studies showed that administration of either agonists or antagonists of neurotransmitter receptors might significantly affect the proliferation and migration of cancer cells (45, 46). For example, it has been found that norepinephrine significantly potentiates the proliferation of cancer cells and this affect can by blocked by administration of β -blockers (7, 47, 48). Additionally, several clinical studies determined that there is an effect of β-blockers on both cancer incidence and the survival of oncological patients. The majority of these studies included patients that were treated by β -blockers for hypertension and this treatment started before the diagnosis of cancer. Importantly, it was found that blockade of β_2 -adrenergic receptors prolongs the survival of patients with mammary carcinoma (21). If the blockade of β_2 -adrenergic receptors reduces cancer progression, then it can be hypothesized that overactivation of these receptors may induce the opposite effect. It is known that increased activation of these receptors may be found in individuals exposed to intensive chronic stressors (49). Because reactivity to stressors significantly varies between individuals (50), there are several difficulties in investigating the effect of stress on cancer development and progression. However, in patients with pheochromocytoma, plasma catecholamine levels are enormously increased (51). These cateexaggerated sympathetic nervous system activity. Based on these facts, we suggest that the effect of overactivation of β_2 -adrenergic receptors on cancer initiation should be studied in the patients with pheochromocytoma.

Khorram-Manesh et al investigated the mortality rates associated with pheochromocytoma in a retrospective study. They found 4-times higher tumor-related mortality than in the controls. In the cohort, liver/biliary tract and central nervous system tumors were increased in men, while malignant melanoma and uterine cervical cancer were significantly over-represented in women (52). These data indicate that catecholamines play an important role in the development of these cancers. We hypothesize that administration of β-blockers may reduce the incidence of secondary cancers in pheochomocytoma patients. In support of this hypothesis, an in vitro study has shown that propranolol suppresses proliferation and induces apoptosis, when administered to medium nourishing liver cancer cells (53). In addition, the first prospective clinical study using β-blockers as an adjuvant cancer treatment has shown that administration of propranolol results in an 80 % reduction to the risk of melanoma recurrence (22).

Transplantation-related denervation of organs in recipients

Transplanted solid organs, such as kidneys, hearts, or lungs are denervated in recipients (54–56). Even if the re-innervation of transplanted organs does eventually develop (57), recipients of transplanted organs may represent another population of patients enabling us to study cancer incidence in individuals with disconnections between the nervous system and peripheral tissues. In these patients, a 3-fold excess risk of cancer, relative to the ageand sex-matched general population was found. However, they developed types of tumors that affect various organs and that are frequently of viral etiology. Furthermore, the most important factor responsible for the increased incidence of cancer in transplantation patients is iatrogenic immunosuppression (58). Therefore, it seems that data from transplantation patients are not appropriate for the study of cancer neurobiology.

Neurostimulation in the treatment of neurological and somatic diseases

In the last decades, significant progress has been made in the development of various neurostimulatory devices called electroceuticals. For example, vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) is widely used for the treatment of epilepsy. Electroceuticals have also been developed for the treatment of urinary incontinence, constipation, and chronic pain. In addition, both preclinical and clinical data showed the efficiency of VNS in the treatment of depression, heart failure, and rheumatoid arthritis (59). Looking towards the future, smaller and more precise electroceuticals that can stimulate selected nervous fibers of certain nerves are currently under development for the treatment of various other somatic diseases (60).

The action of electroceuticals is based on inducing the release of neurotransmitters in peripheral tissues. Therefore, the question arises as to whether these devices may influence cancer incidence and progression. To answer this, we investigated the effect of VNS in rats injected with tumor cells. We have found that VNS slightly reduced tumor incidence, without effecting the survival of tumor-bearing rats (9). However, because of the lack of other preclinical and clinical data, further preclinical studies, along with retrospective and prospective clinical studies will be necessary to determine the safety of electroceuticals in affecting the incidence of cancer.

Conclusions

Evidences accumulated from preclinical studies indicate that the nervous system plays an important role in cancer initiation and progression. However, even if some data from clinical studies involving patients with altered signalization between the nervous system and peripheral tissues support findings from preclinical studies, further preclinical and clinical data will be necessary to get a more detailed understanding of the role of the nervous system in cancer initiation and progression. Only then it will be possible to introduce new adjuvant therapeutic and preventive interventions in oncology that will be based on neurobiological view of cancer.

References

1. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. Cell 2000; 100 (1): 57–70.

2. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011; 144 (5): 646–674.

3. Ben-Eliyahu S, Shakhar G, Page GG, Stefanski V, Shakhar K. Suppression of NK cell activity and of resistance to metastasis by stress: a role for adrenal catecholamines and beta-adrenoceptors. Neuroimmunomodulation 2000; 8 (3): 154–164.

4. Cole SW, Nagaraja AS, Lutgendorf SK, Green PA, Sood AK. Sympathetic nervous system regulation of the tumour microenvironment. Nat Rev Cancer 2015; 15 (9): 563–572.

5. Boilly B, Faulkner S, Jobling P, Hondermarck H. Nerve Dependence: From Regeneration to Cancer. Cancer Cell 2017; 31 (3): 342–354.

6. Lang K, Entschladen F, Weidt C, Zaenker KS. Tumor immune escape mechanisms: impact of the neuroendocrine system. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2006; 55 (7): 749–760.

7. Lackovicova L, Banovska L, Bundzikova J et al. Chemical sympathectomy suppresses fibrosarcoma development and improves survival of tumor-bearing rats. Neoplasma 2011; 58 (5): 424–429.

8. Liebig C, Ayala G, Wilks JA, Berger DH, Albo D. Perineural invasion in cancer: a review of the literature. Cancer 2009; 115 (15): 3379–3391.

9. Mikova L, Horvathova L, Ondicova K et al. Ambiguous effect of signals transmitted by the vagus nerve on fibrosarcoma incidence and survival of tumor-bearing rats. Neurosci Lett 2015; 59390–59394.

10. Saloman JL, Albers KM, Rhim AD, Davis BM. Can Stopping Nerves, Stop Cancer? Trends Neurosci 2016; 39 (12): 880–889.

Bratisl Med J 2019; 120 (2)

119-123

11. Entschladen F, Drell TLt, Lang K, Joseph J, Zaenker KS. Tumourcell migration, invasion, and metastasis: navigation by neurotransmitters. Lancet Oncol 2004; 5 (4): 254–258.

12. Kuol N, Stojanovska L, Apostolopoulos V, Nurgali K. Crosstalk between cancer and the neuro-immune system. J Neuroimmunol 2018; 31515–31523.

13. Rosch PJ. Stress and cancer: Disorders of communication, control, and civilization. In: Cooper CL, editor. Handbook of Stress, Medicine and Health. Boca Raton: CRC Press. 1996; p. 27–60.

14. Armaiz-Pena GN, Cole SW, Lutgendorf SK, Sood AK. Neuroendocrine influences on cancer progression. Brain Behav Immun 2012.

15. Bower JE, Shiao SL, Sullivan P et al. Prometastatic Molecular Profiles in Breast Tumors From Socially Isolated Women. JNCI Cancer Spectr 2018; 2 (3): pky029.

16. Tatsuta M, Iishi H, Baba M, Taniguchi H. Inhibition of azoxymethane-induced experimental colon carcinogenesis in Wistar rats by 6-hydroxydopamine. Int J Cancer 1992; 50 (2): 298–301.

17. Goodwin PJ, Leszcz M, Ennis M et al. The effect of group psychosocial support on survival in metastatic breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2001; 345 (24): 1719–1726.

18. Kissane DW, Grabsch B, Clarke DM et al. Supportive-expressive group therapy for women with metastatic breast cancer: survival and psychosocial outcome from a randomized controlled trial. Psychooncology 2007; 16 (4): 277–286.

19. Spiegel D, Butler LD, Giese-Davis J et al. Effects of supportiveexpressive group therapy on survival of patients with metastatic breast cancer: a randomized prospective trial. Cancer 2007; 110 (5): 1130–1138.

20. Andersen BL, Yang HC, Farrar WB et al. Psychologic intervention improves survival for breast cancer patients: a randomized clinical trial. Cancer 2008; 113 (12): 3450–3458.

21. Barron TI, Connolly RM, Sharp L, Bennett K, Visvanathan K. Beta blockers and breast cancer mortality: a population- based study. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29 (19): 2635–2644.

22. De Giorgi V, Grazzini M, Benemei S et al. Propranolol for Off-label Treatment of Patients With Melanoma: Results From a Cohort Study. JAMA Oncol 2018; 4 (2): e172908.

23. Watt PC, Patterson CC, Kennedy TL. Late mortality after vagotomy and drainage for duodenal ulcer. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1984; 288 (6427): 1335–1338.

24. Caygill CP, Hill MJ, Kirkham JS, Northfield TC. Mortality from colorectal and breast cancer in gastric-surgery patients. Int J Colorectal Dis 1988; 3 (3): 144–148.

25. Caygill CP, Knowles RL, Hall R. Increased risk of cancer mortality after vagotomy for peptic ulcer: a preliminary analysis. Eur J Cancer Prev 1991; 1 (1): 35–37.

26. Ekbom A, Lundegardh G, McLaughlin JK, Nyren O. Relation of vagotomy to subsequent risk of lung cancer: population based cohort study. BMJ 1998; 316 (7130): 518–519.

27. Bahmanyar S, Ye W, Dickman PW, Nyren O. Long-term risk of gastric cancer by subsite in operated and unoperated patients hospitalized for peptic ulcer. Am J Gastroenterol 2007; 102 (6): 1185–1191.

28. Jenkins JT, Duncan JR, Hole D, O'Dwyer PJ, McGregor JR. Malignant disease in peptic ulcer surgery patients after long term follow-up: a cohort study of 1992 patients. Eur J Surg Oncol 2007; 33 (6): 706–712. 29. Nahm LS, Chen Y, DeVivo MJ, Lloyd LK. Bladder cancer mortality after spinal cord injury over 4 decades. J Urol 2015; 193 (6): 1923–1928.

30. Kao CH, Sun LM, Chen YS et al. Risk of Nongenitourinary Cancers in Patients With Spinal Cord Injury: A Population-based Cohort Study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016; 95 (2): e2462.

31. Bothig R, Kurze I, Fiebag K et al. Clinical characteristics of bladder cancer in patients with spinal cord injury: the experience from a single centre. Int Urol Nephrol 2017; 49 (6): 983–994.

32. Karlsson AK. Autonomic dysfunction in spinal cord injury: clinical presentation of symptoms and signs. Prog Brain Res 2006; 1521–8.

33. Bartoletti R, Gavazzi A, Cai T et al. Prostate growth and prevalence of prostate diseases in early onset spinal cord injuries. Eur Urol 2009; 56 (1): 142–148.

34. Patel N, Ngo K, Hastings J, Ketchum N, Sepahpanah F. Prevalence of prostate cancer in patients with chronic spinal cord injury. PM R 2011; 3 (7): 633–636.

35. Shim HB, Jung TY, Lee JK, Ku JH. Prostate activity and prostate cancer in spinal cord injury. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2006; 9 (2): 115–120.

36. Rutledge A, Jobling P, Walker MM, Denham JW, Hondermarck H. Spinal Cord Injuries and Nerve Dependence in Prostate Cancer. Trends Cancer 2017; 3 (12): 812–815.

37. Magnon C, Hall SJ, Lin J et al. Autonomic nerve development contributes to prostate cancer progression. Science 2013; 341 (6142): 1236361.

38. Welk B, McIntyre A, Teasell R, Potter P, Loh E. Bladder cancer in individuals with spinal cord injuries. Spinal Cord 2013; 51 (7): 516–521.

39. Groah SL, Weitzenkamp DA, Lammertse DP, Whiteneck GG, Lezotte DC, Hamman RF. Excess risk of bladder cancer in spinal cord injury: evidence for an association between indwelling catheter use and bladder cancer. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2002; 83 (3): 346–351.

40. Gui-Zhong L, Li-Bo M. Bladder cancer in individuals with spinal cord injuries: a meta-analysis. Spinal Cord 2017; 55 (4): 341–345.

41. Kalisvaart JF, Katsumi HK, Ronningen LD, Hovey RM. Bladder cancer in spinal cord injury patients. Spinal Cord 2010; 48 (3): 257–261.

42. Chen RJ, Ho YS, Guo HR, Wang YJ. Rapid activation of Stat3 and ERK1/2 by nicotine modulates cell proliferation in human bladder cancer cells. Toxicol Sci 2008; 104 (2): 283–293.

43. Hou S, Rabchevsky AG. Autonomic consequences of spinal cord injury. Compr Physiol 2014; 4 (4): 1419–1453.

44. Fajardo NR, Pasiliao RV, Modeste-Duncan R, Creasey G, Bauman WA, Korsten MA. Decreased colonic motility in persons with chronic spinal cord injury. Am J Gastroenterol 2003; 98 (1): 128–134.

45. Schuller HM. Neurotransmission and cancer: implications for prevention and therapy. Anticancer Drugs 2008; 19 (7): 655–671.

46. Voss MJ, Entschladen F. Tumor interactions with soluble factors and the nervous system. Cell Commun Signal 2010; 821.

47. Masur K, Niggemann B, Zanker KS, Entschladen F. Norepinephrine-induced migration of SW 480 colon carcinoma cells is inhibited by beta-blockers. Cancer Res 2001; 61 (7): 2866–2869.

48. Lang K, Drell TLt, Lindecke A et al. Induction of a metastatogenic tumor cell type by neurotransmitters and its pharmacological inhibition by established drugs. Int J Cancer 2004; 112 (2): 231–238.

49. Padgett DA, Glaser R. How stress influences the immune response. Trends Immunol 2003; 24 (8): 444–448. **50.** McEwen BS, Stellar E. Stress and the individual. Mechanisms leading to disease. Arch Intern Med 1993; 153 (18): 2093–2101.

51. Haase M, Dringenberg T, Allelein S, Willenberg HS, Schott M. Excessive Catecholamine Secretion and the Activation of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone-System in Patients with Pheochromocytoma: A Single Center Experience and Overview of the Literature. Horm Metab Res 2017; 49 (10): 748–754.

52. Khorram-Manesh A, Ahlman H, Nilsson O, Oden A, Jansson S. Mortality associated with pheochromocytoma in a large Swedish cohort. Eur J Surg Oncol 2004; 30 (5): 556–559.

53. Wang F, Liu H, Wang F et al. Propranolol suppresses the proliferation and induces the apoptosis of liver cancer cells. Mol Med Rep 2018; 17 (4): 5213–5221.

54. DiBona GF. Renal innervation and denervation: lessons from renal transplantation reconsidered. Artif Organs 1987; 11 (6): 457–462.

55. Nytroen K, Gullestad L. Exercise after heart transplantation: An overview. World J Transplant 2013; 3 (4): 78–90.

56. Hathaway T, Higenbottam T, Lowry R, Wallwork J. Pulmonary reflexes after human heart-lung transplantation. Respir Med 1991; 85 Suppl A17–21.

57. Bengel FM, Ueberfuhr P, Schiepel N, Nekolla SG, Reichart B, Schwaiger M. Effect of sympathetic reinnervation on cardiac performance after heart transplantation. N Engl J Med 2001; 345 (10): 731–738.

58. Vajdic CM, van Leeuwen MT. Cancer incidence and risk factors after solid organ transplantation. Int J Cancer 2009; 125 (8): 1747–1754.

59. Goroszeniuk T, Pang D. Peripheral neuromodulation: a review. Curr Pain Headache Rep 2014; 18 (5): 412.

60. Mishra S. Electroceuticals in medicine – The brave new future. Indian Heart J 2017; 69 (5): 685–686.

Received September 20, 2018. Accepted December 8, 2018.